

# CH2MHILL PERSONAL COMMUNICATION FORM

**Information From:** John Ennis/ExxonMobil

**Date:** February 24, 2003

**Information For:** Point Thomson EIS Team

**Time:** 1:00 to 5:00 p.m.

**Means of Communication:** Point Thomson EIS Project Requests for Information Meeting

**Subject:** RFI 57 – Need for Three Flare Locations at the Central Well Pad

Facilities: Flares

**RFI 57 – Need for Three Flare Locations at the Central Well Pad**

**Information Needed:** Why do we need 3 flare locations at the Central Pad? Why not an elevated flare over the pad at 150 ft. similar to Endicott? (verbal request)

**Level of Detail:** Request for verbal information on the criteria that drove the changes – what were the reasons for the changes in each instance?

**Response:** John Ennis stated that both low- and high-pressure flares are needed at the central well pad. The flares must be spaced to allow for adequate air for the flares to function. The flare loads for the Point Thomson Project are three times greater than the Endicott flare load. Elevated flares over the central well pad, like those used at Endicott, would be unsafe for the Point Thomson Project because of the magnified flare load and resulting thermal radiation.

**Status:** Closed.