SPECCOLL GC 1SSZ ,P75 H39

IN THE TRIAL COURTS FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA

1990

THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

v.40

AT ANCHORAGE

STATE OF ALASKA,

Plaintiff,

vs

JOSEPH HAZELWOOD,

Defendant.

No. 3AN 89-7217; 3AN 89-7218

TRIAL BY JURY
MARCH 15, 1990
PAGES 7462 THROUGH 7695

VOLUME 40

Original

H & M Court Reporting 510 "L" Street, Suite 350 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 274-5661

ARLIS

Alaska Resources
Library & Information Services
Anchorage Alaska

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff:

DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

BRENT COLE, ESQ.

MARY ANNE HENRY, ESQ.

1031 West 4th Avenue, Suite 520

Anchorage, AK 99501

For Defendant:

CHALOS ENGLISH & BROWN MICHAEL CHALOS, ESQ.

THOMAS RUSSO, ESQ.

300 East 42nd Street, Third Floor New York City, New York 10017

DICK L. MADSON, ESQ.

712 8th Avenue

Fairbanks, AK 99701

H & M Court Reporting 510 "L" Street, Suite 350 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 274-5661

ARLIS

Alaska Resources Library & Information Services Anchorage Alaska

TABLE OF CONTENTS

WITNESS INDEX

	DIRECT	<u>CROSS</u>	REDIRECT	RECROSS	VOIR DIRE
FOR DEFENDANT:					
LEITZ, JULIUS Mr. Chalos Mr. Cole	7467	7527	7574/7595	7594	
HUDSON, DONALD Mr. Chalos Mr. Cole	7597	7622	7628	7630	
REBUTTAL TESTIMONY:					
FOR PLAINTIFF:					
MACKINTIRE, ROBERT Mr. Cole Mr. Madson	7635	7656	7685	7686	

EXHIBIT INDEX

<u>EXHIBIT</u>	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
CJ	Sounding chart	7471
СК	Sounding chart	7471
CL	Chart - schematic of salvage principle	7479

H & M Court Reporting 510 "L" Street, Suite 350 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 274-5661

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MARCH 15, 1990
3	(Tape: C-3677)
4	(0033)
5	THE CLERK: The Superior Court for the State
6	of Alaska, Third Judicial District, with the Honorable
7	Karl Johnstone presiding, is now in session.
8	THE COURT: Please be seated.
9	Mr. Chalos?
10	MR. CHALOS: The defense calls Julius Leitz to
11	the stand.
12	(Oath administered)
13	A Yes.
14	JULIUS LEITZ,
15	called as a witness in behalf of the defendant, being
16	first duly sworn upon oath, testified as follows:
17	THE CLERK: Please be seated. Sir, would you
18	please state your full name, and then spell your last
19	name?
20	A Julius Herman Leitz. L-e-i-t-z.
21	THE CLERK: And your current mailing address?
22	A 5650 Northeast Columbia Boulevard, Portland,
23	Oregon.
24	THE CLERK: And your current occupation?
25	A Heavy marine salvage.

1	THE CLERK: Thank you.
2	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF MR. LEITZ
3	BY MR. CHALOS:
4	Q Good morning, Mr. Leitz. By whom are you
5	presently employed?
6	A A company that I own, by the name of J.H.
7	Leitz and Associates, Incorporated.
8	Q What is the business of J.H. Leitz?
9	A Well, heavy marine salvage is the principal
10	interest, and I also do marine consulting,
11	usually connected with salvage or offshore
12	construction.
13	Q As a are you a salvor?
14	A Yes.
15	Q What do you do as a salvor?
16	A Well, we refloat sunken vessels, stranded
17	vessels, fight ship fires, anything to do with
18	marine casualties.
19	Q You salvaged the Exxon Valdez, did you not?
20	A That's correct.
21	Q Your company was hired for that purpose?
22	A Yes.
23	Q You were hired by Exxon?
24	A Yes.
25	Q When did you first go on board the Exxon

. 1		Valdez?
2	A	On Tuesday, March 28.
3	Q	Had you commenced your salvage efforts prior
4		to that?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	When did you first come on to the when were
7		you first engaged?
8	A	I was engaged on Saturday the 25th of March by
9		Exxon, and I traveled to Valdez on the 26th on
10		Sunday the 26th.
11	Q	And well, before we get into what you did
12	ı.	in respect to the salvage of the Exxon Valdez,
13		let me ask you this. What is your background,
14		how long have you been a salvor?
15	A	Well, this is this is my 31st year in the
16		marine salvage business.
17	Q	How many vessels have you salvaged in that
18		period of time?
19	A	Oh, something in excess of 150. Significant
20		vessels, I've worked on a lot of smaller things
21		too.
22	Q	How many strandings have you salvaged?
23	A	Oh, probably in the neighborhood of oh, 40
24		or 50.
25	Q	How many vessels that went aground, that

1		became stranded, did you salvage off rocks or
2		coral?
3	A	17.
4	Q	And how many of those were tankers?
5	A	Two, before the Exxon, the Exxon made three.
6	Q	Now, in terms of the salvage business, there's
7		not much difference between coral and rock, is
8		there?
9	A	Practically none. In fact, a lot of times
10		coral covers rocks. Once you grind the coral
11		away, you are on the rocks.
12	Q	Now, going back to the Exxon Valdez, you said
13		you started your efforts when you arrived on the
14		26th?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	What did you do in that regard?
17	A	Well, the first thing is to become well,
18		let me back up. When they initially called me on
19		Saturday, they gave me a lot of information over
20		the phone, like the quantity of cargo in the
21		various tanks, and a lot of statistics about the
22		vessel, and from that you make some rough numbers
23		while you're riding in the airplane.
24		On arrival in Valdez, I met with the Exxon
25		people in charge of the ship that were on the

1		scene, and actually interviewed them that were
2		you know, to find out all I could about the
3		vessel and its condition, and or present
4		condition, you know, cargo remaining, looked at
5		copies of the blueprints that they had available,
6		requested copies of the blueprints that I needed
7		that weren't available, and looked at soundings
8		that they had made, or or that had been made,
9		after the stranding, and then also looked at some
10		•••
11	Q	These were while you were in Valdez?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	You were given soundings while you were in
14		Valdez?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Let me show you what I've marked as
17		Defendant's Exhibits CJ and CK, and ask you, are
18		these the soundings that you were given?
19	A	Yes, sir. This is one set of soundings I was
20		given.
21	Q	And when did you receive those soundings?
22	A	On Sunday the 26th.
23	Q	And when were those soundings taken?
24	A	At 0945 on March 24.
25	Q	That's 9:45 in the morning on March 24?
	1	I

1	A That's correct.
2	Q Do you know who took those soundings?
3	A I believe they were taken by the pilot vessel
4	at the Captain's request.
5	Q Did you rely on these soundings in your
6	salvage claim?
7	A Yes. That and a subsequent set of soundings,
8	and then also we took additional soundings later
9	on.
10	Q And you say these soundings were made at the
11	Captain's request?
12	A Yes.
13	MR. CHALOS: Your Honor, I offer Exhibits CJ
14	and CK into evidence.
15	MR. COLE: No objection.
16	THE COURT: Admitted.
17	EXHIBITS CJ AND CK ADMITTED
18	Q (Mr. Leitz by Mr. Chalos:) All right, what
19	else did you do while you were in Valdez, in
20	preparation for the salvage operation?
21	A Well, I ordered a lot of equipment to be
22	shipped in, portable salvage equipment, asked
23	that the naval architect that I use come up, you
24	know, as quick as he could.
25	Q Who is that?

H & M COURT REPORTING \bullet 510 L Street \bullet Suite 350 \bullet Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \bullet (907) 274-5661

	i	
1	A	Donald R. Hudson (ph).
2	Q	Okay. Go ahead.
3	Q	Just generally evaluated the situation,
4		checked into the progress, they'd already started
5		the lightering operation, and so I asked about
6		how that was coming, and in that they are, you
7		know, professional lightering is their
8		business, I really didn't get involved in that
9		too much, except during the last stage of the
10		lightering, when it started affecting the
11		attitude of the vessel on the rock, so I was
12		involved in that part of the lightering, which
13		was the final step.
14	Q	Well, what do you mean by affecting the
15		attitude of the vessel?
16	A	Well, the port tanks were intact, and the
17		starboard slop tank was intact, so therefore if
18		you just simply pump the oil out of those tanks,
19		the vessel would jerk up.
20	Q	To starboard?
21	A	Yeah, the port side would rise, and so
22	Q	Causing her to list to the starboard side?
23	A	It would list to starboard. So therefore I
24		we continued to develop the plan so that we
25		could ballast to port side as we moved removed
	1	l

1		the cargo, just exchanging the weights without
2		changing the attitude of the vessel.
3	Q	Did you believe there was a need for you to go
4		to the vessel let's say between the 26th and the
5		29th, when you first went out to the vessel?
6	A	No. There was plenty to do, the salvage plan
7		was written during this period of time, I worked
8		with some ship group coordinators of Exxon, in
9		this familiarization process about how systems
10		work, it was necessary for a salvor in a very
11		short period of time to become familiar with a
12		vessel he hasn't been on. And so you do the
13		ground work first, then go to the vessel.
14		This isn't always the case. If there wasn't a
15		crew on the vessel, and if something wasn't
16		happening by competent people, then of course I
17		would have went to the vessel right away, but
18		you've really got to do your homework before it's
19		very effective to go. I know what a tanker looks
20		like sittin' on a rock.
21	Q	Did the information that you received between
22		the 26th and the 29th indicate that the vessel
23		was stable at that time?
24	A	Yes, the vessel was never or while it was
25		sitting on a rock, it was never in danger of

1		capsizing, a vessel can't capsize sitting on the
2		bottom.
3	Q	Did you do any strength calculations while you
4		were in Valdez?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	What was the result of those calculations with
7		respect to the strength of the vessel while she
8		stayed on the reef?
9	(0480))
10	A	There was never a serious problem with the
11		strength of the vessel.
12	Q	Was there ever any danger of this vessel
13		breaking up while she was on the reef?
14	A	There's always that danger of you know, a
15		vessel on a reef is out of its normal element,
16		but you'd actually have to try pretty hard to do
17		any serious damage to it, in this particular
18		case.
19	Q	In any event, the calculations that you made
20		did not let me rephrase it. Based on the
21		strength calculations that you made, was there
22		any indication that the vessel wasn't strong
23		enough to stay on the reef?
24	A	No.
25	Q	Now, you say you arrived at the vessel on the

1		29th?
2	Α	28th. It was I think it was Tuesday the
3		28th.
4	Q	All right, what did you do when you arrived on
5		the vessel?
6	Α	Well, I met with several of the people on
7		board that were directing the discharge of cargo,
8	ii.	and I met with a two ship group, or two ship
9		superintendents of Exxon's, John McCracken (ph)
10		and Ben Schafer (ph), and the ship's chief
11		engineer, Jerzy Glowacki. And I they took me
12		on a tour of the vessel, so that I could actually
13		you know, just general walk-around, get
14		familiar with the vessel, I was interested in
15		verifying where we were gonna make connections to
16		it to inject inert gas into it, and, you know,
17		other salvage connections.
18	Q	What would have been the purpose of injecting
19		inert gas?
20	A	Well, eventually that was the means to refloat
21		it.
22	Q	We'll get into that in a second. Did you make
23		any determinations when you got on board as to
24		how hard this vessel was aground?
25	A	I actually made those you know,

1		calculations before I went out to the vessel, and
2		then they were they were all later confirmed
3		by my naval architect down here, Mr. Hudson.
4	Q	And how would you describe the vessel sitting
5		on the reef?
6	A	Well, it was
7	Q	Would you say she was lightly aground, hard
8		aground?
9	A	No, it was very hard aground.
10	Q	Did there come a time when you made a
11		determination that she was impaled?
12	A	Yes, but you can only make an assumption about
13		something like that, because there's no practical
14		way to take measurements underneath the ship.
15		You can take measurements around the ship and
16		what have you, but that still doesn't tell you
17		what's under the ship. If the ship was empty,
18		for example, you could put divers down inside of
19		it to take a look.
20		But when the ship is full of oil, which
21		usually means they can't see a thing, and you
22		don't dare put 'em in that environment, because
23		it'd be totally by feel. And even in calm water,
24		like this was in, a ship tends to wiggle, I mean
25		move a little bit, continually.

1	Q	What causes her to move a little bit?
2	A	Just all it was all of this a small
3		amount of surge, and it'll just very gently
4		you have to really pay attention to feel it, but
5		anyhow, the diver goes down in there, he's
6	1	totally he can't see a thing, so he sticks his
7		fingers here and there, and he's probably gonna
8		lose a hand or something, so you just don't do
9		that.
10	Q	Okay. How would you describe the salvage of
11		the Exxon Valdez in terms of a salvage job?
12	A	The salvage operation was is actually a
13		very simple one. The sheer size of the ship made
14		it difficult, and the pollution aspects, you
15	H	know, compounded the matter, you know, the actual
16		physical salvage of the operation was something
17		I've done many times before, and it was pretty
18		straightforward.
19	Q	Uh-huh (affirmative). What do you mean by the
20		pollution aspects?
21	A	Well, obviously we didn't want to allow any
22		more oil to get out of the ship, you know, during
23		the salvage operation, and we eventually more
24		than four fifths of the oil on the ship was
25		recovered, and that was one of the primary

	objectives before we attempted to move the ship.
Q	Okay. Let me I have a chart I want to
	show you
A	Could I get a drink of water?
Q	Yes.
A	Please? Thank you.
Q	Before I put this is there a standard
	salvage principle that one would use with a
	vessel aground?
Α	No, there there are really no standard
	methods. Every situation is somewhat different.
	You know, there's all sorts of things that you do
	regularly to some things infrequently, but
	there's no prescribed method of salvaging every
	vessel, I'd say.
Q	Well, let me show you what we marked as
	Exhibit CL for identification, and ask you, have
	you seen this diagram before?
A	Yes.
Q	What does this diagram purport to show?
A	Well, it's just a graphic illustration of the
	principle that was used to refloat the Exxon
	Valdez. This illustration was made early on to
	show the press, the media how it was going to be
	done, this was done in Valdez.
	A Q A Q

1	Q	All right, can you before we get into the
2		specifics of the refloating of the Exxon Valdez,
3		could you explain to the jury just the basic
4		principles that you used to get this ship off the
5		strand?
6	Α	Yes.
7	Q	There's a pointer to your left.
8	A	Well, to start off with the depth of the
9		vessel
10	Q	Hold on, Mr. Leitz. Before you describe
11		I'd like to offer at this time, Your Honor,
12		Exhibit CL into evidence.
13		MR. COLE: No objection.
14		THE COURT: Admitted.
15		EXHIBIT CL ADMITTED
16	Q	Okay. Go ahead.
17	A	All right. This is a cross-section of the
18		ship looking forward, as though you cut the ship
19		right in two with a knife, and this is what you'd
20		see. The port tanks of course were tight, that's
21		this this area over here. The center tanks
22		and the starboard tanks were whole, that's kind
23		of illustrated right here.
24		The deck of the vessel was all sealed up, all
25		the openings in the deck were made tight. Most

of the openings in the deck that we made tight, we also welded in fittings so that we could attach all the air hoses and gauge lines and everything else we needed to monitor the operation as it was going on.

And when the ship was sitting on the rock here, the sea level shown here, and after a few hours for -- or really less than that, after about 35 minutes, the oil -- the head of oil in the ship had already discharged out of the vessel, so therefore the liquid level in the ship was equal in weight to the water level outside.

And the reason that -- actually this illustration is a little -- this would be at the time we did it, because we had the oil out of it and it was pure water. So therefore, in the ruptured tanks, the water line would -- inside these tanks would be exactly the same as outside. Right after the stranding, this liquid level would have been higher than that ...

Q Why is that?

Α

Because the specific gravity of the oil is

.89, which is 11 percent less than the weight of
water.

Q In other words, the oil was pushed up by the

1		water?
2	A	No, it's floating on the water. And it's 11
3		percent lighter than the water, so if you have a
4		column of oil inside here, it's 11 would reach
5		11 percent over the depths outside the ship. The
6		sea level outside the vessel.
7	Q	Did the oil in the ship after the grounding
8		have anything to do with the vessel's buoyancy?
9	A	No, it really doesn't. It's a complex thing
10		instead of a specific different specific
11		gravity, but when we have no bottom in the ship,
12		it kind of becomes null and void, you know,
13		because you're to go on here, maybe it'll come
14		clear.
15	Q	Go ahead.
16	A	But anyhow, so you have a liquid inside I
17		think I'm gonna back up and say that, you know,
18		that we got water in here, now the oil has been
19		removed, you don't think we're talking about the
20		salvage operation.
21	Q	Right. How was the oil removed?
22	(0790	
23	A	The oil was removed by pumping it over the top
24		of the vessel with portable salvage pumps.
25	Q	Okay, go ahead.

H & M COURT REPORTING \bullet 510 L Street \bullet Suite 350 \bullet Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \bullet (907) 274-5661

1	A	Anyhow, so after the oil was removed, we now
2		have water inside the tank, so the level inside
3		and outside is exactly the same. The we used
4		the ship's IG system, and then supplemented by
5		portable salvage blowers to boost its pressure.
6		And when you force air down through the deck of
7		the ship, it the pressure pushes the water
8		level down, and in this illustration, it's
9		showing it being pushed down to this level, this
10		space between the water line outside and inside
11		becomes buoyancy, which lifts the ship.
12	Q	And is that how the Exxon Valdez was removed
13		from the strand?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Now, were there any injuries in the process of
16		removing the vessel?
17	A	None. Well, we had we had some minor
18		injuries, you know, scratches and bruises, but we
19		had in fact, we had no serious injuries at all
20		during the whole four and a half month operation,
21		through delivery into the dry dock.
22	Q	Speaking about dry dock, did you have occasion
23		to view the vessel in San Diego?
24	A	Yes, I did.
25	Q	Were the damages that you saw in San Diego the

1		type of damages that were reported to you when
2		
3		the vessel was at Bligh Reef? Were they
ï		consistent?
4	A	Well, when the ship was on Bligh Reef, there
5		it was impossible to do a completely
6		comprehensive survey of the bottom of the vessel,
7		'cause divers couldn't get under the area that
8		was resting on or impaled by the with the
9		rocks, so the field survey was done after it was
10		at Naked Island.
11	Q	Okay, was the damage that you saw in San Diego
12		consistent with the damage that was surveyed at
13		Naked Island?
14	Α	Yes, it was.
15	Q	And you looked at the damage in San Diego?
16	A	Yes, I did.
17	Q	Can you describe what you saw there?
18	Α	Well, the bottom of the ship was, you know,
19		very badly torn up, as would be expected. There
20		was the most probable scenario is two
21		collisions with a rock, two separate situations
22		and possibly a third, but it was less significant
23		by a long ways.
24		The first impact, that the ship's crew may not
25		even have been aware of, started pretty near the

1 center of the bow, and traveled pretty well 2 straight back, slowly moving to the right, 3 exiting in the buoyed space underneath the 4 starboard slop tank. And of course the second 5 major impact was in starboard number 1, 2, three 6 frame spaces into number 3, and part of the 7 centers in 1 and 2. That's where the, you know, 8 the main impact and the final resting position 9 came. 10 That's where the vessel finally came to a 0 11 stop. 12 Α Yeah, and that offered enough resistance to 13 bring the vessel to a complete stop. 14 0 I take it -- let me start again. You didn't 15 do any calculations as to the length of time 16 between the first and second striking by this 17 vessel, did you? 18 No, I didn't. Α 19 Okay. Now, the damage that you saw in San Q 20 Diego, was that all in the fore and aft 21 direction, or some other direction? 22 Α It was all pretty much in the fore and aft 23 direction, with the center, or the first impact 24 traveling to the -- from the center toward the 25 right of the vessel, so that it curved 80 feet,

1		
1	li	approximately, in about, oh, 700 foot of the
2		vessel's length.
3	Q	All right. Do you have an opinion as to when
4		the damage that you observed was caused?
5		MR. COLE: Objection, lack of foundation.
6		THE COURT: Sustained. Mr. Chalos?
7	Q	I'll rephrase it, Your Honor. Based on what
8		you saw of the damage, and based on the survey
9		that was done at Naked Island, do you have an
10		opinion as to when this particular damage
11		occurred, was it in the striking, was it
12		afterwards?
13	A	I don't understand, which damage are you
14		referring to?
15	Q	The damage that you saw, this fore and aft
16		damage that you describe.
17	A	That was all from the collisions with the
18		rock.
19	Q	Now, there's been testimony in this trial that
20		it would have been impossible for this vessel to
21	:	move from the grounding on the reef using the
22		vessel's engines and rudder. Do you agree or
23	ı	disagree with that?
24	A	I agree with that.
25	Q	There's also been some evidence that this

1 vessel was impaled on the bottom, and there were 2 bottom plates that were hanging down also 3 interfering with the bottom. Do you agree or 4 disagree with that? 5 Α That's correct. 6 Q What is the effect of this impalement and the 7 bottom plates hanging down and interfering with 8 the bottom? 9 Well, the bottom plates hanging down would 10 offer some resistance, and it'd depend on what 11 configuration they were in, how much resistance, 12 but the impalement is the important part of it 13 The -- normally when a ship's aground, like all. 14 on sand or gravel or even fairly flat rock, you 15 have something called a friction coefficient with 16 the bottom, and that's kind of -- determines how 17 much force it's gonna take to -- to move the 18 vessel, but when you have an impalement, the ship 19 is actually around the rock, there's actually a 20 mechanical connection, so the friction 21 coefficients are just kind of out the window, 22 they don't mean a thing, you know, that -- they 23 actually -- you have a true mechanical 24 connection, and -- you know, that we just had a

very large pumped-up area stuck up inside the

25

1		ship, and it just
2	Q	Is that the basis for you saying that it would
3	ii	have been impossible to move this vessel using
4		the ship's engines and rudder?
5	A	That's correct.
6	Q	There's been some testimony here that no
7	i .	matter what Captain Hazelwood did after the
8		grounding, this vessel was not going to move off
9	i T	this reef. Do you agree or disagree with that?
10	(1092	
11	A	I agree with that.
12	Q	Do you have any opinion as to whether any
13	,	further damage was done to this vessel after the
14		grounding by the use of the engine and rudder?
15	A	If when the vessel rotated, some 14 degrees
16	ņ	•••
17	Q	When did that occur?
18	A	After the the thing was grounded, and then
19		also again on Sunday there was a 70 mile an hour
20	п	windstorm that rotated the ship some 14 degrees,
21		and it was pushed back in position by tugs, you
22		know, held and this thing was straightened
23		back out and held in position by tugs. This area
24		of the impact was heavily damaged, and any
25		further aggravation of that would have been
,		

1		absolutely insignificant. We wouldn't have been
2		able to detect it.
3	Q	Do you have an opinion as to whether there was
4		any additional leakage of oil from the vessel as
5		a result of the use of the engine or rudder after
6		the grounding?
7	Α	No, there there would have been no increase
8		in anything, because the bottom was opened up
9		already, I think that's verified in Mr. Kunkel's
10		testimony.
11	Q	In what way?
12	Α	Well, all when he went down to the control
13		room, all the gauges in the control room
14		(indiscernible) gauges were all clicking off,
15		indicating flooding in the ballast tanks and the
16		fore peak, number 2 and number 4 starboard, and
17		all the cargo tanks were opened, every all
18		those gauges were moving, which says that the
19		bottom was opened up immediately. There's no
20		question about the fact it was opened. So
21	Q	All right, do you have an opinion as to
22		whether or not the use of the engine or rudder
23		after the grounding would have caused this vessel
24		to break up in the condition that she was in?
25	A	I don't feel that it would. The vessel didn't

1		have any structural deficiency that was gonna
	·	-
2		cause a break on the rock, and this would have
3	li.	been very minor. The amount of power that was
4		being used to hold the vessel on the rock was
5		only about 112 long tons, you know, just
6		calculated long tons.
7	Q	Did you make a calculation in that regard?
8	A	Yes, I did.
9	Q	And is it your opinion that the power that was
10		used at 55 RPM would not have been sufficient to
11		cause this vessel any structural problems?
12	A	Yeah, that's 55 RPM's works out to about
13		the about 9,000 horsepower, a little bit less
14		than that, and 9,000 horsepower using, oh,
15		general efficiency calculations for a propeller
16		translates into about 112 long tons, which is
17		just for a vessel that size, is insignificant,
18		it isn't anything.
19	Q	Now, just turning to another subject, Mr.
20		Leitz, do you have an opinion as to whether
21		ships' crews in general and masters in particular
22		have the training or background to deal with
23		major casualties such as the grounding of the
24		Exxon Valdez?
25	A	Generally not. I have seen a few crews on

Q

Α

occasion where someone had been involved in a similar situation, and so that helped, but actually out of all the ships that are cruising around the world, very few of 'em are going to ever get in trouble. A lot of people spend their entire life at sea and are never involved in casualties.

You know, the -- on the route, sometimes there's, you know, minor groundings and that type of thing, where they back the ship back off, or get some type of assistance and get it out -- this happens frequently in the Mississippi River, where you have the channels continually changing location, so the ship runs on the mud and they get it out, but if -- that's really not a true salvage operation.

Well, having said that, once you have a grounding such as this, what would you expect a captain and a crew to rely on in terms of experience to handle the situation?

Well, this was a major casualty, and I don't think that they had the -- the expertise to really salvage it. They also didn't have the equipment to salvage it. You know, they -- you know, again, according to Mr. Kunkel's testimony,

1		and they did all the things that you would
2		normally expect them to do, like they checked the
3		condition of the vessel thoroughly, you know, the
4		the engine room
5	Q	Well, I want to get into the specifics, but
6		let me ask you this. You've been on vessels that
7		stranded before.
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	I take it have you been on vessels within a
10		short period of time after the grounding?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	In those situations, did you have occasion to
13		observe the vessel's the crew's behavior and
14		appearance, if you will?
15	A	Yes, I have.
16	Q	What did you find in those situations, how
17		what state was the crew in?
18	A	Well, depends on the severity of the
19		situation, but they're generally upset and
20		uptight, there's no question about it.
21	Q	Have you noticed what we would know as shock
22		in that situation?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Let me ask you then some specific questions
25		about the actions that were taken by Captain

1		Hazelwood and his crew after this grounding.
2		Have you read any testimony?
3	Α	Yes.
4	Q	Whose testimony have you read?
5	Α	Chief Mate Kunkel's, the naval architect Vorus
6		(ph), and Bill Milwee.
7	Q	The salvage expert that was put up here by the
8		State.
9	Α	Yes.
10	Q	That was brought up by the State. Okay. I'd
11		like to give you some actions that we've taken
12		that are in evidence and ask you your opinion
13		based on your knowledge of these things, as to
14		whether they were prudent or imprudent maneuvers.
15		After the vessel grounded, the Captain came up to
16		the bridge, went to the bridge wing, looked over
17		the side, assessed the situation. Is that a
18		prudent or imprudent maneuver?
19	Α	Prudent.
20	Q	He came back into the wheelhouse and ordered
21		the third mate to take a fix, and he ordered the
22		helmsman to put the rudder at amidships. Is that
23		a prudent or imprudent action?
24	A	That's also prudent.
25	Q	He told the third mate to go below, take the

7492

_		
1		AV and go below and wake up the crew. Do you
2		consider that to be prudent or imprudent?
3	A	I think that's a wise move, yes.
4	Q	There's been some criticism of the Captain for
5		not at that point ringing the general alarm. Do
6		you have an opinion as to whether that should
7		have been done or not?
8	A	I think under the circumstances out there that
9		that was probably a wise move, because sending
10		these ships nowadays have fairly small crews,
11		so it's not that big a deal to go down and wake
12		'em up, and then if you go down and wake 'em up
13	•	and tell 'em what's happening, they can get their
14	1	clothes on, and get their cobwebs out of their
15		head, and then they can come up and be in a
16		condition to help, you know, to do whatever needs
17		to be done. If you ring the general alarm,
18		you're either gonna have all the people running
19	1	up in their skivvy shorts to the fire station, or
20		they're gonna go to the lifeboats, you know, and
21		that isn't gonna accomplish very much.
22	Q	So I take it you don't recommend ringing the
23		general alarm in that situation.
24		MR. COLE: Objection, leading.
25	(1450	

. H & M COURT REPORTING • 510 L Street • Suite 350 • Anchorage, Alaska 99501 • (907) 274-5661

1	Α	Not in this case, that's not
2	Q	Let me rephrase it. I take it, then, you
3		agree with the fact that the general alarm was
4		not rung in this case.
5	A	Yeah, I agree with that.
6	Q	Okay. Now, there's also been testimony that
7		the Captain ordered the third mate to call at
8		about the same time, call the engine room and
9		shut the engines. Do you consider that prudent
10		or imprudent?
11	Α	To shut the engine down?
12	Q	Yes.
13	Α	Yeah, that was at that particular point in
14		time that was that was the right thing to do
15		also.
16	Q	Okay. There's also been testimony that the
17		Captain called the engine room and told the
18		engineers to sound the voids and the engine room
19		tanks. Do you consider that prudent or
20		imprudent?
21	Α	That's standard operating procedure on a
22		casualty.
23	Q	There's also been testimony that the chief
24		mate came up to the bridge with some information
25		for the Captain regarding stability and the

1		amount of wall that was lost, and the number of
2		tanks that were damaged. And the Captain
3		conferred with the chief mate on that point. Do
4		you consider that prudent or imprudent?
5	A	Well, it's important that the chief mate keep
6		the Captain advised of what's going on with the
7		vessel, yes.
8	Q	There's been testimony that the Captain then
9		told the chief mate to go back down to the cargo
10		control room and obtain additional information
11		and keep him posted. Do you consider that to be
12		prudent or imprudent?
13	A	That's prudent.
14	Q	There's also been testimony that he told the
15		chief mate at that time to lower the boats, the
16		lifeboats to the embarkation deck and get the
17		fire mains ready. Is that prudent or imprudent
18		in your opinion?
19	A	That's prudent.
20	Q	There's also been some testimony that at some
21		point the Captain ordered the anchor to be
22		lowered to the water's edge. Is that prudent or
23		imprudent?
24	A	That's also prudent.
25	Q	There's been some testimony that the chief

1		mate was told by the Captain to give him some
2		options as to the possibility of ballasting down
3		if it was necessary. Is that a prudent maneuver
4		or imprudent?
5	A	That's prudent also.
6	Q	Do you have an opinion as to whether or not
7		soundings were necessary at the time the vessel
8		ran aground?
9	A	Immediate soundings wouldn't have proved very
10		much, they would have had no means to detect the
11		real or determine which is the impalement of
12		the vessel on the rock. Soundings wouldn't have
13	,	told them that.
14	Q	It would have told them where they were
15		impaled, would it?
16	A	Not necessarily, no.
17	Q	Okay. How about taking soundings through the
18		oil?
19	A	Well, there are ways to do that, it just makes
20		it a little more complicated.
21	Q	Mr. Milwee said that he would have taken
22		soundings every 10 to 15 feet, which he
23		MR. COLE: Objection, Your Honor, that's a
24	misch	aracterization again.
25		MR. CHALOS: I think that's exactly what he

[
1	said.	
2		MR. COLE: I disagree.
3	Q	(Mr. Leitz by Mr. Chalos:) Well, assuming
4		let me rephrase the question. Assuming that
5		there's been testimony that soundings should have
6		been taken at a distance of, let's say, no more
7		than 20 feet between them, do you have an opinion
8		as to how long that would have taken?
9	A	It would have taken if you had about four
10		people doin' it, you know, one guy on a sounding
11		line, and another guy writing all the stuff down,
12		moving along, they'd have been a that says
13		four people, two guys on each side of the ship,
14		they'd have been a couple a hours doing it.
15	Q	And that's assuming that there were no
16		problems encountered, I take it?
17	A	That's right.
18	Q	And I take it also that that's assuming that
19		the lines don't get fouled up by the oil.
20	A	Well, the only means that they would have had
21		to do it out there would have been to measure
22		from the deck edge, you know, the it would
23		have been the only way they could have taken
24		soundings around the vessel immediately would be
25		to lower a lifeboat and do it, and that wouldn't

H & M COURT REPORTING • 510 L Street • Suite 350 • Anchorage, Alaska 99501 • (907) 274-5661

have been -- all the oil in the water and everything, and I think that would have been an unnecessary risk of the personnel -- the people's lives that had to do it. You know, I mean, you got a motor lifeboat, and you put it down in a puddle of oil with the fumes comin' off, I seriously doubt if the people could have even breathed down there.

We've put into evidence today the soundings that you had, that were taken at 9:45 in the morning by the pilot boat, do you consider, if soundings were taken at that time, to be sufficient and timely soundings under the circumstances?

I think -- yeah, under the circumstances I think that, you know, give an hour or two, you know, it's a -- it was about appropriate. I think it would have been imprudent to attempt it in the dark, and what have you, you know, perhaps it could have been done an hour or two sooner, but it didn't make any difference, 'cause it was past high water.

And you gotta keep in mind that, too, I've tried to refloat the vessel and get all its information, the ship went aground something like

1		11 minutes after midnight, and high water was at
2	1	0157, and that's all the time you'd have to make
3		a complete evaluation, take soundings, and do
4		everything in the world. You know, it's not
5		feasible to do all that.
6	Q	Because there's other things that need more
7		immediate attention?
8	A	That's right, you know, I think we should
9		concentrate on the safety of the vessel and the
10		people first.
11	Q	Now, based on your experience in this area, is
12		there anything else that you would have done that
13		Captain Hazelwood didn't do on that particular
14		night? Keeping in mind, of course, that you're
15		the expert on salvage matters and captains, you
16		said, don't necessarily have that kind of
17		expertise.
18	(1718)
19	A	I think he did, you know, very well. Under
20		the circumstances.
21	Q	Why do you say that?
22	A	Well, you read off the list of all the things
23		he did, and, you know, continuing to monitor the
24		condition was very important, you know, you don't
25		know how fast it's deteriorating, I kind of have

Α

the advantage of knowing -- when I got up there they had -- by that time they had divers out there, and they -- they had actually physically looked at the bottom of the vessel, so when I got there, I knew it was impaled. He didn't know any of that stuff that night.

You know, and -- well, the best thing he could do was to keep it where it was at until help could come, you know, people and equipment and what have you.

- Q How would you characterize the Captain's action on that particular night?
- A I think he did very well, personally, under the circumstances.
- Now, there's been testimony that at about 12:35, 12:40 in the morning, on the 24th, the Captain restarted his engines. Do you have an opinion as to that?

Yes, I think that he'd become aware, you know, that the tide was rising, and the vessel may get light and tend to want to slide off there, you know, if it wasn't impaled, that was a distinct possibility I think he considered, so therefore, he applied enough power to the same general heading as the initial striking, so therefore the

1		vessel couldn't move. We know that for he
2		would have known that heading in that same
3		direction, there was enough resistance to have
4		stopped the vessel at some 10 knots, so therefore
5		applying enough maneuvering power and holding
6		there, he precluded it from sliding off, if that
7		was possible. He didn't know that that wasn't
8		possible at that time.
9	Q	Now, he also used the rudder in the course of
10		running the engines forward. Do you have an
11		opinion as to that?
12	A	Yeah, it's necessary to use the rudder to
13		maintain a heading.
14	Q	What do you mean by that?
15	A	Well, you have to use a little bit of rudder
16		power to keep to maintain any kind of a
17		heading. A a big ship like that, or even
18		small ones, tend to walk sideways, if you if
19		you just set the rudder amidships, for example,
20		the rudder's turning ahead, it tends to make the
21		ship
22	Q	You mean the propeller.
23	A	Yeah, the propeller.
24	Q	Go ahead.
25	A	It tends to make the stern of the ship walk

1 one way or the other. If you're backing up, it 2 moves to the left, and if you're just sitting 3 there steady moving ahead, it moves to the right, 4 so therefore you have to use a little bit of 5 rudder to -- this is a -- true of single-screw 6 vessels, twin-screw vessels you can set on a 7 heading and pretty well hold it, but a single-8 screw vessel tends to walk one way or the other, 9 just from the force of the propeller going 10 around. 11 Is the Exxon Valdez a single-screw vessel? Q 12 Yes, it is. Α 13 Do you agree or disagree with the use of the 0 14 rudder as used by Captain Hazelwood on that 15 night? 16 Α I think the use of the rudder was absolutely 17 necessary. 18 Now, Mr. Milwee testified that he considered Q 19 the use of 55 RPM's and the use of the rudder as 20 a lot of force being applied to this vessel. 21 you agree or disagree with that characterization? 22 I don't think under the circumstances it's a Α 23 lot of force. You gotta look at the propeller 24 -- you know, you look at the propeller cruise of 25 the ship, it's less than one third of its power,

1		you know, and that's not very much, you know,
2		it's a like I said earlier, that's about 112
3		tons of force, and on a vessel this size, that
4		isn't an awful lot.
5	Q	Now, the decisions that Captain Hazelwood made
6		were took place somewhere between 12:10, when
7		the vessel ran aground, and, let's say, 1:40,
8		2:00, or two hours later. Do you have an opinion
9		as to the time that Captain Hazelwood had to make
10		all these decisions?
11	A	Well, it wasn't an awful lot of time.
12	Q	Would you say that the decisions he made were
13		done quickly?
14	A	I think yes. I think it was what he did
15		in managing the crisis was very professional. I
16		think that it reflects his training.
17	Q	Now, Mr. Milwee criticized Captain Hazelwood
18		for shutting the vessel's engine down at 1:40 in
19		the morning, opining, if you will, that he should
20		have kept if he was trying to keep the vessel
21		on the reef, he should have kept the engines
22		running until past high water, 2:00, and then
23		perhaps even for an hour later. Do you agree or
24	}	disagree with that opinion?
25	A	Well, I disagree with it.

1	Q	Why?
2	A	Well, because we are now approaching high
3		water, and if you intended to refloat the vessel,
4		you would operate the engine through high water.
5		You wouldn't stop before high water, and if you
6		were trying to hold it on the rock up to high
7		water, you know, when the vessel's getting the
8		lightest, then you want to stop. If you continue
9		to operate the engine, you may accidentally
10		refloat it.
11	Q	So in your opinion, shutting the engine down
12		at 1:40 before high water was the proper move?
13	A	That's right.
14	Q	If he wanted to keep the vessel on the reef?
15	A	That's right. If you intended to refloat the
16		vessel, then you would not only I mean, you
17		wouldn't be using one third power and stopping
18		before high water, you'd be using all the power
19		you've got and you'd operate the engine all the
20		way through high water.
21	Q	I want to ask you about that, but before I do,
22		let me ask you this. You've gotten a lot of
23		ships off the strand before, have you not?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Have you ever backed a ship down to get it off

	J	
1		the strand?
2	(201	4)
3	A	Almost always.
4	Q	Is that the preferred if you wanted to get
5		a ship off the reef, is that the way you would do
6		it, back the engines?
7	A	It depends on how hard the vessel's aground.
8		If there's nothing wrong with the power plant and
9		the engine, and the propeller's all right and the
10		machinery's all right, it's just another source
11		of force, so you use it, it's there. Usually in
12		the type of stuff I get into, propeller power
13		alone is not enough, I have to get, you know, a
14		salvage vessel that has winching capacity or a
15		lot of extra tugs, or sometimes you stream out
16		the vessel's anchor, gear the you know, drag
17		it out behind the ship and use the anchor
18		windlass to apply additional power, or sometimes
19		you put tackle on deck and one end goes out to
20		apply additional power.
21	Q	And in those situations, do you also use the
22		engine astern?
23	A	If the engine's operable, you generally do.
24		It's just another source of force.
25	0	Okay, now you had the opportunity to examine

H & M COURT REPORTING \bullet 510 L Street \bullet Suite 350 \bullet Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \bullet (907) 274-5661

Α

Α

the actions that Captain Hazelwood took on that particular night with respect to the use of the engines and rudder, you also had an opportunity to read Mr. Kunkel's testimony about the information that he was giving the Captain over a period of about an hour. Do you have an opinion as to what Captain Hazelwood was doing, or trying to do?

Well, everything that he did, in my opinion, was aimed at keeping the vessel on the rock, which under the circumstances was a prudent thing to do.

Q Why do you say that? On what do you base your opinion that he was trying to keep it on the rock?

Well, the main indication, I suppose, is the fact that he didn't back up at all, and you can't -- the ship -- come to a dead stop in roughly, you know, the direction that it was headed, and so you'd keep it on the rock by working the engine ahead. Nobody would think that you can get the ship off by going ahead. You have to back up, you have to reverse the direction that it went in there to get out of there. He didn't use -- he used less than a third of the power he

	had available to attempt to get it off.
Q	Well, what would you have done if you found
	yourself in the same situation? I mean, if you
	wanted to get off, what would you have done?
Α	Well, you mean if I was serious about taking
	the ship off?
Q	Yes.
Α	Well, to start off with, I wouldn't have
	tried, you know, because of the severity of the
	damage to the ship. I mean
Q	To get her off.
A	you're asking what I would do.
Q	Yes.
A	I wouldn't have tried to take it off until I
	got some help.
Q	And in this situation you don't believe
	Captain Hazelwood was trying to get it off
	either.
A	Not a single thing he did would indicate to me
	he was trying to get it off.
Q	All right, suppose you did want to get it off.
	What would you have done?
A	All right, well, to start off with, if I was
	trying to get it off, I'd have probably worked
	A Q A Q A Q A

Α

would have swung it a lot more. And what the purpose of all this is is to grind away at the rock. The way ships are extracted from rocks is with just sheer force, you know, not floating them off like we did the Exxon Valdez, but if you just try to pull 'em off, you have to remove the interference, you know, get this mechanical connection with the rock taken away, so you do that by swinging the ship back and forth and working ahead on it.

Q Would you -- what power would you have used to do that?

Oh, probably a little bit more than maneuvering. You know, where -- depends on the circumstances, but one thing -- to do this, you should have a better idea of where the rock is located and that type of thing, so that you don't go ripping anything else open. You know, that's -- you -- in this case, if you put a whole lot of power on going forward, you're jeopardizing the intact tanks under the pump room and the engine room and -- and if the thing went far enough, you could get -- wipe out the propeller and the rudder.

In this case -- well, if it was me, this is

hypothetical, but if it was me out there, I wouldn't have had any way to find out just exactly where the impalement was at. So that's why I said in the first place I wouldn't have tried. But if you did that, you would work the ship ahead, swing it back and forth to grind away on the rock, you know, chip the rock away, and that's what happens.

Then from time to time you'd back the ship up

-- and in this case you'd go as -- you'd use all
your power, and try and move the ship back, also
try and swing it while this is going on.

Swinging the ship, going astern in impalement
isn't very easy to do, the rudder's pretty
ineffective, you really need tugs to swing the
thing. And you just keep repeating this
operation, until you chip the ship away.

The two ways you pull a ship off a rock is break the rock out from under it, or rip the steel in the hull away, to release it. And real life is generally a combination of both of them. You know, you rip some steel away, you break a lot of rock away.

Now, Captain Hazelwood didn't do those actions in this case, did he?

H & M COURT REPORTING • 510 L Street • Suite 350 • Anchorage, Alaska 99501 • (907) 274-5661

1		MR. COLE: Objection, leading.
2	Q	I'll rephrase it. Were any of the actions
3		that you described done in this particular case?
4	A	They were not.
5	Q	Now, the inert gas system was maintained open
6		during this period of time, is that correct?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Do you have an opinion as to the effect of
9		keeping the IG system in terms of trying to get
10		the vessel off or keeping her on the reef?
11	A	Well, if you wanted to get it off, you
12		should've closed the IG system once the the
13		oil had gone out in the some 35 minutes, at that
14		point in time, the IG system should have been
15		closed, so that you could have trapped some air
16		as the high as the tide rose, that would give
17		you some amount of lift on the on the you
18		know, the hour and he'd have about an hour and
19		20 or 30 minutes of tide rise, which would have
20		started pressurizing the tanks, you know, so you
21		should that should have been done earlier if
22		you intended to get the thing off.
23	Q	The fact that Captain Hazelwood didn't close
24		down the IG system, is that indicative to you of
25		anything?

1	A	Well, that he wasn't also again that he
2	!	wasn't really trying to get it off.
3	Q	Okay. Now, in this maneuver, the hypothetical
4		maneuver that you're talking about, where you
5		would go forward using power and using your
6		rudder to grind down the rock and then backing
7		up, how far back would you go in order to
8		accomplish that, or accomplish the maneuver that
9		you're talking about?
10	A	What do you mean, how far back?
11	Q	Well, would you go a couple hundred feet back,
12		would you go a thousand feet back, in order to do
13		what you're talking about?
14	A	Well, the ship would only when you back up
15		on the ship, it would only move if the rock had
16		come away, you wouldn't you'd probably only
17	ŀ	gain a little bit each time you did this, I mean,
18	·	it wouldn't move 100 feet, it would you know,
19		you'd have to go ahead and back up and then swing
20		back and forth and just wear 'em down, I had a
21		ship in down by Trinidad one time that took us
22		two tides to get off, and we were it was on
23		coral, coral and rocks, and we ground away on it,
24		swung it back and forth, tied tugs on it, beach
25		anchor gear out, beach gear on. We even put

1		air in the double-bottom tanks to lighten them,
2		we took 6,000 tons of bunkers and cargo off of
3		it, we did all those things to one vessel, and it
4		still took two tides.
5	(2415	5)
6	Q	Okay, let me show you what's been marked as
7		Exhibit AK, and ask you, did you see these
8		soundings before?
9	A	Yes, I directed that these soundings be taken.
10	Q	They were taken at your request?
11	Α	That's correct.
12	Q	Now, you notice the soundings astern of the
13		vessel reaching up anywhere between 180 feet and
14		150 feet?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	There was plenty of water back there, was
17		there not?
18	A	Yes, there was.
19	Q	So if Captain Hazelwood wanted to make the
20		maneuver that you testified about, that is, go
21		forward, grind the rock down a little bit, and
22		then back up, did he have enough water behind him
23		to do that?
24	A	Yeah, he would've. And he would've had enough
25		water to the south if he just swung it that

1		
1		direction.
2	Q	Now, Mr. Leitz, you say that all the actions
3		that you studied in this case that were taken by
4		Captain Hazelwood led you to believe that he was
5		trying to keep the vessel on the rock.
6	A	That's correct.
7	Q	On the reef. Did you have the occasion to
8		review a transcript of a tape between Captain
9		Hazelwood and the Coast Guard?
10	A	Yes, I did.
11	Q	And do you recall on the tape the Captain was
12		telling the Coast Guard that he was ascertained
13		that he was going to try and extract the vessel
14		from the reef?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Do you have how do you square what he was
17		telling the Coast Guard with what he was doing on
18		that particular night, and your opinion that he
19		was trying to keep it on the reef?
20		MR. COLE: Objection, speculation.
21		MR. CHALOS: I'm asking his opinion as to
22		(Whispered bench conference)
23	Q	Let me pick up the thread again so I you've
24		got the transcript of the transmission tape of
25		the radio transmission between the vessel and the

1		Coast Guard.
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	And I take it you read that Captain Hazelwood
4		indicated to the Coast Guard that he was trying
5		to extract the vessel from the shoal.
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	You said that based on what you observed in
8		this situation, the testimony that you read and
9		the actions that were taken, you believe that he
10		was trying to keep the vessel on the reef.
11	A	That's correct.
12	Q	Well, how do you square the two?
13	A	Well, the first conversation, I think at that
14	!	time he really I think he really thought he
15		was going to try and get the vessel off, and
16	Q	Now, why would that be?
17	A	Well, he had that was you know, not too
18		long after the ship went aground, and I think
19		that he thought that he didn't have a full
20		evaluation of the condition of it at that time,
21		and so I think that, you know a person has to
22		remember that Captain Hazelwood is
23		THE COURT: Excuse me just a second. Counsel
24	appro	bach the bench please.
25		(Whispered bench conference)

1	Q (Mr. Leitz by Mr. Chalos:) Mr. Leitz, in
2	expressing the opinion that you're about to
3	express, that's based on your opinion and not on
4	something that you might have heard from anyone,
5	is that correct?
6	A That's correct.
7	Q Okay. Now, can you go on?
8	A Yeah. I think that, you know, you have to
9	kind of look at the Captain's seagoing
10	experience. He has a very good reputation as a
11	mariner, and he had never been
12	MR. COLE: Judge, I object to that. He has no
13	basis to say that.
14	THE COURT: Yeah, that's improper. You can
15	ask him his opinion, I want to make sure it's not based
16	on what Captain Hazelwood told him, what anybody else
17	told him, based on his review of the record here, and
18	what's been supplied to him. That's
19	MR. CHALOS: That's what I'm asking, Your
20	Honor.
21	THE COURT: But he's giving information that's
22	not based on the record.
23	Q (Mr. Leitz by Mr. Chalos:) All right, would
24	you just confine your answer to your opinion
25	based on what you reviewed in the record, that

1 is, Mr. Kunkel's testimony, the various things 2 that you reviewed as far as the engine orders and 3 the rudder orders, and your experience. 4 Well, can I ask you a question? I spent, you Α 5 know, three and a half months with all the people 6 that were crew members of that ship and that have 7 worked -- do I have to ignore that? 8 Q No ... 9 I'm going to object. MR. COLE: 10 THE COURT: Okay. I'll tell you what, the way 11 we do this here is he asks questions and you give 12 answers, and I think we'll have to stick with that 13 format. 14 All right, let's try that one again, Mr. Q 15 Leitz. 16 Α Okay. 17 Confine your answer to what you reviewed in 0 18 terms of testimony, exhibits, and your own 19 personal experience, either when you were on the 20 vessel and you saw with your own eyes, not what 21 somebody told you, and your experience in general 22 as a salvage master. 23 So my question to you is, Captain Hazelwood 24 is, based on your opinion, trying to keep the 25 vessel on the reef, but he's telling the Coast

1		Guard at about that same time that he's trying to
2		get the vessel off the reef. Do you have an
3		opinion as to why there's a difference between
4		the two?
5	A	Yeah, well, okay. The first conversation I
6		think that he still thought that he could get the
7	- In	thing off, I don't think he the severity of
8		the situation had sunk in. And by the time
9	Q	Is that uncommon in these type of situations
10		•••
11	A	No, it's not.
12	Q	based on your experience?
13	A	No, my experience, I've been on a lot of ships
14		where the masters have been very upset, in fact,
15		I was on one called the Kaptianos (ph) which was
16		a Greek ship. The captain locked himself in his
17		stateroom and wouldn't eat, and after two days we
18		had the Coast Guard come out and haul it away in
19		a basket. I mean, that's how upset he was. I
20		mean, he wasn't wild or anything, he was just
21		very, very upset. And I've seen that's an
22		unusual situation, but I've seen various degrees
23		of this type of trauma, if you will, many times.
24	Q	All right. So go ahead with your opinion.
25	A	Well, the second conversation he, I think,

H & M COURT REPORTING \bullet 510 L Street \bullet Suite 350 \bullet Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \bullet (907) 274-5661

1 mentally, in his mental state at the time, he 2 just absolutely refused to accept the fact that 3 he couldn't -- he didn't have a solution for it. I don't think he'd ever been in a seagoing 5 problem before that he couldn't resolve, and here 6 he is faced with a situation that is, you know, 7 catastrophic, if you -- you know, and I don't 8 think that he wanted to announce to the world, to 9 the Coast Guard and to everybody else who was 10 listening on the radio that ... 11 (2853)12 MR. COLE: I object to this, this is purely 13 speculation. 14 This has gone past the expertise THE COURT: 15 of this witness. Objection sustained as to relevance. 16 It's too speculative. 17 Okay. Now, was there anything that you 0 18 observed in the actions that the Captain took on 19 this particular night that would indicate that in 20 fact he was trying to get the vessel off the 21 reef? 22 Absolutely nothing. Α 23 Did you say you read Mr. Vorus' testimony? 24 Yes, I did. 25 The naval architect? Do you agree that the Q

1		
1	ı	four or five scenarios that he spoke about are
2		hypothetical?
3	A	They're all hypothetical, yes.
4	Q	Do you have an opinion as to well, let me
5		back up. Why do you say they're hypothetical?
6	A	Because there was no chance in the world the
7		ship was going to come off. It would take
8		levitation to have got it off that night.
9	Q	Do you agree or disagree with Mr. Vorus'
10		opinion that if the vessel came off by levitation
11		or otherwise, that she would have sunk within a
12		period of 75 to 90 minutes?
13	A	I disagree.
14	Q	Do you agree or disagree with Mr. Vorus'
15		opinion that the vessel's crew would have done
16		nothing if the vessel came off?
17	A	I disagree with that also.
18	Q	What is the basis of that?
19	A	Well, the experienced tankermen are used to
20		changing the trim of the vessel by pumping
21		ballast from here to there, and around and what
22		have you, experienced seamen, and you know, I
23		can't believe that they'd sit there and let the
24		•••
25	,	MR. COLE: Judge, again, I'm going to object

1 as to purely speculation. 2 MR. CHALOS: This is based on his experience, 3 Your Honor, with actions of the crew. 4 THE COURT: I'm going to let it come in, Mr. 5 Cole. 6 (Mr. Leitz by Mr. Chalos:) Go ahead. Q You can 7 answer. 8 Α Okay, where were we? 9 Well, you were saying that based on your Q 10 experience, the vessel's crew with the ship ... 11 Okay. Α 12 ... starting to list would do something. Q 13 Α Right. We've sort of evaluated the situation 14 of -- if it had levitated off the beach and was 15 floating there, the thing would have tended to go 16 down, list slightly to starboard, and go down by 17 the head. But with very minimal intervention of 18 the crew, this could have been stopped. 19 Q Well, hold on a second, let me get you a 20 model, and maybe you can explain to the jury what 21 you're talking about. 22 I'm showing you now what's been marked as 23 Exhibit 154. Let me -- all right. Now, would 24 you graphically show the jury what you're talking 25 about?

1	A	Yeah.
2	Q	Would you like to stand up and approach the
3		jury? Your Honor, is that all right?
4		THE COURT: Yeah.
5	A	Well, anyhow, the ship had gone off of the
6		reef, and and this is hypothetical, it
7		couldn't possibly have done it, but if it had
8		gone off the reef out into the water, and assume
9		it was level to start with, then slowly the ship
10		would have tipped like this gone down at the
11		starboard side, which is this side, and down by
12		the head, so it would have tended to go like
13		
14		that. But it would have eventually stopped at
		about 12 degrees of list of list.
15		Now, if you pretend my arm is like a
16		teeter-totter, if you will. Anyhow, so this ship
17		was going down like this. We have buoyancy along
18		the port side, we have buoyancy back here
19	Q	Why do you have buoyancy on the port side?
20	A	Because the tanks are intact.
21	Q	Okay.
22	A	So now all we have to do to counteract this
23	i	tipping, and this is an oversimplification of it,
24		is to ballast back from here to number 4 port,
25		and also the port wing tank in the engine room,
	l	

1		or the after peak tank, which is back here, the
2		port engine room, wing tank is here, and number 4
3		port is right here. This what just very
4		little intervention, counteract this and bring
5		the thing back up, it's as simple as that. Just
6		like a teeter-totter.
7	Q	And would that keep it afloat? The
8		counteraction, would that keep it afloat?
9	A	Yes, it would.
10	Q	How quickly could they ballast down number 4
11		port?
12	A	I believe it would take about two hours to
13		completely fill it, and that would number 4
14		port, that's on the ballast system probably
15		something oh, an hour or so, take a little bit
16		longer to fill this port wing tank and the engine
17		room.
18	Q	How about did you have occasion to look at the
19		valve system in the cargo control room?
20	A	Yes, it's all electrically operated, so to do
21		any ballasting, like number 4 port, it's a matter
22		of pushing a couple of buttons. The valves are
23		operated from the control room, and the pumps are
24		operated from the control room. Ballasting the
25		engine room would you'd have to call the

1		engineer, and then that's controlled from the
2		engine room, but that's still a matter of a phone
3		call and the engine this is a very modern,
4		sophisticated ship, so all the stuff is really
5		automatic, not a matter of
6	Q	Would you agree or disagree with the opinion
7		that as soon as the valves were open, the two
8		valves down number 4 port, the vessel would start
9		righting itself?
10	A	Yeah, it would do ballasting number 4 port,
11		that's what this indicates here, isn't it?
12	Q	Yeah, right.
13	A	Would tend to start tipping this thing back,
14		using the engine room tanks would be even a
15		little bit more dramatic, because you have more
16		leverage, 'cause they're further back, it's like
17		a fulcrum, you know, lever. The other thing is,
18		is
19	Q	How about number 4 starboard?
20	A	4 starboard was pumpable. The damage to it
21		was very small, we eventually patched it up at
22		the pumps, but easily take the water out of
23	ı	that and hold it.
24	Q	What was the effect of that?
25	A	Well, it just gives it more to lever this

1		thing over, you've got some positive buoyancy in
2		here.
3	Q	So the ship's crew could have pumped that tank
4		out if they wanted to, to straighten her out?
5	A	Yeah. And that's right, and it would have
6		really been I think the crew could have
7		would have done it instinctively, because if you
8		start to tip 'em down here, you know, an
9		experienced tankerman knows that if you put some
10		weight back here, the thing's gonna come back up,
11		and the interesting thing when we worked it out
12		was that whether you used forced port or
13		starboard or the port engine room wing tank or
14		the after peak, the effect was pretty much the
15		same. So you really couldn't miss, you could use
16		do all three things, and the net result is the
17		bow comes back up. You know, you
18	(3300)
19	Q	Okay. You can resume your seat. Do you agree
20		or disagree with the opinion expressed by Mr.
21		Vorus that if they had put water in number 4 port
22		and the port ballast tanks in the engine room,
23		that the vessel would have sunk?
24	A	No, absolutely not.
25	Q	You don't agree with that?

1		
1	A	It wouldn't have sunk.
2	Q	Was the possibility of pumping air into any of
3		these tanks feasible?
4	A	It's possible that they could have thought of
5		it and done it, but I think it's a little bit too
6		difficult, I don't think that that's so feasible,
7	1	I don't also don't think it was probably
8		necessary, I think if they managed to straighten
9		the ship up that far, that they probably would
10		have gotten around to doing that after the
11	-	immediate crisis was over with, you know, more at
12		their leisure.
13	Q	Okay.
14	A	But this now you got to remember, this is
15		all assuming the thing could leap off the rock.
16	Q	Which you don't believe
17	A	No, I don't think so.
18	Q	would have been possible.
19	A	No.
20	Q	Okay, lastly, there's been testimony that at
21		least 10, possibly 11 tanks in varying degrees
22		were damaged on this vessel. Does the fact that
23		you might have 11 tanks open on the bottom mean
24		that the vessel will automatically sink?
25	A	Well, it depends where the 11 tanks are

```
1
            located, and ...
2
      Q
               Well, how about the 11 tanks on this vessel
3
            that were holed?
4
               No, it doesn't necessarily mean that.
     Α
5
               Would you explain why not?
      Q
6
     Α
               Well, because on a tank vessel, the top of the
7
            vessel's closed up, so therefore, as it goes
8
            down, it tend -- it will build air -- air
9
            pressure, just by the act of sinking, creates air
10
            pressure. In fact, for every 2.3 feet the thing
11
            would go down, you can create one pound of air
12
            pressure, which creates buoyancy, just like
13
            inserting the air from the top. You can do it by
14
            lowering the vessel in the water, has the same
15
            effect.
16
               Okay, I have no further questions at this
     0
17
            time.
                   Thank you.
18
               THE COURT: Take a recess. Don't discuss the
19
     matter among yourselves or with any other person, don't
20
      form or express any opinions.
21
      (3429)
22
               (Off record - 9:50 a.m.)
23
               (On record - 10:10 a.m.)
24
               THE COURT: Mr. Cole?
25
```

1		CROSS EXAMINATION OF MR. LEITZ
2	BY MR	. COLE:
3	Q	Mr. Leitz, you would agree that if Captain
4		Hazelwood was attempting to remove that vessel
5		from the reef, that was the absolute wrong thing
6		to do under the situation, would you not?
7	A	(No audible response)
8	Q	If he was attempting to remove that vessel
9		from the reef, that was absolutely the wrong
10		thing to do given the damage that his vessel had
11		sustained.
12	A	I wouldn't have he shouldn't have tried to
13		remove it, no.
14	Q	That was absolutely the wrong thing to do,
15		correct?
16		MR. CHALOS: He answered the question, Your
17	Honor	•
18		THE COURT: Objection overruled.
19	Q	That was absolutely the wrong thing to do,
20		correct?
21	A	I don't think it absolutely would have been
22		strong, but it it wouldn't have been the
23		proper thing to do under the circumstances.
24	Q	And if I wrote your quote down correctly, you
25		said there's absolutely no evidence that would
	l .	

1	lead you to believe that he was trying to remove
2	that vessel from the reef. Correct?
3	A None of his actions indicated that he was
4	trying to remove the ship from the reef.
5	Q Well, when a person speaks, that's a type of
6	action, isn't it?
7	A Well, all I can that's just an opinion
8	based on what he was doing. Everything he did
9	indicates to me that there was no way that he
10	wanted to remove that ship from the reef.
11	Q And you've read a statement of his
12	conversation with the Coast Guard, is that
13	correct?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Have you listened to the tape?
16	A Yes, I have.
17	Q Well, I'm going to ask you to listen to it
18	again. Judge, I would ask to play the tape at
19	this time.
20	MR. CHALOS: Your Honor, I think it's improper
21	to sit and listen to it, unless there's a question and
22	he's trying to refresh his recollection or impeach him,
23	I think it's improper.
24	THE COURT: He said he listened to the tape,
25	Mr. Cole, what's the purpose of playing it again?

1		MR. COLE: I want him to evaluate it and make
2	sure	it's the same tape that he listened to before.
3		THE COURT: Objection sustained.
4	Q	(Mr. Leitz by Mr. Cole:) So when Captain
5		Hazelwood said, "We're working our way off the
6		reef," he didn't mean that.
7	Α	I don't think he did.
8	Q	You mean you think he meant, by the words,
9		"We're working our way off the reef," "We're
10		working our way on the reef"?
11	A	I mean, he had well, he wasn't working his
12		way anywhere, he was just holding it right there,
13		I don't think he was prepared to announce to the
14		world that he couldn't handle the situation.
15	Q	Sir, he didn't say, "We don't know what we've
16		got here," he didn't say, "I'm trying to keep it
17		on the reef," he didn't say, "I'm trying to
18		figure out what we're trying to do," what he said
19	İ	is, "We're working our way off the reef," didn't
20		he?
21	A	He said that in the tape, but he told Mr.
22		Kunkel that "It looks like we're going to stay
23		here," in Kunkel's deposition testimony, he
24		said, "Looks like we're going to stay here." And
25		•••

1	Q Sir
2	A I think that that's probably more accurate
3	than what he said on the tape.
4	Q You think
5	A I mean, more accurate with respect to what he
6	was doing. I can only make a judgement from what
7	he actually did. You know
8	(3728)
9	Q He didn't say, "We're trying to keep on the
10	reef," he said, "We're trying to work we are
11	working our way off the reef," didn't he?
12	A Well, he said that in the tape, yes.
13	Q And then in the next sentence he said, "Well
14	" no, he said, "We've, uh, the vessel's been
15	holed and we're ascertaining, right now we're
16	trying to just get her off the reef." Correct?
17	In the next sentence.
18	A I think the man was upset, distraught, and
19	what have you. None of the things he did if
20	he
21	MR. COLE: Judge, I move to strike as non-
22	responsive.
23	THE COURT: All right, the question was, "Did
24	he make that statement on the tape?"
25	A Oh, oh yes, he made the statement.

1	THE COURT: It would call for a yes or no
2	answer. The motion's granted, disregard the last
3	answer.
4	Q (Mr. Leitz by Mr. Cole:) He didn't say in the
5	next sentence, "Right now we're trying to get her
6	back on the reef," did he?
7	A No, he didn't say that.
8	Q He didn't say, "Right now we're trying to keep
9	her on the reef," did he?
10	A No.
11	Q He didn't say, "Right now she's starting to
12	slip and I'm taking action to keep her on the
13	reef," did he?
14	A No.
15	Q He said, "We're trying to just get her off the
16	reef," correct?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And then there's a conversation where Captain
19	or Commander McCall says something, and
20	Captain Hazelwood answers him again. And he
21	says, "We're, uh, pretty good shape right now,
22	stability-wise, we are just trying to extract her
23	off the shoal here." Correct?
24	A Yeah.
25	Q He didn't say, "We're, uh, just trying to keep

H & M COURT REPORTING • 510 L Street • Suite 350 • Anchorage, Alaska 99501 • (907) 274-5661

```
1
            her on the shoal here," did he?
 2
               No.
      A
 3
      Q
               He didn't say, "We're, uh, just trying to put
 4
            her back on the shoal because we're starting to
 5
            slip," did he?
6
               He didn't say that.
7
               He said, "We're just trying to extract her off
      Q
8
            the shoal," correct?
9
               Yes.
      Α
10
               What does "extract" mean to you, Mr. Leitz?
11
               Pull out.
      Α
12
               Pull out, what do you mean?
      Q
13
               You know, back off, or ...
      Α
14
               Get off, you mean?
      Q
15
      Α
               ... remove.
16
               Remove?
      Q
17
      Α
               Like a tooth. Extract, pull out.
18
               Get off a reef, maybe?
      Q
19
      Α
               Yeah.
20
               And then he goes on to say, "And once we get
      Q
21
            underway, I'll let you know." He didn't say,
22
            "And once we get this situation stabilized, I'll
23
            let you know," did he?
24
      Α
               No.
25
               He didn't say, "Once we get this slippage
      Q
```

H & M COURT REPORTING • 510 L Street • Suite 350 • Anchorage, Alaska 99501 • (907) 274-5661

1		problem, and I'm worried about my vessel, I'll
2		get back to you." He didn't say that, did he?
3	A	No.
4	Q	He said, "Once we get underway, I'll get back
5		to you." Correct?
6	A	That's right.
7	Q	And what does "once we get underway" mean to
8		you?
9	A	That means that the ship is steaming away
10		someplace.
11	Q	And that's an action that would indicate he's
12		trying to get off the reef, isn't it?
13	A	Well, his his actions indicated he wasn't
14		trying to get off the reef, no matter what he
15		said.
16	Q	Well, those are words that would indicate he's
17		trying to get off the reef, right?
18	A	I I understand that. But the things that
19		he did are not the things you would do to extract
20		the vessel from the reef.
21	Q	We'll get to that. I'll let you explain that
22		•••
23	A	Deeds, not words.
24	Q	but I want to talk about these things
25		right here. I want to talk about his words

1	first. And his words were, "Once we get
2	underway, I'll let you know." Correct?
3	MR. CHALOS: He asked that twice, Judge.
4	THE COURT: Yes, let's go on, Mr. Cole.
5	Q Do you think, as the other defense witness
6	said, that Captain Hazelwood was losing his
7	senses, cracking up at this point?
8	MR. CHALOS: Objection, Your Honor, I don't
9	think that was the testimony of the other witness.
10	THE COURT: Well, it was something like that.
11	If that's your objection, objection overruled, ask the
12	question.
13	Q (Mr. Leitz by Mr. Cole:) Is that what you
14	think?
15	A I think that's probably puttin' it a little
16	strong, I think the man was highly distraught, he
17	was upset, and he just simply was not prepared to
18	announce to the world that he couldn't handle the
19	situation. The man's been going to sea, or went
20	to sea for years, and he had never been in a
21	seagoing situation that he couldn't handle, and
22	I've seen it happen to other captains, I've had
23	it happen to myself, one
24	Q I'm sorry, all I asked you was do you
25	MR. CHALOS: Judge, he invited that answer, he

was getting the answer, he didn't like it, so he interrupted. Now, I would ask that the witness be allowed to finish his answer.

THE COURT: I think the witness finished the answer. Go on to the next question.

(4066)

- Q Do you think he was trying to tell the Coast Guard what they wanted to hear?
- I don't think it was necessarily that, even.

 I think that he -- he just didn't want to admit to himself, and to the world, that he was in a situation that he can't handle. The guy's a professional mariner, you know, and he'd never been in a situation in his life that he couldn't handle, you know, heavy weather, whatever. With a ship.

I don't know that he had ever been involved in any kind of a casualty before, you know, I don't know. But I've seen other captains behave pretty much the same way. You know, they just -- they almost perform by rote, they do all of the right things, and then their mind -- you know, they've got a mindset, or a mental block against admitting that situations are beyond their capability, they haven't got the equipment, they

```
1
            haven't got the personnel, you know, they can't
2
            really cope with it.
3
     Q
               He then said, "I think we've done major
4
            damage, it's kind of done, we kind of rocked and
5
            rolled over it, and we're just kind of hung up in
6
            the stern here." Correct?
7
     Α
               Yes.
8
               "And we're just -- we'll -- we're
     0
9
            just -- uh, we'll drift over it." Correct?
10
     Α
               Yes.
11
               And he didn't say, "We're gonna hang right
     Q
12
            here on this reef and wait out until you guys get
13
            out here," did he?
14
     Α
               No.
15
               And he didn't say, "We're slipping and I'm
     Q
16
            taking action right now to try and keep us on
17
            this reef," did he?
18
      (Tape 3678)
19
               No.
     Α
20
               And he didn't say, "I'm doing everything I can
     Q
21
            to save this ship and keep us on the reef," did
22
            he?
23
               He didn't say that.
     Α
24
               He said, "We're just kind of hung up in the
     Q
25
            stern here, we'll just, uh, we'll drift over it."
```

1	Correct?
2	A Yeah.
3	Q Now, did you listen to any of the statements
4	of the crew members that were on the bridge that
5	evening?
6	A Well, the only deposition or the testimony
7	I read was Kunkel's, as far as crew members go.
8	Q And then you were aware that Mr. Kunkel,
9	before testifying in this case, had told the FBI
10	that he thought the Captain was trying to get it
11	off the reef, aren't you?
12	A I'm not familiar with that at all. I didn't
13	see that.
14	Q You didn't see that in his testimony. Are you
15	aware that Mr. Kagan, when he was asked prior to
16	coming to trial, told people that he was that
17	the Captain was trying to get it off the reef?
18	MR. CHALOS: Your Honor, I object to that,
19	they testified here, they were examined by Mr. Cole as
20	to those statements, they explained those statements, I
21	think it's improper to question this witness on a
22	statement that's already been explained in court.
23	THE COURT: I think Mr. Cole's entitled to
24	cross-examine this witness on his opinions based on the
25	information he had available and whether he reviewed

1	it or not. Objection overruled.
2	Q Did you review any of the statements of Mr.
3	Kagan?
4	A No, I didn't.
5	Q Did you know that he said that in his
6	statement that Captain Hazelwood was attempting
7	to get the vessel off the reef?
8	A No, I don't know anything about what Mr. Kagan
9	said.
10	Q How about Mr. Cousins? Did you review any of
11	his statements?
12	A No.
13	Q Well, did you know that in his statements he
14	said that Captain Hazelwood was attempting to get
15	it off the reef?
16	(0090)
17	MR. CHALOS: Judge, I object, Mr. Cole's
18	mischaracterized two statements that didn't say
19	anything like that. I don't know where he's getting
20	this information.
21	THE COURT: First of all, when the witness
22	says he didn't review the statements, it's going to be
23	really unlikely that he was aware of what the statement
24	said. So I'm going to sustain the objection.
25	Q Were you aware that Captain Hazelwood gave a

j	
1	statement to the State Troopers?
2	A No.
3	Q Well, let me let you read it. You didn't read
4	that before you gave your opinion that he was
5	trying to get on the reef?
6	A Now, let me get this straight. I am basing my
7	opinion solely on his actions, not on a single
8	word he said. Everything that he did is what a
9	prudent seaman would do to keep the thing on the
10	reef, I if I was in his shoes and I was out
11	there and I wanted to keep the ship
12	MR. COLE: I object as non-responsive.
13	THE COURT: Mr. Leitz, just answer the
14	question if you can. You're volunteering things that
15	are not responsive to the question. The question was
16	did you review Captain Hazelwood's statement before
17	giving your opinion.
18	A No.
19	Q (Mr. Leitz by Mr. Cole:) I would ask you to
20	read that paragraph right there.
21	(Pause)
22	A Well, I think that that says exactly what I'm
23	talking about. He says he tried the engines, I
24	think that
25	Q Excuse me, let me read this for you. It says,

1 does it not, "I tried the rudder and engines for 2 a few minutes to see if we could extract it from 3 the situation." He said "extract it," right? 4 Α Yeah. 5 Your Honor, if he's going to MR. CHALOS: 6 read, I request that he read the whole thing. 7 I'm going to read the whole thing. I'll get Q 8 to the whole thing. He said "extract," right? 9 Α I think, after the initial grounding, that he 10 -- he -- he did -- he had that in his mind, that 11 he thought he could get the thing off, and I'm 12 -- that's just exactly what it says, it doesn't 13 say when he said that, it said that he -- then he 14 decided that he -- that it was best not to get it 15 off, so he didn't get it off. I think that's 16 just exactly what that says. 17 He says, doesn't he, "I tried the rudder and Q 18 engines for a few minutes to see if we could 19 extract it from the situation, but then I got my 20 faculties about me, I was a little upset, of 21 course, but then I thought about it, and driving 22 her off might not be the best way to go, because 23 it just -- exacerbate the damage, so I just 24 stopped the engines." 25 That's exactly what he did. Α

1	Q Okay. (Pause) And he stopped the engines at		
2	1:41, correct?		
3	A Yeah.		
4	Q And he was maneuvering the vessel during the		
5	time up to 1:41, correct? Yes or no, please.		
6	A No.		
7	MR. CHALOS: Your Honor, I object to that,		
8	there's another indication of an engine stop, I think		
9	the witness is trying to explain that.		
10	THE COURT: The witness if he can answer		
11	the question yes or no, to go ahead and answer the		
12	question yes or no, if he can answer it.		
13	A I forgot what you asked exactly.		
14	Q He was maneuvering the vessel between 12:38,		
15	when he started the vessel, and when he stopped		
16	it at 1:41, wasn't he?		
17	A Yes. At		
18	Q You want to see a course recorder?		
19	A I've looked at the course recorder. Yeah, he		
20	was operating he stopped the engine at 20		
21	minutes after midnight, so nine minutes after he		
22	stranded, he stopped the engine, four minutes		
23	or 16 he sat there with the engine stopped		
24	for 16 minutes, which is about the time of the		
25	I think about the time of the first conversation		

1 with the Coast Guard that said he was gonna try 2 and get it off, and then he operated the -- you 3 know, stopped for 16 minutes, he operated -- he started dead slow, operated dead slow for four 5 minutes, came up to slow for four more minutes, 6 operated at half for eight minutes, you know, 7 which -- which is very very little power, and it 8 was all ahead, and I have no doubt that he -- in 9 the beginning he thought he could get it off, he 10 told the Coast Guard that ... 11 Do you know what time he told the Coast Guard Q 12 that? Do you know what time he was talking when 13 he said, "We're holed and we're ascertaining 14 right now, we're trying to get her off the reef"? 15 Do you know what time that was? 16 In which conversation? Α 17 This conversation ... 0 18 The one was at -- I think 26 minutes after Α 19 midnight, and the other one was at 1:07, are 20 those the conversations? 1:07. That's the 21 second conversation, I tried to explain what I 22 feel about that already, or what I think about 23 that. 24 And at 1:07 he's telling the Coast Guard he's Q 25 trying to get off the reef, correct? Correct?

```
1
      Α
               Yeah, he ...
2
      Q
               Is he telling the Coast Guard?
3
      Α
               Yeah, he said that.
4
               And his engines have been running for nearly
      0
5
            30 minutes, correct?
6
      Α
               Yes.
7
      0
               And they've been at full ahead for nearly 20
8
            minutes, correct?
9
               MR. CHALOS: Your Honor, I object.
                                                     Full ahead
10
      maneuvering.
11
      Q
               Full maneuvering speed for 20 minutes,
12
            correct?
13
      Α
               Yes.
14
      Q
               And he's telling the Coast Guard that he's
15
            trying to get off the reef, correct?
16
               Well ...
      Α
17
               Correct or incorrect?
      Q
18
      Α
               He -- he couldn't have got off the reef by
19
            going ahead, that's all that's to it.
20
      Q
               But he didn't know that, did he?
21
      Α
               Well, I think he probably did, because the
22
            ship was heading in that direction, and there was
23
            enough interference with the bottom to completely
24
            stop the ship at some 10 knots, which is one heck
25
            of a lot of force. You know, full ahead
```

H & M COURT REPORTING • 510 L Street • Suite 350 • Anchorage, Alaska 99501 • (907) 274-5661

1		maneuvering will most of his quite a bit of
2		his time he was going dead slow, slow, and half,
3		which is even less power, I didn't work out the
4		actual force, but at at slow or at full
5		maneuvering's only 112 tons.
6	Q	So you're saying only a little bit of the time
7		he was going full ahead? Is that what you're
8		saying?
9	A	For about an hour. Or I think it is.
10	Q	He started the engine up at 12:36, right?
11	A	Dead slow.
12	Q	Can you read this? This
13	A	I've got it written right here.
14	Q	Dead slow at 12:36, right?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	And he goes to slow ahead at 12:40, correct?
17	A ·	That's correct.
18	Q	So he had that on for two minutes, correct?
19	A	Four minutes.
20	Q	Four minutes. And then he goes to half ahead
21		at 12:48, correct?
22	Α	That's correct. Four or eight more minutes
23		at half.
24	Q	So he's been going 12 minutes total, correct?
25	Α	Yes, all working ahead.

1	Q	And then, at 9:56, he goes full ahead,
2	1	correct?
3	A	That's right.
4	Q	So he's been running it for a total of 20
5		minutes, correct?
6	A	Yeah, but at very low speeds, and at very low
7	li	power settings.
8	Q	And then for the next 40 minutes, he runs it
9		at full maneuvering speed, correct?
10	A	That's right. This is the most critical time
11		if it was gonna slip off, it would slip off
12		nearer to high water, so he just in my
13		opinion, was just holding it there.
14	Q	Okay. You say he had to know that the vessel
15		was on a rock somehow, right?
16	A	Yeah.
17	Q	Now my not the greatest artist (Pause)
18		I want you to assume that this this is a
19		hypothetical. This is a vessel and it's on a
20		rock right there. Okay? And that rock goes to
21		this point right here. And this is what the tide
22		is. Correct? Right here, let's say it's this
23		level right here.
24	A	Two inches?
25	Q	Two feet. Okay? And let's say high tide is

```
1
            going to go up to four feet. And this rock is
2
            three feet. Okay? You understand the scenario
3
            so far?
4
                     This is a hypothetical, we're not
     Α
               Yes.
5
            talking about the Exxon any more.
6
               A hypothetical, right. Uh-huh (affirmative).
      Q
7
      Α
               Okay.
               You understand it?
      Q
               Right.
10
               And if this vessel -- when the tide goes up
      Q
11
            from two to four feet, this vessel will rise.
12
            Correct?
13
               That vessel would, but the Exxon Valdez
     Α
14
            wouldn't.
15
               Just this vessel right here would rise,
      Q
16
            correct?
17
      Α
               Yeah -- you're talking about a hypothetical
18
            vessel.
19
               That's right. That's right.
      0
20
      (0514)
21
               MR. CHALOS: Your Honor, if we're talking
22
      hypothetically, it has no application to this case, I
23
      object to the relevancy.
24
               THE COURT: It's overruled, let him cross-
25
      examine the witness.
```

1	Q (Mr. Leitz by Mr. Cole:) Okay? Now, I want
2	you to explain to the jury under this
3	hypothetical how going forward will keep you on
4	that rock, this three-foot rock, when it goes up
5	to four feet.
6	MR. CHALOS: Your Honor, I object, there's no
7	foundation that in this particular case, the Exxon
8	Valdez, that the Captain knew all of these factors and
9	was maneuvering the vessel forward to keep it on I
10	think it sets several false premises, and then it asks
11	for a conclusion as to what happened in this case.
12	THE COURT: Objection is noted, you can ask,
13	the question stands.
14	A I don't think that this has a whole lot of
15	connection with the Exxon Valdez, personally.
16	Q Sir, all I'm asking is that you answer my
17	question.
18	A Well, first first off, what kind of a
19	vessel is it, are these does this say that one
20	tank here is holed, and all the rest of the tanks
21	are intact?
22	Q That's right.
23	A Okay, in that case, the the ship would
24	lift. In the case of the Exxon Valdez, there was
25	no buoyancy down this side, so the vessel cannot

1		lift.
2	Q	Sir, would you just tell me how going forward
3		with the rising tide under this scenario will
4		keep that ship on that rock?
5	A	Well, it won't in that scenario.
6	Q	Thank you. Now, you testified that according
7		to the soundings I'd like to talk about these
8		soundings for a minute.
9	A	Uh-huh (affirmative).
10	Q	These what's been marked as Defendant's
11		Exhibit AK is soundings that you directed be
12		taken around the vessel.
13	A	That's correct.
14	Q	Correct? And Exhibits CK were soundings that
15		you were told were done at 9:45 at 3/24, correct?
16	A	That's right.
17	Q	And when you were in Valdez, you asked them
18		for every piece of information that they had
19		concerning the vessel, correct? Where how it
20		was sitting, because that was important to you,
21		correct?
22	Α	Yes.
23	Q	And did you receive any soundings that the
24		crew made itself?
25	A	No.
		I

1	Q Now, this right here, this wasn't available to
2	Captain Hazelwood when he was attempting to
3	remove the reef at 1:40 on the 24th, was it?
4	MR. CHALOS: Your Honor, I object, I don't
5	think there's been any evidence that Captain
6	Hazelwood's trying to remove the reef.
7	Q Remove the vessel from the reef.
8	THE COURT: And when you refer to the exhibit,
9	why don't you identify it for the record
10	(indiscernible) know what you're talking about?
11	Q Exhibit AK wasn't available to Captain
12	Hazelwood that evening, was it?
13	A No. No, that was done on April 2, I believe.
14	Yeah.
15	Q And you saw the chart where the vessel was
16	located, correct?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And you saw the plot where the vessel was
19	located, correct?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And that was right about there, where it's
22	marked on this photograph, which has been marked
23	for identification as Plaintiff's Exhibit Number
24	29. Correct?
25	A Yes.

H & M COURT REPORTING • 510 L Street • Suite 350 • Anchorage, Alaska 99501 • (907) 274-5661

1	Q And what's sitting directly behind that chart,		
2	what kind of fathom marker?		
3	MR. CHALOS: Your Honor, I object to the		
4	characterization of directly behind it, I think the		
5	evidence has shown it's between a quarter of a mile and		
6	a half mile behind it.		
7	THE COURT: Well, now we know that, so go		
8	ahead, Mr. Cole.		
9	Q What fathom marker is right behind that?		
10	A Six fathoms.		
11	Q That's a six right there?		
12	A Are you looking at that?		
13	Q That right there, right.		
14	A Looks like a six to me.		
15	Q Okay. Six fathoms wasn't enough to float this		
16	vessel, for this vessel to float on, was it?		
17	A No, but I the soundings we took didn't show		
18	six fathoms right behind the ship.		
19	Q Six fathoms is 36 feet, correct?		
20	A That's right.		
21	Q This vessel's drafts were over 50 feet,		
22	correct?		
23	A That's right.		
24	Q Well, you indicated that people who are		
25	grounded and want to get off the rock would go		

1		astern, correct?
2	A	If they impacted going forward, it very
3		unlikely that you would go forward to get off,
4		it'd be like running a car into the side of a
5		building and think you can get off get away
6		from the building by just putting it in drive,
7		instead of reverse, it's the same thing, even
8		though you can't see the rock from the surface of
9		the water.
10	Q	Captain Hazelwood thought his vessel was
11		aground astern, didn't he?
12	Α	Yes.
13	Q	And you would still think that a person who
14		thinks they're aground astern would go backward?
15	Α	Absolutely.
16	Q	Absolutely?
17	A	Well, to go forward over a rock would destroy
18		the remaining the tanks he knew that the
19		tanks aft of the number 5 starboard and center
20		were still intact, he had sounded the double-
21		bottom tanks under the pump room, they checked
22		the pump room bilges, the engine room, the engine
23		room bilges, the engine room double-bottom tanks,
24		and what have you, and all that was tight. If
25		you drove the ship ahead, you would risk ripping

1		all that open and perhaps destroying your
2		propeller and rudder and everything else, you
3		just wouldn't do that.
4	0	
5	Q	You wouldn't do anything, would you? Because
		anything you do is a risk until you assess your
6		condition, correct?
7	(0744	
8	Α	Well, yes, you would do a lot of things.
9	Q	You'd do a lot of things, but you wouldn't
10		move your ship until you were sure of the
11		consequences of what would happen
12	A	No.
13	Q	if you moved your ship, correct?
14	A	If if you know the direction that the ship
15		goes aground, and you are worried about slipping
16		back off, he had no way of knowing how impaled he
17		was, or exactly where he was impaled, but he knew
18		that there was enough resistance going forward
19		that you can't move the ship, 'cause the
20		resistance that the ship encountered stopped the
21		ship. If he if he really wanted to get it
22		off, he would have had to back up, and I think
23		it's completely obvious.
24	Q	So what you're saying is, if this is your
25		tanker, and you think you're aground astern, back
	ł	ı

here ...

Q

Α

Α

No, you -- you're not -- this thing is an absolute oversimplification that has no bearing on the situation. If he -- the way that he would have thought that he was aground aft is by the damage that -- information from the cargo control room. He knew that there was no -- that there was damage back aft from the readings from the cargo control room. So it isn't clear back here on the back end like you've got that thing shown, it's quite a bit forward of that.

"We've just kind of hung up in the stern."
What does that mean to you, that he's hung up?

Well, kind of hung up in the stern, I don't know, I suppose anything from about here on back you could probably say that about. The Exxon Valdez has a fathometer that hooks to a recorder on the stern, and it's a simple thing to check it. It wouldn't be back -- I don't know just exactly where the transducer's at, but that -- there was good water underneath the stern of it, and that was easily -- information easily obtainable, because it went through a recorder, so I suspect that -- and then from the other information he had, which was from Kunkel, that

H & M COURT REPORTING • 510 L Street • Suite 350 • Anchorage, Alaska 99501 • (907) 274-5661

1		
1		you know, 5 was ruptured, you know, 4 was
2		making water, 5 was losing oil, so I suspect that
3		he thought that the vessel had come over the rock
4		and stopped about here.
5	Q	Okay. Just leave your hand right there, and I
6		want you to back that vessel up over your hand.
7		Keep going.
8	A	Yeah.
9	Q	That's what you say somebody really wanted
10		to get it off the reef, that's what they'd do.
11	A	That's what you'd have to do.
12	Q	Now, when you got there on the 26th let me
13		rephrase that. You found out about this on the
14		25th, correct, on a Saturday?
15	A	That's when I was hired, I knew about it from
16		the morning paper on Saturday, but I they
17		called me about 6:00 in the evening on Saturday.
18	Q	Well, I'm sure they told you that several of
19		the tanks had been holed.
20	A	They told me exactly which tanks were holed.
21	Q	And when you heard that, you knew that this
22		vessel had suffered major structural damage,
23		didn't you?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	You knew that this was a catastrophic event.

1	
1	MR. CHALOS: Objection, Your Honor, to the use
2	of the word "catastrophic." It's all relative.
3	THE COURT: I think there's a better term. Go
4	ahead.
5	Q You knew that this was a catastrophic event,
6	didn't you?
7	A I knew it was a serious event.
8	Q You knew that this vessel was in bad shape.
9	Right?
10	A Yes.
11	Q You didn't have to have a computer to tell you
12	that, you knew it just from the information you
13	got on the phone, right? On how the holes were
14	tanked? How the tanks were holed, right?
15	A How well, that's kind of an
16	oversimplification, because I was merely told
17	which tanks were holed, I didn't know at that
18	time the size of the hole in the tanks, so that
19	would change the degree somewhat, you know, if he
20	had a giant hole on one tank and a small rip in
21	the next tank that's easily patchable, that's a
22	different situation course, I'm looking at it
23	from a salvage point of view.
24	Q You knew that it was major damage that had
25	been done, though, based on what you were told?

1	A	Yeah, vessels that go on the rocks generally
2		have serious damage.
3	Q	Tanker captains know that too, don't they?
4	Α	Yes.
5	Q	And the operation that you were involved in
6		was the operation of salvaging this vessel,
7		correct?
8	Α	I directed the salvage of the vessel, that's
9		correct.
10	Q	And when you one of the first things you
11		did when you came into town is that you ordered
12		that all the slider valves be closed, correct?
13	A	I did not.
14	Q	You did not.
15	A	No.
16	Q	When did you do that?
17	A	We didn't close the slider valves until the
18		morning we refloated the vessel, and there's a
19		reason for that. While the lightering operation
20		was going on, the tanks had to be open to get the
21		pumps into 'em. And you got that reason why it
22		wouldn't do any good to to close the starboard
23		ballast, 'cause the tanks are opened anyway.
24		The other thing is with all the we didn't
25		want to move the ship until we had the lightering
		l l

1		complete. If a person, for example, would have
2		closed the slider valves and had all the
3		Butterwick (ph) openings closed, and the access
4		trunks and ullage openings and everything closed,
5		let's say you did all that at low water. The
6		ship would would try to float. And it'd be
7		prematurely, before you had all the before you
8		were ready, or had all the lightering done.
9		So I if you want to keep it on a reef, you
10		have to keep it ventilated so the water can
11		freely come in on the increasing tide and freely
12		go out on the ebb tide.
13	Q	There's also some problems with buildup of
14		petroleum fumes, isn't there, when you close
15		those valves?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	And I know that it took several days before
18	j	you finally compiled a plan to get this vessel
19		up, correct?
20	A	No, I wrote I started writing the plan on
21		Sunday after I got all the basic information, it
22	}	was completed on Monday.
23	Q	And
24	A	And then it was a matter of taking the plan,
25		you know, step by step and walking through it,

Α

you know, filling in the blank squares and doing the necessary calculations, in the meantime the -- the Exxon people were -- and a lot of outside contractors were pumping the oil off.

Q And -- but it took several days in order to enact your plan, correct?

Well, the plan -- the actual salvage of the ship could have probably been done in about five days, if -- without considering the matter of taking the oil off. In fact, that was my recommended procedure, that we pump off enough oil to establish a water block in all tanks, refloat the ship, and then take the remaining oil off of it afterwards, but it was Exxon's attitude that they wanted to get that oil entirely out of harm's way, you know, that they were -- you know, afraid that if anything went wrong, that we could have more spill.

So that was just a management decision there, so it could have been done as early as five days probably after the casualty, whereas actually we took it off on the -- when all the oil was removed, as a safety -- as a safer way to go, more detrimental to the damage on the ship, but safer from a pollution standpoint.

1	Q	When you lifted the vessel off, basically what
2		you did is you let nature lift it off, you filled
3		it up with air and made a kind of a bubble, and
4		then the high tide came up and lifted it right
5		off the rock, right?
6	A	A little more complicated than that. We
7	Q	That's in simple terms, right? Without going
8		into a great deal, is that a simplification of
9		what you did?
10	(1108	3)
11	A	No, we pumped gas into the various tanks to
12		minimize the stresses on the vessel. The final
13		bit of lift was done as you suggest, but all of
14		the tanks were preloaded to various degrees to
15		release stress, and the tide supplied about the
16		last pound of pressure, the final pound of
17		pressure was applied by the rising tide.
18	Q	You waited till high tide, correct?
19	A	No, we started the can an hour and a half
20		before low tide, sealing up various tanks in a
21		sequence, and prepressurizing certain tanks to
22		all the tanks have in the final analysis,
23		all the tanks have different pressures in them.
24		That was to relieve the stress on the ship. A
25		tank with higher pressure has more lift to it
	I	

H & M COURT REPORTING \bullet 510 L Street \bullet Suite 350 \bullet Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \bullet (907) 274-5661

Α

than one at a lower pressure, so you can actually adjust the stress on the ship by adjusting the pressures in the tanks.

So the tanks that required a higher pressure were preloaded to that higher pressure, and it got to the point where all the tanks that needed to be pressurized were automatically pressurized by the tide for the final pound of pressure, which come -- came upon the vessel absolutely uniformly. So it was gently done, if you will.

But it was lifted up by the rising tide, correct?

MR. CHALOS: Your Honor ...

Only the final ...

MR. CHALOS: ... I think that answer's been explained, and I think Mr. Leitz explained exactly how the ship was prepared before it was lifted up, and he explained also that the final pound was supplied -- pressure was supplied by the tide.

I'm just trying to -- I'll rephrase the question. Mr. Leitz, when this vessel finally left the rock, wasn't it with the rising tide, correct? They weren't going -- the tide wasn't going down when you were pumping the air in, or when you had it ready to lift off, it was lifted

1		up with a combination of your air and the rising
2		tide.
3	A	That's right, we needed the we needed the
4		higher water in order to get the ship to clear
5		the rock, but the plan was initiated about an
6	ı	hour and a half before low water, and we worked
7		through low water, and and about 45 minutes
8		into high water, which would be about the end of
9		oh, I mean low water, excuse me about 45
10		minutes past low water, with low water, which
11		would be about the end of low water slack,
12		everything was in place and repressurized. Then
13		we waited for the tide to pick the ship up.
14		That's correct.
15	Q	You waited for the tide to pick the ship up,
16		right?
17	A	Yeah, it needed more water.
18	Q	Okay. And that was at a high tide. When it
19		lifted off
20	A	No, it was not.
21	Q	Okay.
22	A	It was about half tide.
23	Q	You indicated that the damage that you
24		observed to the Exxon Valdez was mostly caused by
25		the grounding, correct?

H & M COURT REPORTING \bullet 510 L Street \bullet Suite 350 \bullet Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \bullet (907) 274-5661

Α

Well, it's -- there's really eventually two types of damage. The major damage was caused by the grounding. The turn of the bilge on the starboard side was caused by the ship rocking up and down on the subsequent tides, you know, the tide would cause -- now this is a period between the time that the ship grounded and the time we eventually took it off, every -- every high water, the port side would lift, and low water it'd come back down, that rocked it on the other side.

On the initial surveys by divers of the port side, there was no damage to the port -- turn of the bilge, the radius plate, and the corner right here -- actually on 6 -- this side of the ship, right up in here. This is the radius plate in here -- turn of the bilge -- anyhow, the thing -- this didn't start deteriorating until about the fourth or fifth day, when the divers started -- they wanted to watch this, because we were afraid of fractures developing up the side. You know, we would have had to do something earlier, if we started getting in trouble with the hull fracturing, you know, if this turning failed, and fractures started propagating vertically ...

1	MR. COLE: Judge, I move that we strike, non-
2	responsive.
3	THE COURT: What was your question, Mr. Cole?
4	MR. COLE: My question was, major damage was
5	caused by the grounding.
6	MR. CHALOS: I think the witness was
7	explaining how the damage was caused.
8	THE COURT: I think Mr. Cole asked him if he
9	had not testified that the major damage was done by the
10	grounding, and that would call for a yes or no answer.
11	If you can't answer yes or no, you tell Mr. Cole that,
12	and maybe he'll let you explain it, but try to confine
13	your answers to the questions.
14	A I'm sorry, Your Honor. I get kind of wound
15	up, I apologize.
16	Q (Mr. Leitz by Mr. Cole:) You did not see the
17	damage that was done by the grounding itself,
18	because the only well, let me strike that, let
19	me rephrase it. Some crushing damage was done on
20	the first low tide on March 24 at 8:30, correct?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And no one saw the damage that was done, or
23	how the vessel looked, prior to that time.
24	Correct? That you're aware of
25	A Prior you mean prior to the following low

1		water?
2	Q	Yeah.
3	Α	No.
4	Q	Divers didn't get out there till that night,
5	l	on the 24th, correct?
6	A	I'm not sure exactly what time the divers I
7		mean, there were local divers, and that's before
8		I got there, so I'm not exactly sure what time
9		for sure they would have done.
10	Q	But nothing that you saw showed you any of the
11		damage that was done prior to the low tide on
12		March 24 at 8:30.
13	A	No, there would be no way anybody could get
14		that information.
15	Q	And that crushing or the initial low tide
16		did cause some crushing damage, correct?
17	A	It no doubt did.
18	Q	And that crushing damage would have destroyed
19		evidence of initial damage that had been done to
20		the grounding, correct at the grounding,
21		correct?
22		MR. CHALOS: I object, Your Honor, that calls
23	for a	absolute speculation.
24		THE COURT: The witness can answer that, he's
25	been	qualified for these kind of judgements.

1		
1	A	Well, the the original collision with the
2	ļ	rock caused massive structural damage, so that
3		the whole structure is weakened, so there's no
4	li	question that the low water would have aggravated
5		the condition.
6	Q	And would have and the crushing caused the
7		loss of crushing prevented us from seeing some
8		of the damage that was done in the initial
9		grounding, correct?
10	(1405	
11	A	I kind of think the extent of damage probably
12		was still there, it it may it would be
13		pushed straight in probably further, but I think
14		as far as the major fractures and that type of
15		thing, that was probably still the same.
16		Now, you got to remember also that we cut a
17		lot of plates out of that thing, so I don't know
18		just exactly what spot you're talking about, but
19		in order to take it down the ocean, we had to
20		trim it, and then we had to trim it some more to
21		get in the dry dock and that type of thing, so I
22		don't know what you what exact spot you're
23		referring to.
24	Q	Let's say between section 3 and 2, where it
25		was sitting on the rock. That's about where it
	1	

1 was sitting on the rock, right? 2 Α Well -- yeah, that's -- if the major part 3 of the rock was in the way of number 2, there's 4 only three frame spaces -- I think that when 5 everyone went down and looked at it in the dry 6 dock, the plate was peeled back off of 3 7 starboard for quite a ways, but that happened at 8 There were only three frame spaces, which sea. 9 are 16-foot frame spaces, that's 48 foot at the 10 forward end, number 3 starboard was affected up 11 The rest of it was caused by sea action, 12 while we were underway, and we had to trim that 13 off on purpose off of San Diego. 14 Now, any damage that would have been caused Q 15 due to the rudder orders by Captain Hazelwood 16 would have been in the area of when -- where the 17 rock -- where the ship was grounded on the rock, 18 correct? 19 Α That's correct. 20 And any damage that would have been sustained Q 21 at that time would have been in the area where 22 the crushing effect occurred from the low water, 23 correct? 24 Yes. 25 And so any evidence of any damage that Captain Q

1 Hazelwood did would very possibly have been lost 2 by that crushing effect, correct? 3 MR. CHALOS: I object, Your Honor, this is all 4 speculation, there's no evidence that any damage was 5 done, or was caused by the use of the rudder or the 6 Mr. Cole is asking the witness to speculate as 7 to the type of damage, the extent of damage, and what 8 might have happened to it. 9 THE COURT: Objection overruled. You can 10 answer the question. 11 Α Well ... 12 Sir, let me ask the question again. 0 13 Yeah, please. Α 14 Any evidence of damage that was caused by 15 Captain Hazelwood's rotating -- turning the 16 vessel that morning very likely would have been 17 lost by the crushing effect that occurred at 8:30 18 in the morning at low tide, correct? 19 Α Yeah, as well as -- yeah -- I think the damage 20 would have been minimal, but you're right, it 21 would -- it would be obscured by the crushing 22 effect, and also it would have been obscured by 23 the fact that the ship turned some 14 degrees on 24 Sunday and was pushed back with tugs, which is 25 also a rotating effect, so I don't know how you'd

1		ever separate what caused which crack or dent.
2	Q	Did you see any evidence of rotation when you
3		were in Valdez looking at it, or in San Diego
4		looking at it in dry dock?
5	A	No, I didn't.
6	Q	Now, you were asked a number of opinion
7		questions by Mr. Chalos about whether action
8		taken was proper by Captain Hazelwood. And you
9		indicated all these things that he did correctly.
10		You're aware, of course, I assume, that two of
11		the crew members at least weren't even woken up
12		that night, correct?
13	A	No, I didn't know that. What I read was
14		you know, I read Kunkel's testimony, and I
15		thought they were all
16	Q	Well, you would agree that one of the first
17		things that a captain should do is make sure that
18		his crew is aware of the danger that the vessel's
19		in, correct?
20	A	I would agree with that.
21	Q	And it's pretty hard for a person and it's
22		also important to let them know how to prepare
23		for that danger and what steps to take, correct,
24		would you agree with me on that?
25	A	I don't quite follow you

1	Q	It would be important to let the crew members
2		know what steps to take in case something worse
3		happened, like the vessel started to capsize,
4		correct?
5	A	Well, if the vessel started to capsize, for
6		example, I think that you'd in that event
7		you'd it'd be proper to ring the general alarm
8		and everybody would get in the boats, I mean, you
9		want to get off the thing.
10	Q	Well
11	A	Or get their survival suits on and get in the
12		boats, I think that the first order of business
13		would be to, you know, muster your crew, so you
14		got people available to do whatever needs to be
15		done, you know
16	Q	And one way of doing that is putting them in
17		like a mess hall, you send them all into a mess
18		hall, and you keep them there, and you get a head
19		count, right?
20	A	Yeah, well yeah, I don't know that the
21		captain would necessarily do anything like that,
22		I mean, that's you have to rely on your
23		officers, you have to a situation like that,
24		the captain or whoever's in charge has to
25		delegate authority. That's a you know, a very

1		large ship, and you personally can't go around
2		and do all these things.
3	Q	Do you know how many crew members there were
4		on that thing?
5	A	I believe 19.
6	Q	That's not that many, is it?
7	A	No, but you're still well, what I'm getting
8		at is that it is I think you're you were
9		saying that Captain Hazelwood should have done
10		all these things, I think that, you know, to
11		delegate the authority, I think Mr. Kunkel and
12		Mr. Cousins and some of the others were I
13		think he told them to do it, and I think that
14		that's his role, is to delegate responsibility.
15	Q	So he can attempt to get the vessel off the
16		reef.
17		MR. CHALOS: Your Honor, I object.
18		MR. COLE: That's what the evidence is.
19		MR. CHALOS: Well, I don't think the
20		THE COURT: It's argumentative, the question
21	is ar	rgumentative.
22	Q	(Mr. Leitz by Mr. Cole:) So if crew members
23		weren't woken up, you wouldn't think that would
24		be a particularly good thing, do you?
25	Α	Pardon?
	i	

1	Q If crew members were not woken up, you would
2	not believe that that was a particularly good
3	thing.
4	MR. CHALOS: Your Honor, I object to the
5	relevance of this. Unless he can show that Captain
6	Hazelwood had to go himself to their rooms to wake them
7	up and he didn't, then it's irrelevant. He gave the
8	right order, that's the evidence in this case.
9	THE COURT: Irrelevance objection's overruled.
10	Q So you would agree with me that if crew
11	members weren't woken up during this whole time,
12	there's something wrong with that, correct?
13	A Well, yeah, but whoever was supposed to do
14	that, you know, the third mate or the second mate
15	or the chief mate or whatever, that was a
16	delegated authority, that was their
17	responsibility to do that, I would think, yes,
18	and I agree with you that he shouldn't that
19	oversight shouldn't have happened.
20	Q So maybe Captain Hazelwood didn't completely
21	act correct that evening.
22	A Well, he gave
23	MR. CHALOS: Objection, Your Honor. There's
24	no foundation for that question.
25	MR. COLE: That's exactly what Mr. Chalos

1	brought out. He brought out everything that he
2	brought out. He brought out everything that he
	believes Captain Hazelwood did right, I'm just seeing
3	if this makes a little bit of difference with this
4	witness.
5	THE COURT: Rephrase your question, Mr. Cole.
6	MR. CHALOS: Your Honor never mind.
7	(1770)
8	Q (Mr. Leitz by Mr. Cole:) You don't know when
9	the anchor was put in the water, do you?
10	A Just shortly after the engine was shut down at
11	high tide, I believe.
12	Q It was after the engine had been shut down,
13	correct?
14	A That's right, you wouldn't have dropped the
15	anchor while the engine was operating.
16	Q Especially when you were going forward,
17	correct?
18	A Well, you wouldn't drop the anchor while you
19	were going anywhere.
20	Q Now, do you remember a conversation that you
21	had with me over the telephone, where there were
22	two Exxon attorneys and Mr. Milwee and Mr. Vorus
23	present it was a conversation?
24	A Yeah, I wasn't sure who all the people on the
25	call were, to be honest.

1	Q	Well, you knew Mr. Milwee, right?
2	A	I knew Milwee, I remember Vorus
3	Q	You had a couple attorneys with you from Exxon
4		there
5	A	No
6	Q	One attorney?
7	A	Howard Naughton was the only one that was
8		there.
9	Q	And you remember that I asked you a question,
10		correct, right at the end, and the question was
11		if this vessel had come off the reef, what would
12		have happened?
13	A	I said that it would heel over to the
14		starboard and go down by the head, and perhaps
15		capsize. And then I also qualified that a couple
16		a little bit later by saying that in you
17		asked me, "How could you figure that out?" and I
18		said it would you'd have to do some
19		calculations, and at that time I hadn't done
20		calculations. What I told you was exactly true,
21		and then after following it up with some
22		calculations, I found out that that could be
23		checked, or that was a demonstration a little
24		while ago that it wouldn't have gone that far.
25		That was just off the top of my head.

1	Q	You said it would capsize at that time though,
2		right?
3	Α	Yes, but I also told you that that had to be
4		confirmed with calculations that weren't done.
5	Q	But at that time you said it was capsized,
6		correct?
7	A	Well, I qualified it, though, sir.
8		MR. CHALOS: Your Honor
9		THE COURT: It's been asked and answered three
10	times	now, Mr. Cole.
11	Q	You worked for Exxon Shipping Company in this
12		case, didn't you?
13	A	I was an independent contractor employed for
14		to salvage the ship, and that's it, that's
15		right.
16	Q	You were hired by Exxon Shipping Company.
17	Α	That's right.
18	Q	And you worked with them for the whole time
19		that the four and a half months that you were
20		involved with this, correct?
21	A	That's right.
22	Q	Thank you, I have nothing further.
23		REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF MR. LEITZ
24	BY MR	. CHALOS:
25	Q	Mr. Leitz, you're not a professional witness,

1		
1		are you?
2	A	No.
3	Q	You're a working salvor?
4	Α	Yes.
5	Q	Now, Mr. Cole asked you about some about
6		your statement to him on the telephone that you
7		thought the vessel would go down by the head, and
8		list to starboard and possibly capsize, but you
9		needed to make some calculations.
10	A	That's correct.
11	Q	Have those calculations been made?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	And what do those calculations show?
14	A	It shows the same thing that I demonstrated
15		that the ship what well, what it
16		demonstrated was that with minimal intervention
17		by the crew, the tendency to roll would be
18		could be stopped and reversed. Also it showed
19		that the thing would only go on about 12 degrees
20		and stop all by itself, which would
21	Q	Without anything being done by the crew.
22	A	Yeah.
23	Q	When you say "minimal intervention by the
24		crew," what do you mean?
25	A	Well, things that are reason that are

1		reasonably within the capability of the crew, and
2		that would be to put ballast in you know, pump
3		
4		number 4 starboard, ballast number 4 port, and
		ballast the port engine room wing tank, and
5		perhaps the after peak, or some combination
6		thereof.
7	Q	In your opinion, are those maneuvers difficult
8		to do?
9	A	Very easy.
10	Q	And are they can they be done quickly?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Now, Mr. Cole asked you about the vessel
13		coming off the reef, now, it's your opinion even
14		if it came off by whatever method, whether she
15		was driven off, or she floated off, or she
16		levitated off, in your opinion she would have
17		stayed afloat?
18	A	Yes. With minimal intervention.
19	Q	Okay. Now, Mr. Cole went through a series of
20		questions regarding what the Captain didn't say
21		to the Coast Guard. You remember those?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	With respect to the things that he did, as
24		opposed to the things that he didn't say, in your
25		opinion, were those things intended to do what?

1		That he did?
2	A	To well, all the things he did were the
3		things you do in that circumstance, find out just
4		exactly how much trouble you're in, and what
5		the severity of the damage to the vessel, what's
6		dry, what's flooded, you know, get the lifeboats
7		out, get your fire stations ready to go in case
8		you have a fire, I mean, he did all of those kind
9		of things. These people are so well trained,
10		it's almost by rote that a lot of the stuff gets
11		done.
12	Q	Well, what's the purpose of everything that
13		was done? In your opinion.
14	A	To protect the minimize the loss, if you
15		will, I guess, or to protect the crew.
16	Q	And what about in respect to the vessel, in
17		relation to the reef?
18	A	Working the engine ahead, you mean?
19	Q	Yes.
20	A	Well, I think that in that he didn't know
21		exactly I mean, he didn't know that that ship
22		was impaled in the for sure, or where the rock
23		was for sure, that's pretty obvious, so the thing
24		to do is not try to float it off.
25	Q	How long did it take for your crew to

1 determine that this vessel was impaled? 2 We didn't know that for sure until we Α 3 refloated it. The day we refloated the ship, it 4 had actually come afloat at -- I can't remember 5 what time, like 10:30, let's say, and -- you 6 know, and that's when I could feel some kind of 7 motion to it. It was an hour later before we 8 could actually clear the rock, or the ship came 9 -- floated clear of the rock, and I had the pilot 10 take the thing broadside and then out to the 11 channel. 12 O Assuming that soundings were feasible 13 immediately after the grounding, do you have an 14 opinion as to whether they would have told --15 those soundings would have told Captain Hazelwood 16 where and how he was impaled? 17 Yeah, I think it would have -- if -- if it had Α 18 done -- if from the deck edge, and what have you, 19 you know, measuring down, it would have given an 20 indication, but it -- we -- we did that later on, 21 and it still didn't tell us if we were impaled or 22 not. 23 We have no practical way to find that out. 24 was too dangerous to stick divers under it, they 25 went back and looked as well as they could, but

they couldn't go back there, we couldn't go down from the top, because you're -- they were going through oil, and -- even after the tanks were pumped out and what have you, it was pretty -- we still had a -- you know -- I should explain that, I guess, when you pump the ship down with these EDAPS (ph) pumps, these oil salvage pumps, you can't get the last bit of oil out, all the tanks have four or six to eight inches of oil still floating on the water in 'em at the time we refloated the ship.

By diver -- the time a diver gets down through that and looks around, there's no visibility, you know, he's all covered with oil, if he -- if he goes through there, we -- so the guy just simply can't get down and feel around down there, 'cause he's gonna lose an arm or something, or worse.

All right. Now, this vessel ran aground at night, did it not?

A Yes.

Q

In your opinion, when Captain Hazelwood told the Coast Guard that he believed he was hung up astern, based on whatever information he had at that particular time, do you believe that he was guessing at that point?

1	A I think it was well
2	MR. COLE: Objection, speculation.
3	THE COURT: Don't answer the question.
4	Q Let me withdraw that question. In your
5	opinion, was it reasonable, based on the
6	information that Captain Hazelwood had, to assume
7	that he was hung up somewhere in the after body
8	of the ship, in the areas that you pointed out?
9	MR. COLE: Objection, leading, speculation.
10	THE COURT: Rephrase your question.
11	Q Mr. Leitz, on the basis of your experience,
12	and based on the evidence that you read here,
13	with respect to the type of damage that the
14	vessel sustained, the information that Captain
15	Hazelwood had, do you have an opinion as to the
16	reasonableness of what he believed or where he
17	believed he was hung up?
18	(2189)
19	MR. COLE: Objection, calls for speculation.
20	MR. CHALOS: I think it's going to be based on
21	what he's read and seen and his experience, Your Honor,
22	that's what I asked him.
23	THE COURT: Objection sustained.
24	Q (Mr. Leitz by Mr. Chalos:) Now, getting back
25	to what the Captain was saying to the Coast Guard

1		
1		and what he was doing at the time, is it your
2		opinion that everything the Captain was doing in
3		terms of the use of the rudder and the engine was
4		for the purpose of keeping the vessel on the
5		reef?
6		MR. COLE: Objection, leading.
7		THE COURT: Mr. Chalos, if you persist on
8	these	questions, I'm going to sustain every objection
9	• • •	
10	Q	Well, all right, I'll rephrase it. Mr. Leitz,
11		based on what you've read in this particular
12		case, and the evidence that you viewed, what is
13		your opinion as to the use of the engine and
14		rudder? For what purpose was it being used?
15	Α	To keep it on the rock.
16	Q	And you say that described what the Captain
17		was saying to the Coast Guard
18		MR. COLE: Objection, leading.
19		THE COURT: Sustained.
20	Q	You read, I take it, Mr. Kunkel's testimony?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Okay, do you recall Mr. Kunkel initially
23		telling the Captain that the vessel was stable?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Did that fact play any role in your opinion

H & M COURT REPORTING \bullet 510 L Street \bullet Suite 350 \bullet Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \bullet (907) 274-5661

1		that the Captain was attempting to keep the
2		vessel on the reef?
3	A	Well, a vessel that is aground is in no danger
4		of capsizing, so the Captain would have known
5		that.
6	Q	Now, you started to talk about the second
7	1	conversation that the Captain had with Mr.
8		Kunkel.
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Do you remember that conversation, where Mr.
11		Kunkel told him that the stability was marginal?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	And the Captain then told him, I think you
14		said, that "We're staying right here"?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Did that play any that conversation play
17		any role in your opinion that the vessel was
18		trying that the Captain was trying to keep the
19		vessel on the reef?
20	A	I think he the way I understood that
21		conversation is it's not just saying that, he
22		said it was like "We're going to stay right
23		here," and I think that's what Kunkel said the
24		Captain responded. And also the stability
25		question, Mr. Kunkel was talking about a seaway
		l de la companya de

	I	
1		condition, about taking the thing out into a
2		seaway condition as opposed to a harbor
3	!	condition, you know.
4	Q	Now, again, I think you testified that there
5		was no way using the vessel's engine or rudder
6		that this vessel was coming off.
7		MR. COLE: Objection, leading.
8		MR. CHALOS: I was just using that, Your Honor
9		
10		THE COURT: That's preliminary to the next
11	quest	ion, objection overruled.
12	Q	(Mr. Leitz by Mr. Chalos:) Is that correct?
13	A	What did you say again?
14	Q	Well, let me withdraw it, I think we've made
15		it clear. You spoke in your cross-examination
16		about seeing captains perform by rote in these
17		type of situations. What do you mean by that?
18	A	Well, ship crews, you know, are used to doing
19		a job, and they do it, you know, over and over
20		again, and they're very professional at it, you
21		know you know, let's say they strike an
22		object, you know, they're cruising along and they
23		hit a log or something, and it makes a racket
24		that somebody notices, you probably wouldn't
25		or for instance if she was this ship, you know,
	1	

1 an ice condition, where something was pumping 2 along, you know, it would be almost automatic to 3 ask the engineers to check the bilges and check 4 the -- sound tanks or something if they thought 5 there was any serious damage, or probably a 6 better example is if a piece of ice went through 7 the propeller, that'd make quite a ruckus back 8 there, they'd go back and check that portion of 9 the ship for damage, and this is just standard 10 operating procedure. 11 And a lot of the things that he did that night 12 are standard operating procedure under -- well, 13 not only a stranding situation, but other types 14 of situations. 15 Did you, in your mind, equate the word "rote" Q 16 with instinct? 17 Α No, more like training. 18 (2450)19 Q Now, I'd like to show you the data logger 20 again, that Mr. Cole showed you. You remember 21 this? 22 Yes. Α 23 Okay. Now, you started to list the number of 0 24 minutes the engine was used at various speeds? 25 Α Yeah.

1	Q Taking the speeds that were used by Captain
2	Hazelwood starting, I guess, 12:35 on to 1:40,
3	what do those speeds indicate to you?
4	A Just daintily holding this thing in there,
5	just kind of feeling it out, I think he was also
6	trying to figure out where the pivot point was at
7	•••
8	MR. COLE: Objection, speculation.
9	THE COURT: I think that goes to Captain
10	Hazelwood's state of mind, and that's beyond this
11	witness' expertise, I'm going to sustain the objection.
12	Q All right, taking a look now at the speeds
13	that were used, do you have an opinion as to
14	whether those the use of the engine in that
15	manner was intended to drive the vessel over the
16	reef?
17	A Well, the engine settings used simply do not
18	have enough power to drive over the reef. He
19	couldn't be trying to drive over the reef at dead
20	slow and slow and a half, you know, and even full
21	maneuvering isn't it's it's less than a
22	third of the power that the vessel's able to
23	produce. If he was trying to drive over the
24	reef, he certainly would have used the, you know,
25	full ahead flank, or 31,000 horsepower versus

	9,000 horsepower.
Q	Now, Mr. Cole gave you a scenario behind that
	data logger. Do you remember that scenario?
A	Yeah.
Q	You remember that?
A	Yeah, I do, yeah.
Q	All right. That doesn't in any way represent
i	the Exxon Valdez scenario, does it?
A	Not even close.
Q	In the Exxon Valdez scenario, as you found the
	ship, do you have an opinion as to whether
	running the engines ahead were intended or
	were for the purpose of keeping it on the reef?
	MR. COLE: Objection, leading.
Q	Let me withdraw that question. Now, I'd like
	to turn to a different subject; sounding. In
	terms of things to be done in this type of
	situation, where would you put soundings as a
	matter of priority?
A	I suppose about the middle of the spectrum of
	all the things there are to do. I mean, you got
ì	
]	ship's safety, crew safety, all that stuff being
	done on board, I think it it should be done at
	A Q A Q

1		way he could have done soundings very soon would
2		be to launch a lifeboat and do it, and I think
3		that would be very, very imprudent.
4	Q	You mean put the lifeboat in the water and
5	A	That's right, if you're gonna
6	Q	have someone go around the ship?
7	A	Yeah, there's no way to get soundings that are
8		meaningful for soundings to be meaningful, if
9		you're worried about what direction you're gonna
10		go, they have to be away from the ship, and
11		obviously you can't do that from the ship, so you
12		have to have some means of getting out there.
13	in	You know, and I think the you know, eventually
14		the closer like if a paddleboat took the
15		soundings, it came out and did it for 'em, you
16		know.
17	Q	Now, you've testified the soundings were taken
18		at 9:45 in the morning, do you consider that to
19		be a reasonable theory under the circumstances?
20		MR. COLE: Objection, leading.
21	Q	Let me rephrase it. You stated that soundings
22		were taken at 9:45 in the morning, at the
23		instruction of the Captain. Do you have an
24		opinion as to the reasonableness of the timing?
25	A	Yeah, if that's related to the situation,

1 and if he fully intended to refloat the ship, he 2 had to do it by one -- by high tide in the 3 morning, which was 1:57 or whatever, so it didn't really make too much difference when they were 5 They obviously couldn't have been done in 6 time for the tide. The tide was falling and it 7 didn't make much difference when they were done 8 after that, and at this time of the year a --9 it's first light about -- and I think it had been 10 done an hour or two earlier, it didn't make any 11 difference anymore, it was past high water, and 12 if we'd done it before the next high water, if we 13 were thinking about doing something on the next 14 high water. 15 Q Just one more question on the soundings. 16 According to the soundings that you took, there 17 was plenty of good water behind the vessel, was 18 there not? 19 Α For a -- as far as that went, there was a 20 couple of -- couple of hundred foot. There was, 21 yes. 22 And that's enough room if he wanted to -- do 0 23 you have an opinion as to whether that was 24 sufficient room if the Captain wanted to back his 25 vessel down?

1	A	Yeah. Yeah. Had it slid off the rock, it
2	A	
		you know, a ship that take that size takes
3		quite a lot of to get up momentum, also, the
4		backing power of a big ship like this is it's
5		poor. You don't get as much power going astern
6		as you do ahead. And so the if the thing slid
7		off, it isn't like it would be suddenly doing 10
8		knots, it would be it would take a while for
9		that thing to build up any speed, so he'd have
10		time to stop the thing, he wouldn't go any
11		further than he had to, I don't think. I mean,
12		he wouldn't be, you know, going off a mile or two
13		backwards, you know, because that's uncalled for.
14		You know, he'd probably be trying to get off to
15 `		the channel if he got off of there.
16	Q	Now, Mr. Cole asked you whether or not this
17		vessel was in bad shape, do you remember him
18		using that term?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Was the vessel stable?
21	A	Aground?
22	Q	Yes.
23	A	Sure.
24	Q	And based on your calculations, she was also
25		unstable afloat?

1		MR. COLE: Objection, leading.
2	Q	As she came off?
3		THE COURT: Don't answer the question.
4	Q	Let me rephrase it. If the vessel had come
5		off, do you have an opinion as to stability?
6	(2750	
7	A	I think it yeah, it would have been stable.
8	Q	Now, did the vessel have sufficient strength
9		aground?
10		MR. COLE: Objection, leading.
11	Q	Mr. Leitz, do you have an opinion as to the
12		vessel's strength when she was aground?
13	A	It was adequate. There was no problem with
14		its strength.
15	Q	Do you agree or disagree with Mr. Vorus'
16		testimony that had she come off the reef, there
17		would have been no problem with her strength?
18	A	Could you repeat that?
19	Q	Yes. Do you agree or disagree with the
20		testimony of Mr. Vorus, that had the vessel come
21		off the reef, there would have been no problem
22		with her strength?
23	A	I agree with that.
24	Q	Now, when you floated the vessel off, you had
25		to take the cargo off first?

1	A	We no, we didn't have to, but you got more
2		consideration, you know, the vessel's only one
3		consideration, minimizing pollution in this case
4		probably far outrated the value of the vessel, so
5		we took the oil out. Out of harm's way, if you
6		will. And then we refloated the vessel.
7	Q	And you pressurized the tanks, it was your
8	16	testimony.
9	A	To refloat the vessel, that's correct.
10	Q	In your opinion, based on the condition of the
11		vessel at the time of the grounding, could she
12		have been floated off by the crew in the way you
13		floated it off, 10 days later?
14	A	Theoretically, it could have been done, not on
15		that tide, but on some you'd have to go on to
16		like a minus-tide situation, seal the vessel up,
17		and then wait for the tide to come back in. This
18		wouldn't be this would be would float as
19		best it could, you know, you wouldn't be with
20		very much finesse, but it's conceivable you could
21		come off that way.
22	Q	Did you find any evidence in this case that
23		indicated that the crew was trying to refloat the
24		vessel in the manner that you you floated it
25		off?

1	A	No, there's no evidence of that, but it's also
2		an impossibility, because they went aground an
3		hour and 57 minutes, or even less than that,
4		before high water, and that fluctuation in the
5		tide is not enough to have done anything. Or I
6		should say it would do very little, you know, it
7		was only about an hour and 45 50 minutes, 45
8		minutes before the high tide, and that's all the
9		lift you'd get.
10	Q	Given your opinion in this case as to what the
11		Captain was attempting to do with respect to the
12		vessel and the reef, what is your opinion with
13		respect to the use of the rudder as part of that
14		procedure?
15	A	Well, the only thing I can say about that is I
16		I think it was just about in line with what
17		you would expect to try and maintain a heading.
18	Q	All right, now. This vessel proceeded from
19		Bligh Reef to Naked Island, and then back to San
20		Diego, am I correct?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	How long was the journey?
23	A	It was 30 we eventually were at sea 38
24		days.
25	Q	And how many miles, do you remember?

1	A Not right off the top of my head, we we
2	towed it around backwards on up to San Diego for
3	days we were busy trying to get permission to
4	go someplace to trim the bottom. It was 11 if
5	we had gone to the (indiscernible) that was about
6	11 days planned voyage, and if we had gone to San
7	Diego and been allowed to go in, that would have
8	been about an 18-day planned voyage.
9	Q All right, now, these 38 days that you were
10	afloat, were they with the bottom of the ship
11	open in the way that she had run aground?
12	A Absolutely.
13	MR. COLE: Objection, leading, and outside the
14	scope of cross.
15	MR. CHALOS: Your Honor, this goes to the
16	question of if she came off with her bottom open, what
17	would have happened.
18	THE COURT: Now, I'll overrule the objection,
19	but refrain from leading questions, Mr. Chalos.
20	MR. CHALOS: All right, Your Honor.
21	Q (Mr. Leitz by Mr. Chalos:) Sir, in what
22	condition was the vessel on returning on down to
23	San Diego?
24	A With the bottom open.
25	Q And I take it she didn't sink.

1	A No.
2	Q Mr. Leitz, there's been testimony here that
3	the Captain ordered third mate Cousins to go
4	around and wake up the crew, and I believe you
5	testified that that was a prudent order. In your
6	opinion, would you expect a captain to leave the
7	bridge in that situation and make the wake-up
8	calls himself?
9	A Absolutely not.
10	Q Do you agree or disagree with the delegation
11	of that responsibility to one of his mates?
12	A I agree with it.
13	Q I have no further questions.
14	MR. COLE: I have one, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: All right.
16	RECROSS EXAMINATION OF MR. LEITZ
17	BY MR. COLE:
18	Q Mr. Leitz, how much did Exxon Shipping Company
19	pay you for your work for them?
20	MR. CHALOS: Objection, Your Honor. I think
21	it's irrelevant.
22	THE COURT: Objection overruled.
23	A You mean for what?
24	Q For all your work that you did from the time
25	you left is it Portland, on March 24, until

1	
1	this date for your work on resalvaging this
2	vessel.
3	A This what I'm doing here has absolutely
4	nothing to do with what I did there, for openers.
5	Q All I'm asking is how much they paid you.
6	MR. CHALOS: Your Honor, again, I don't see
7	the relevancy to this action.
8	THE COURT: I've ruled already, Mr. Chalos.
9	A Well, in the actual salvage operation you
10	asked a question.
11	Q All I want is the total. Just give us the
12	total figure, you don't have
13	A You gotta know where this total's going,
14	because the you're going to get the
15	implication that it's rolled up in my pocket, you
16	know, I hired subcontractors and paid them, I
17	personally charge \$125.00 an hour for my time,
18	and I got a \$50,000.00 bonus for successfully
19	refloating the ship. And for for that part,
20	the whole sum total of the stuff is probably
21	about 1.8 million.
22	Q Okay.
23	REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF MR. LEITZ
24	BY MR. CHALOS:
25	Q Mr. Leitz, of that \$1.8 million, how much of
	1

```
1
            it was paid to subcontractors and other people
2
            you utilized on the job?
3
      Α
               Probably about -- about 80 percent.
               So you made a 20 percent profit on this deal?
      Q
5
      Α
               Yeah.
6
               Is that reasonable in your business?
7
      Α
               It's about par for the course.
8
               No further questions.
      Q
9
               THE COURT: May the witness be excused? Okay,
10
      you're excused, sir. We'll take our next break at this
11
      time, ladies and gentlemen, don't discuss this matter
12
      among yourselves or with any other person, and don't
13
      form or express any opinions.
14
      (3153)
15
               (Off record - 11:31 a.m.)
16
               (On record - 11:50 a.m.)
17
               THE COURT: Ready to call your next witness,
18
      counsel?
19
               MR. CHALOS: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, at
20
      this time the Defense calls Don Hudson.
21
               THE CLERK: Sir, if you would remain standing
22
      and attach that microphone ...
23
               (Oath administered)
24
      Α
               I do.
25
                                  *
```

1	DONALD R. HUDSON,
2	called as a witness in behalf of the Defendant, being
3	first duly sworn upon oath, testified as follows:
4	THE CLERK: Please be seated. Sir, would you
5	please state your full name and spell your last?
6	A Donald R. Hudson, H-u-d-s-o-n.
7	THE CLERK: And your current mailing address?
8	A 13084 Southwest Amber Place, and Lake Oswego,
9	Oregon, 97034.
10	THE CLERK: What was that town, please?
11	A Lake Oswego.
12	THE CLERK: And your occupation?
13	A I'm a naval architect.
14	(Side conversation)
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF MR. HUDSON
16	BY MR. CHALOS:
17	Q Mr. Hudson, by whom are you employed?
18	A I'm self-employed.
19	Q You have your own company?
20	A Yes.
21	Q You say you're a naval architect?
22	A Yes.
23	Q How long have you been a naval architect?
24	A Well, since about 1949.
25	Q Were you involved in the Exxon Valdez

H & M COURT REPORTING \bullet 510 L Street \bullet Suite 350 \bullet Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \bullet (907) 274-5661

1		refloating at all?
2	A	Yes, I was on the ship for six weeks, a few
3		days prior to refloat, and about six weeks
4		afterwards till
5	Q	What was your role in the refloating?
6	æ A	Do the hull stress calculations and the
7	••	stability and trend calculations for getting her
8		
9		off the reef, and then subsequently to evaluate
		the damage as the divers would examine the
10		vessel.
11	Q	All right, who hired you for that role?
12	A	Nick Leitz.
13	Q	You were working for him?
14	A	I was.
15	Q	You were a subcontractor to Mr. Leitz?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Now, with respect to this case, what were you
18		asked to do?
19	A	To come and attend the proceedings while Mr.
20		Vorus and a Mr. Will Milwee testified, and
21		then to examine their testimony and make
22		suggestions to the Defense.
23	Q	Were you asked to do anything else in this
24		matter?
25	Α	Yes, maybe to present another hypothesis than

1		those presented by the prosecution witnesses.
2	Q	And what did you do in that regard?
3	A	Well, I did calculations regarding the
4		attitude of the vessel subsequent to
5		hypothetically coming off the reef.
6	Q	All right, we're going to get into that in a
7		second. Can you tell us a little bit about your
8		educational background?
9	A	Yes, I graduated from the University of
10		Michigan in naval architecture and marine
11		engineering.
12	Q	Do you have a degree?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	What year did you graduate?
15	A	1949.
16	Q	What have you done since 1949? Briefly, of
17		course.
18	A	I worked for shipyards for about 10 years, and
19		•••
20	Q	As a naval architect?
21	A	Yes, and a project manager, and then I went
22		into business for myself.
23	Q	When was that?
24	A	That '62 or '3.
25	Q.	That's when you started your business?

H & M COURT REPORTING \bullet 510 L Street \bullet Suite 350 \bullet Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \bullet (907) 274-5661

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	What does your business do? What is the
3		business of your business?
4	A	Marine design and engineering, and we work
5		engineering problems on all manner of floating
6		equipment.
7	Q	What do you do specifically, do you design
8		vessels?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Do you do calculations when, for instance,
11		vessels are damaged?
12	A	I've done a lot of that.
13	Q	Similar to those you did on the Exxon Valdez?
14	A	Yes, I've done that.
15	Q	Have you ever been involved with grounded
16		vessels before?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	How many?
19	A	Oh, three or four, maybe.
20	Q	Have you done stability and strength
21		calculations in those instances?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Now, what do you do with respect to
24		coordinating with salvage masters such as Mr.
25		Leitz, when you're called onto a job like the
,		

1		Exxon Valdez?
2	A	I I giving technical back backup and
3		helping work some of the problems that and
4		make some of the hard decisions that have to be
5		made.
6	Q	Do you coordinate with the salvage master?
7	A	Work with him real really close.
8	Q	And what type of things are you working
9		closely with?
10	A	Oh, just mostly engineering aspects of the
11		job.
12	Q	What do you mean by that?
13	A	Well, stability and strength, all sorts of
14		physical and physics problems.
15	Q	Are these calculations done before the vessel
16		is taken off the ground?
17	A	Yes. We were prepared the salvage plan,
18		and Nick prepared the salvage plan, and I
19		added filled in a lot of the details.
20	Q	And do you also make calculations, speaking
21		generally now, after the vessel is off the ground
22		to see what stability and strength she might
23		have?
24	A	Yes. We made maintained a pretty close
25		watch on the vessel as far as her hull bending

the
or to
or to
or to
or to
ļ
•
ng off
you've
ve with
ve with
ve with
ve with
r your
you'v

	fee, your normal hourly rate?
A	Yes.
Q	Did you estimate how many hours you've spent
	on this case so far?
A	I haven't bookkeeped, I keep a log of what I
	do and when I do it, but I haven't accumulated
	anything.
Q	Do you have an idea of how much we're talking
	about? Generally, roughly?
Α	No. I don't. I've been up here oh, maybe
	10 or 11 days prior to this time, and I was
	I've been here three or four this time. That's
	then I was at home a week, and did a lot of
	the work there.
Q	So would you say you put in about 20 days
	here?
A	I guess.
Q	And what do you normally charge per day?
A	Well, it's usually by the hour, it depends on
	what I do during the day, but I would guess that
	\$650.00 a day plus expenses.
Q	Okay. Do you estimate that your fee will
	exceed 10 to \$15,000.00 in this case?
A	No, I don't really.
Q	Okay. Let's talk about the Exxon Valdez.
	Q A Q A Q A

1		What did you specifically do in terms of strength
2		and stability calculations?
3	A	Well, we prior to lifting her off the rock,
4		we had some preliminary surveys and discussions
5		about how much damage there was under the vessel,
6		and we tried to make an educated estimate of what
7		loss there had been to the whole structure, and
8		then and computing the lift-off condition,
9		both the stability and the flotation on the heel,
10		and the the anticipated bending moments to see
11		whether or not the hull stresses approached
12		anything critical.
13	Q	The bending moments and stresses that you're
14		talking about deal with strength, do they not?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Based on the calculations that you made with
17		respect to strength, do you have an opinion as to
18		whether or not this vessel was in danger of
19		breaking up by the use of the rudder and engine
20		after the grounding?
21	A	No, that was not
22	Q	Do you have an opinion, first of all? Yes or
23		no?
24	A	No, I don't think it was rudder and engine
25		forces are nothing compared to seaway forces, and

1		I didn't really consider that part of it
2		critical.
3	Q	So your opinion is that the strength was
4		sufficient after the grounding, is that correct?
5	(386)	L)
6	1	MR. COLE: Objection, leading.
7		THE COURT: Sustained.
8	Q	Now, when you say you didn't consider that
9		critical, what do you mean specifically?
10	A	Well, the forces that are applied to a vessel
11		are in a seaway and at sea, very large, and
12		the thrust forces of which the engine was capable
13		aren't that great. And/or rudder. They're
14	ji	enough to steer the vessel and move it in the
15		water, but it they really aren't enough to
16	li	fracture all that steel.
17	Q	Do you have an opinion as to strike that.
18	i i	Did you view the damage on this vessel in San
19	H	Diego?
20	A	I did not.
21	Q	You did not.
22	A	No, I made a pretty detailed drawing of the
23	ii	damage reports by the divers, but that's as far
24	ii	as I took it.
25	Q	Where did you make this drawing?

A	On the ship.
Q	Up at Bligh Reef?
A	Naked Island.
Q	Let me pull a chart and show you. (Pause) I
	can't seem to locate it. Let me show you what's
	been marked as Exhibit 103, and ask you, is this
	the drawing you're referring to?
A	Yes. That's a reproduction of it, and
	reduced, but the drawing I did was on butcher
	paper on a big plywood table that was about eight
!	feet long.
Q	Okay, you didn't put in the numbers that are
	on this exhibit?
A	No.
Q	See the various numbers?
A	No, just the layer work, which details all the
	fractures that were found, and a plate that was
	missing, and that part of it.
Q	Based on the information you had in the
	drawing you made, do you have an opinion as to
	how this damage was caused?
Α	Well, the bulk of it was done on the initial
	stranding, and then some of the loss of the bilge
	plating was during subsequent tides that
	occurred, with the vessel hung up on the beach.
	Q A Q A Q A

		
1	Q	Do you have any opinion as to whether any
2		additional damage was done by the use of the
3		vessel's rudder or engine after the grounding?
4	A	I don't think anything that was done in that
5		stage was a bit it was all done by then.
6	Q	Now, you said that you listened to Mr.
7		Milwee's testimony?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Do you agree or disagree with his opinion that
10		no matter what Captain Hazelwood did after the
11		grounding with the ship's engine and rudder, that
12) 	this vessel was not going to come off the reef?
13	A	Not much chance.
14	Q	So you agree with his opinion?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Do you remember Mr. Milwee expressing an
17		opinion that if Captain Hazelwood wanted to keep
18		the vessel on the reef, as opposed to trying to
19	<u> </u> -	get it off, he would have used constant right
20		rudder? Do you remember that testimony?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Do you agree or disagree with that opinion?
23	A	No, I wouldn't do that at all. The results
24		would be ridiculous.
25	Q	Well, would you explain what you mean by that?
	,	· ·

1	A	Well, the vessel was impaled on this area
2		right in here, and right rudder, constant right
3		rudder with power would just pivot the vessel,
4		right around here, you'd just swing like a
5		pinwheel, and no telling what you could run into
6		at
7	Q	What would you do in order to avoid that
8		pinwheel effect?
9	Α	Well, try to maintain a heading close to the
10		one she had coming on.
11	Q	Well, what do you mean by that, try to
12		maintain a heading?
13	A	Well, when she finally came to rest, she was
14		headed in a certain compass bearing, or course,
15		and you'd try to keep her there as you ran the
16		engines slowly.
17	(Tape	: C-3679)
18	(0049	
19	Q	In your opinion, what would have to be done
20		with the rudder in order to maintain the course
21		heading?
22	A	Well, the stern of a vessel tends to walk, a
23		single-screw vessel, and you'd just have to use
24		some rudder to to maintain the heading, and
25		besides, the vessel is impaled on one side, and

1		and the forward part of the vessel with
2		with power on would be constantly running the
3		swing, and you'd have to have to steer, just
4		as you would underway.
5	Q	Sir, could you explain to the jury what you
6		mean by "vessel having to walk"?
7	A	Well, that vessel has about a I don't know,
8	1	30, 28-foot wheel, I would guess
9	Q	You mean the size of the propeller?
10	A	Yeah. And as it turns, it wants to act like a
11		wheel. The dense or the pressure is at the
12		lower part of the wheel, the pressure at the top
13		of the wheel is less, and they just tend to walk.
14		She would probably swing her stern to starboard.
15	Q	And how does one counter this effect, this
16		walking effect, if you wanted to keep the vessel
17		on a heading?
18	A	Rudder.
19	Q	Now, you listened to the testimony of Mr.
20		Vorus, did you not?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	And you heard him give his hypothetical
23		situations, he had four or five hypothetical
24		situations where the vessel came off the reef and
25		then sunk within a period of time.

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	First of all, do you have an opinion as to the
3		likelihood of any of the scenarios ever coming to
4		be?
5	A	Well, some of his scenarios were off the reef
6		in deep water, I don't think that was likely.
7	Q	Did you agree or disagree with his opinion
8		that had the vessel come off by whatever method,
9		I think one witness described it as levitation,
10		do you have an opinion as to whether or not the
11		vessel would have sank?
12	A	The the hypothesis that Mr. Vorus espoused
13		was with the assumption that the crew would just
14		stand idly by and watch their vessel sink, I
15		don't think that
16	Q	Do you have an opinion as to the likelihood of
17		that?
18	A	I think that's a really ridiculous to think
19		they would not respond to the way the vessel's
20		the aspect of the vessel on if she came off
21		the reef.
22	Q	On what basis do you say that?
23	A	Well, they're a capable group of people, and
24		they're on the ship. You would think they would
25		try to do something to save the vessel first,

1		before they abandon ship, anyway. Also, the
2	}	the vessel just adjacent, just the port of the
3		vessel was some fairly shoal water, it wouldn't
4		have sunk anyway. Or they'd gone down by the
5		head, perhaps, and taken a list to starboard.
6	Q	Did you make any calculations to support your
7		conclusion that this vessel would not have sunk
8		as she came off the reef?
9	A	Yes, I explored situations where the crew did
10		take action.
11	Q	We'll get into that in a second, let me just
12		show you one of his Defendant's Exhibits CO,
13		CN, and CM for identification, and ask you, do
14		those represent the calculations you made to
15		support your conclusion?
16	A	Yes, these are the ones.
17	Q	Okay, what did you use for your factors and
18		your constants in those calculations?
19	A	Well
20	Q	In other words, on what is that information
21		based, if you
22	A	Oh, well, I the data in the file from the
23		time we lifted it off the rock, and I used a low
24		condition for the vessel at the time, when all of
25		the oil had run out, and all of the ballast
	r .	

1		spaces had been filled, and
2	Q	Did you use any of the information from the
3		ship's computer?
4	A	Yes, I used the ship's computer all the time
5		the vessel was not the ship's computer, but
6		the ship's loading program and my own computer,
7		all the time that the vessel was going down to
8		San Diego, so I had access to the ship's loading
9		program.
10	Q	Did you use the ship's loading program in your
11		calculations that you made for this scenario?
12	A	Yes, I did.
13	Q	Okay. Now, you said that the condition that
14		you used in your calculations was when all the
15		oil had gone out and the water had come in at
16		that point?
17	Α	Yes, I established that as the initial road
18		condition for this levitated vessel.
19	Q	At what time would your situation occur,
20		assuming the ship ran aground at 12:10?
21	A	That's
22	Q	Yes, at what time did you assume that this
23		vessel would have come off the reef?
24	A	Oh, she stranded a little after midnight, and
25		for all of that to take place, it would've taken

1	a couple of hours or better, two and a half
2	hours.
3	Q And in your condition, then, what time do you
4	have the vessel coming off the reef?
5	A Oh, 2 to 2:30 in the morning.
6	Q Now, do you agree or disagree with Mr. Vorus'
7	testimony that all of the oil that would have
8	come out of this vessel would have come out in
9	the first, I think he said, 18 minutes?
10	A Well
11	MR. COLE: Your Honor, I object to that,
12	that's not what Professor Vorus said. He said that it
13	would come out the first batch, the first half would
14	come out in the first half an hour, and that the rest
15	of it would come out as the tide went down.
16	MR. CHALOS: Well, take
17	THE COURT: Why don't you rephrase your
18	question
19	MR. CHALOS: I will
20	THE COURT: ask him what his opinion is
21	concerning it, if you want, rather than whether he
22	disagrees or agrees with that, if you don't know
23	exactly what the evidence is.
24	(0273)
25	Q (Mr. Hudson by Mr. Chalos:) All right, do you

1		have an opinion as to how long it would have
2		taken for the as Mr. Cole calls it, the
3		initial batch of oil to come out of the vessel?
4	A	Well, yes, I I made my own estimate, and it
5		was around 30 to 40 minutes. And
6	Q	What did you use as a basis for calculating
7		the flow of oil?
8	A	Well, I I was given the manufacturer's flow
9		data for all the pressure and relief valves on
10		all of the tanks, plus the fact that some of the
11		during this discharge of oil, the pressure
12		vacuum breaker, the big one, had been discharged,
13		and it was inoperative.
14	Q	All right, now, you mentioned that strike
15		that. What did your calculations show?
16	A	Well, that the oil would go out very fast, but
17		the ballast tanks aren't segregated ballast
18		tanks on the starboard side that were holed were
19		not vented with PV valves, they were just a four-
20		inch and a six-inch pin. And I had data for
21		those, and that filling would take much longer
22		than the loss of all the oil.
23	Q	Well, what was the ultimate conclusion?
24	A	Well, it would probably take over two hours
25		probably for the vessel to stabilize, at the tide

1		level that she was resting with.
2	Q	You're talking now about the reef.
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. Now, you made certain calculations as
5		the vessel came off the reef.
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	All right, what did those calculations show?
8	A	Well, the assumptions I made were that the
9		crew as soon as she began to take turn to
10		the bow and the starboard list, they would take
11		corrective measures, and the initial one of those
12		measures would be to get all the slider valves
13		closed.
14	Q	Okay. You're talking now, the ship has come
15		off the reef.
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	And you said what would happen with the trim
18		and list?
19	A	Well, she'd begin to go down by the bow, or
20		she would be down by the bow, because of the way
21		she was loaded with the loss of oil, she would be
22		down by the bow and have a starboard list.
23	Q	Okay. You mentioned the crew closing the
24		slider valves. How many slider valves are we
25		talking about?
	1	

1	A	Well, there's some are more critical than
2		others in this condition, I would close all the
3		forward ones first, and
4	Q	How many slider valves
5	A	There are eight, all together, cargo slider
6		valves.
7	Q	How many are up forward?
8	A	Well, maybe four.
9	Q	All right. How long would it take to close
10		the slider valves, if one wanted to do just that?
11	A	Oh, I would say four men could do it in 15 or
12		20 minutes.
13	Q	For all the
14	A	Yeah.
15	Q	Now, was there did your calculations assume
16		any other action by the crew besides closing the
17		slider valves?
18	A	Well, if she took a starboard list, they would
19		want to counterballast for that, and and aft,
20		too, if possible, because she was going down by
21		the head.
22	Q	Let me get a model, and maybe we can
23		demonstrate for the jury what you're talking
24		about.
25		I'll show you what's been marked as Exhibit
	1	

Α

154. Would you hold up the model, you can step forward, with your permission, Your Honor, and show the jury what you're talking about?

THE COURT: Put that little black box in your pocket, and I think you can carry the cord with you.

Q Okay, let me help you.

The vessel would be taking an aspect of -down by the bow, and a -- a starboard list.

These four tanks are segregated ballast tanks,
and they would be floating with water and oil
that's surrounding the vessel, and all these
other tanks, the fore peak and everything along
here and back here were all holed. They would
have lost the oil rather quickly.

But these tanks here are on a different venting system, where they're filled more slowly. And the crew, to correct this, going down, would close all the slider valves, which would inhibit the sinking, slow it up considerably, and then counterballasting, by that I mean there are — these tanks back here, the fore port and starboard, the ballast tanks, this one was pretty much intact, this one was undamaged as well, being on the starboard side. This would be filling.

1		And with water coming in here, the first thing
2		to do is shift this seawater to the port side to
3		correct some of the list, and also start down
4		this way, and then in the control room, the cargo
5		control room, there's a button to use to execute
6		the flooding tank, the ballast tank, and they
7		would flood this tank, and that would be
8		sufficient to start the vessel on the way up
9		rather than on the way down.
10	Q	What would be the effect of ballasting, let's
11		say, number 4 port, in terms of the vessel's
12		stability?
13	A	Well, it would it would tend to correct the
14		starboard list, and the forward trim, it would
15		start bringing the bow up and the vessel to a
16		righted position.
17	Q	And what would be the net result of that, in
18		terms of the oil coming out of the ship?
19	A	It would come still come out, until
20		well, it really wouldn't, I mean, in deeper
21		water, she would all of the she was at a
22		higher draft when she was on the rock than she
23		was off here, so the oil would float up in the
24		tanks.
25	Q	Having ballasted down number 4 port, what

1		would that do with respect to the danger of
2		capsizing the vessel? Would
3.	A	Well, it like I say, it would start the
4		vessel back on an even trim, and keep list.
5	Q	And what would it do with respect to her
6		remaining afloat?
7	A	It would correct it.
8	Q	And keep it afloat?
9	A	Keep it afloat.
10	Q	Okay, how long would it have taken the crew to
11		ballast down number 4 port tank?
12	A	Well, first thing you would want them to do is
13		shift the flooding from one side to the other
14		with the pumping system.
15	Q	How is that done?
16	A	With a button in the cargo control room.
17	Q	So are you saying, then, you're moving water
18	1	from the number 4 starboard over to the number 4
19		port?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	And that's done by pressing a button?
22	A	It's my understanding, that's right.
23	Q	If the crew wanted to take out all the water
24		in number 4 starboard
25	A	Yes.
Į.	l	

1	Q	on the starboard side, what would they
2		have to do?
3	A	Well, they would continue pumping
4	Q	Was that
5	A	at the same time flooding the port side.
6	Q	Was that option feasible to the crew
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	in your opinion?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	How long would it have taken them to put a
11		sufficient amount of water in number 4 port to
12		correct the list, in your opinion?
13	A	Well, the first initial shift and the
14		continued flooding would tend to bring it back,
15		it would be about an hour and 15 or 20 minutes
16		maybe.
17	Q	And the vessel what would the vessel be
18		doing in the meantime?
19	A	Correcting its aspect.
20	Q	Now, based on your calculations, had those
21		maneuvers been made, what would have happened to
22		the vessel?
23	Α	She would she could have been brought to an
24		even trim.
25	Q	And what would that result in?
J		

1	A	Just floating there.
2	Q	Okay, you can resume your seat again. Now,
3		Mr. Hudson, the condition that you spoke about
4		just now, would it have been necessary for the
5		crew to put any water in the after peak or the
6		engine room tanks in order to achieve the stable
7		condition?
8	A	It wouldn't have been necessary, but it would
9		have been advisable, and that would take a little
10		longer, but since she's on a correcting a
11	1	correcting mode, why, they would probably have
12		time to do that, it would another thing you're
13		counting on in this procedure is that the
14		pressure vacuum relief valves in each of the
15		tanks close at any pressure less than two and a
16		half pounds.
17	Q	What would have been the feasibility of that?
18		Let me start again. What would have been the
19		feasibility of that under the situation as you
20		calculated it?
21	A	Yeah, when she gets in a righted position, and
22		out of this heavy list, the pressure would be
23		reduced, and eventually drop back to something
24		that the pressure vacuum and relief valves could
25		handle.

1	Q	In other words, the pressure would have fallen
2		below a certain level?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And what would that level have been?
5	A	Two and a half pounds per square inch.
6	Q	And what would have happened to the pressure
7		relief valves?
8	A	They would have closed, and there wouldn't
9		have been any more flooding.
10	Q	Does that help the buoyancy of the vessel, if
11		the valves are closed?
12	A	Well, she quits sinking.
13	Q	At that point.
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Now, in your opinion, what would the
16		feasibility have been of the crew taking the
17		action you suggested under the circumstances?
18	A	It's completely feasible and probable, really.
19		MR. CHALOS: No further questions, Your Honor.
20	(0744	.)
21		CROSS EXAMINATION OF MR. HUDSON
22	BY MR	R. COLE:
23	Q	Mr. Hudson, would you agree with me, in a
24		situation where a ship has been grounded, or has
25		suffered some kind of damage, the strength and

1	stability is kind of a dynamic concept, in other
2	words, it changes over time. Would that be a
3	fair statement?
4	A As long as it's in a flooding condition, and
5	as long as it's sustaining forces that can extend
6	the damage.
7	Q That would be a fair statement?
8	A I would agree.
9	Q You were on the Exxon Valdez at some point,
10	correct?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Did you use the load the computer program
13	that was on board the Exxon Valdez to or did
14	you use another computer?
15	A I
16	MR. CHALOS: Excuse me, Your Honor, those are
17	two different questions, he asked if he used the
18	program, and then he asked if he used another computer.
19	Which does he mean?
20	Q Sorry, I'll return. Did you use the computer
21	on board the Exxon Valdez?
22	A I had ship personnel helping me, and I would
23	submit the loading conditions to them, and they
24	used their own computer.
25	Q It's correct, isn't it, that there was some

1		problem with that program, some people suspected
2		that there were some problems with it, and
3		computers were used the computers from Houston
4		were used in a lot of the work.
5	A	Houston was following us on everything we did,
6		with their own computers.
7	Q	And the program the scenario that you ran,
8		you ran with the help of a very similar
9		Loadmaster computer program, is that correct?
10	A	The same one.
11	Q	The same one. And that was for the scenario
12		that you did.
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Okay, that computer program doesn't take into
15		consideration structural damage to the vessel,
16		does it?
17	A	No, but it the structural damage as
18		evaluated can be applied to the answers that you
19		get from the program.
20	Q	And if I understand it right, correct me if
21		I'm wrong, the way I understand it is that tells
22		you what the strength and stress of the vessel is
23		at any one particular point in time, depending on
24		what the ullages are that you place into the
25		computer.

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	And so a computer program that is dynamic and
3		changes over time might be a little bit better
4		than that computer program. Would you agree with
5		that?
6	A	Faster, but not better.
7	Q	You said you listened to the testimony of Mr.
8		Milwee about the slight right degree rudder turn?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	When he was asked that question, was he asked
11		under the circumstances where a ship is impaled,
12		or was he asked that under the circumstances
13		where you believed you were sliding off, say, for
14		instance, mud, or a reef? Do you remember?
15	A	I don't, no.
16	Q	And I'm sorry, can I okay. It's your
17		testimony, then, that when Captain Hazelwood was
18		making these numerous turns, and after 12:30, it
19		was one, two, three, four, five, six, seven,
20		eight, nine, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, that he
21		was just trying to maintain his position?
22		MR. CHALOS: Your Honor, I object to the
23	charac	cterization that Captain Hazelwood was making
24	these	turns. All that's showing is swing. It doesn't
25	neces	sarily have to be because of applied rudder.

1		THE COURT: Objection overruled, you may
2	answe	er the question.
3	Q	Is it your opinion that he was just keeping it
4		going straight ahead?
5	A	That's my opinion.
6	Q	And in your scenarios, you assume that it
.7		would take 15 to 20 minutes to close all eight
8		slider valves?
9	A	That was an off-the-cuff answer, yes.
10	Q	How would that have been done?
11	A	Oh, put a couple of men on each side of the
12		vessel, and start forward and work aft.
13	Q	How would you close them?
14	A	Manually.
15	Q	Well, would you explain that to the jury?
16	Α	Well, they're they're big gates, and
17		they're on a screw, and it's got a a wheel and
18		a crank. I think I
19	Q	What about all the PV valves, would you be
20		able to close those in 15 to 20 minutes?
21	Α	No.
22	Q	In your scenario, at two and a half hours
23		I'd assume correct me if I'm wrong, now, you
24		assume that the vessel came off the reef at about
25		2, 2:30? In your scenario?

1	A	I think it would take probably that long to
2		get the vessel in the condition that I worked
3		with.
4	Q	What was its heel and trim immediately after
5		coming off the rock, in your scenario?
6	A	About 12 degrees to starboard, and mind if
7		I look at
8	Q	Sure, no, I don't.
9	A	12 degrees starboard, and only four degrees by
10		the head or four feet by the head.
11	Q	Okay. If you would, I would just maybe you
12		could demonstrate to the jury what the vessel
13	1	would have looked like at that point. Let's
14		assume that this is, you know
15	Ά	Well, four feet by the head in a vessel about
16		1,000 feet long is almost negligible, but 18
17		degrees is very pronounced.
18	Q	It would have been 18 or 12?
19	A	Or 12, excuse me.
20	Q	It's very pronounced? And the crew members
21		would have been out on the deck, and been
22		expected to close the slider valves in a
23		pronounced heel like this.
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	You said it would have taken about an hour to
	Ì	

1	an hour and 15 minutes to ballast number 4?
2	Port?
3	A Completely.
4	MR. COLE: Your Honor, I have nothing further.
5	MR. CHALOS: Just a few questions, Your Honor.
6	(1097)
7	REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF MR. HUDSON
8	BY MR. CHALOS:
9	Q Would a 12-degree list on this vessel prevent
10	someone from going out on deck and doing work, in
11	your opinion?
12	A Not at all.
13	Q And you said that it would take about an hour
14	to an hour and 15 minutes to completely fill
15	number 4 port?
16	A Yes. In the meantime, you could be also
17	ballasting the the port engine room salt water
18	ballast tank and the aft peak, which is also a
19	step in the right direction, although slower.
20	Q What would be happening as all this was going
21	on to the trim of the vessel?
22	A The vessel would be coming back.
23	Q Now, under your scenarios, would you have to
24	close the PV valves in order to keep the vessel
25	afloat?

1		
1		MR. COLE: Objection, leading.
2	Q	I'll rephrase it. Mr. Cole asked you about
3	:	the PV valves being open?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Do you recall that? In your scenario, did you
6		consider the PV valves?
7	A	Yes, the fact that they would offer two and a
8		half PSI resistance to further flooding.
9	Q	And how did that affect your ultimate opinion
10		that the vessel would stay afloat, the fact that
11		they might have been open?
12	A	Well, they would slow the righting process,
13		certainly, but there is additional there is a
14		way to blank them on the site, I don't know
15		whether the they would have done that or not,
16		but that's you know, if there was they were
17		suffering a reversal in this process, they could
18		take other measures.
19	Q	Well, in your opinion, would the PV valves
20		have to be blanked off in order to achieve the
21		result that you got?
22	A	No.
23	Q	Lastly, did you consider the vessel's computer
24		program to be accurate for the purpose of
25		determining stability and strength?

1	A	Yes, if properly applied.
2	Q	I assume you properly applied it in your
3		situation.
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	I have no further questions.
6		RECROSS EXAMINATION OF MR. HUDSON
7	BY MF	R. COLE:
8	Q	Just two quick questions. When you did your
9		scenario, your hypothetical, at approximately 2
10		or 2:30, how often, how many at what periods
11		of time did you assess the stability or the
12		strength after it came off?
13	A	I it's a the thing that the interwrapped
14		(ph) computer program offers is doing the
15		iterations that you have to do rapidly, and it
16		was tedious for me to do them with this program,
17		but I took it in several steps, and I don't know
18		whether that answers the question or not.
19	Q	Did you like every 15 minutes or every 20
20		minutes for every hour or every two hours after
21		•••
22	A	No, I just did it in four stages.
23	Q	How much did you get paid for your work in the
24		Exxon Valdez salvage?
25		MR. CHALOS: Your Honor, just for the record,

1	I would object to the relevancy.
2	THE COURT: Overruled.
3	A I don't know, about 30 to \$40,000.00 for the
4	six weeks.
5	Q And that was as a subcontractor for Exxon
6	Shipping Company.
7	MR. CHALOS: Your Honor, I object
8	A No, I was a subcontractor to Nick Nick
9	Leitz.
10	Q Okay. Nothing further, Your Honor.
11	MR. CHALOS: No questions.
12	MR. MADSON: The Defense rests, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: The witness may be excused.
14	Counsel approach the bench, please. You may step down,
15	please, you're excused.
16	(Whispered bench conference)
17	(1324)
18	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're going
19	to excuse you just for a couple of minutes to take up a
20	matter very briefly, but we'll call you back in in just
21	a few minutes. Don't discuss this case among
22	yourselves or with any other person, don't form or
23	express any opinions, that's just as important now as
24	it was in the beginning of the case. I'll call you
25	back in as soon as I can.

(Jury not present.)

THE COURT: All right, in a side bench conference immediately after Mr. Madson stated the Defense rests, Mr. Cole said there was a recent Court of Appeals decision suggesting the court inquire of the Defendant of his desire not to take the stand. Do you happen to have that citation handy, Mr. Cole?

MR. COLE: Your Honor, I apologize, I do not, it was brought up this afternoon by Mr. Linton, and that's why. I will be happy to provide the court with that. It was a post-conviction relief matter.

THE COURT: I wish you would get that to me sometime, I'm going to inquire of Captain Hazelwood, but I'd like you to, when you do make that representation, be prepared to give me a cite. Any objection to the court inquiring of Captain Hazelwood?

MR. CHALOS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Captain Hazelwood, you have the right to testify on your own behalf here, if you do testify, you'll be subjected to cross-examination, and if you do testify, the court would instruct the jury that they're to consider your testimony, evaluate your testimony the same way they would any other witness' testimony. Do you understand that right, sir?

A Yes.

1	THE COURT: Okay, if you decline to take the		
2	stand, that is your Constitutional right, and this		
3	court will instruct the jury they're not to consider		
4	that in any way adversely to you. Do you understand		
5	that?		
6	A Yes.		
7	THE COURT: And is it your desire at this time		
8	not to testify?		
9	A That's correct.		
10	THE COURT: And have you consulted with your		
11	attorneys concerning this decision?		
12	A I have.		
13	THE COURT: And is that decision made with		
14	your advice and consent, Mr. Madson?		
15	MR. MADSON: It is, Your Honor.		
16	THE COURT: Okay. Call the jury back in, and		
17	you can get your let's see if your witness is		
18	available for		
19	MR. COLE: He is, Your Honor, I can go get		
20	him.		
21	THE COURT: Okay, let's bring the jury back in		
22	then.		
23	(Jury present.)		
24	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, the Defense		
25	has rested. The State may call its rebuttal witness at		

1	this time. Call the name of your witness, please.
2	REBUTTAL
3	MR. COLE: This is Captain Mackintire, Your
4	Honor.
5	THE CLERK: Sir, would you please stand, have
6	the microphone
7	(Oath administered)
8	A I do.
9	ROBERT W. MACKINTIRE,
10	called as a witness in behalf of the Plaintiff, being
11	first duly sworn upon oath, testified as follows:
12	THE CLERK: Please be seated. Sir, would you
13	please state your full name, and then spell your last
14	name.
15	A Robert W. Mackintire, spelled M-a-c-k-i-n-t-i-
16	r-e, all one word.
17	THE CLERK: And your current mailing address,
18	sir?
19	A Be Herseyside, H-e-r-s-e-y-s-i-d-e, Pembroke,
20	Maine, 04666.
21	THE CLERK: And your current occupation?
22	A I'm a ship's captain with Texaco.
23	MR. COLE: May I proceed, Your Honor?
24	THE COURT: Sure.
25	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF CAPTAIN MACKINTIRE

1	BY M	IR. COLE:
2	Q	Captain Mackintire, you work for Texaco
3		presently?
4	A	That's correct.
5	Q	What ship are you the captain of right now?
6	A	The Brooklyn, TT Brooklyn.
7	Q	And how long have you been a tanker captain?
8	A	Oh, about 12 years.
9	Q	Would you explain how you became involved in
10		the maritime industry?
11	A	I went to the Massachusetts Maritime Academy,
12		graduated in 1954, and worked for Atlantic
13		Refining Company for about a year and a half, and
14		then went
15	Q	What were you doing for them? What were you
16		doing for them?
17	A	I was an A.B. to start with, and relieving
18		third mate.
19	Q	And after finishing how long did you work
20	1	there?
21	A	About a year and a half.
22	Q	Where did you go what did you do after
23		that?
24	A	Went in the Navy, about three and a half
25		years.

jury what nsign and tenant on a f the deck ted to while I was rs.
tenant on a f the deck ted to while I was
tenant on a f the deck ted to while I was
f the deck ted to while I was
ted to while I was
while I was
rs.
t?
ssenger
MSTS is
ce
erations
at did you
the
Trading and
d third mate
d third mate
,

1	A	And then I I left then and I went with
2		Texaco, a job opening occurred at Texaco, went
3	1	with them, started sailing as third mate, and
4		then second mate, and after about two years, I
5		started sailing chief mate with them, which
6		lasted for about 12 years, and then about 12
7		years ago I was promoted to captain, I've been
8		sailing captain ever since.
9	Q	How long have you worked for Texaco?
10	A	It'll be 27 years.
11	Q	Would you describe for the jury where you've
12		been as a tanker captain?
13	A	I've worked on several of their vessels, and
14		the last one I was on was the Texaco Rhode
15	 	Island, which was a tanker operating primarily on
16		the West Coast, and it's about a 600 foot long
17	1	tanker, and then I was, about a year ago, I was
18		transferred to the Brooklyn, and
19	Q	Where I'm sorry, go ahead.
20	A	which is a much larger ship, it's about
21		1,094 feet long by 145 feet wide.
22	Q	When you would you describe for the jury as
23	· I	a captain for Texaco where you've been required
24		to go, what places?
25	A	You mean the Brooklyn has been operating

1		when I first joined it, it was operating
2		between Long Beach, California, and Valdez,
3		Alaska.
4	Q	Prior to joining the Brooklyn, where did you
5		travel?
6	A	On the Rhode Island, we were operating
7		primarily on the West Coast between Long Beach,
8		San Francisco, Seattle area, and occasionally to
9		Anchorage, Alaska. Prior to that, the ship was
10		operating for Military Sealift Command, and we
11		were the operating around the world in
12		different areas, to Ascension Island, and the Far
13		East, and South America areas.
14	Q	You talked a little bit about the Brooklyn.
15		How much oil can the Brooklyn carry?
16	A	We carry, fully loaded, about 1.6 million
17		barrels.
18	Q	And in dead weight tons, how large is it?
19	A	225,000 dead weight tons.
20	Q	Would you tell the jury when you were assigned
21		to the Brooklyn?
22	A	January of 1989.
23	Q	And after being assigned to that, what routes
24		did you take that vessel on?
25	A	We were as I say, we were operating between

1		Long Beach, California, and Valdez, Alaska, until
2		April of last year, and then we were transferred
3		and are operating in the Far East area.
4	Q	Where have you been working in the Far East?
5	A	Last summer we took a load of grain from
6		Portland, Oregon, and took it to Bangladesh, and
7		then after that we started operating out of the
8		Persian Gulf, carrying crude oil between the
9		Persian Gulf and South Korea.
10	Q	South Korea?
11	A	South Korea, yes.
12	Q	Now, how many trips did you make in and out of
13		Prince William Sound on the Brooklyn?
14	A	It'd be about seven trips, round trips.
15	Q	Seven. As a captain, would you explain to the
16		jury what your most important responsibilities
17		are?
18	A	Would be, primarily, is the safe navigation of
19		the vessel, and the efficient operation for the
20		carrying of the cargo.
21	Q	Would your safe navigation include the safety
22		of your crew members?
23	A	Yes, that's correct.
24	Q	Now, when you came into Prince William Sound,
25		did you have pilotage for the Prince William
	ı	l la companya di managantan di managantan di managantan di managantan di managantan di managantan di managanta

1		Sound area?
2	A	No, I don't.
3	Q	I'm sorry?
4	A	No, I don't.
5	Q	Did any of your mates on any of the crews that
6		you had during your transits have pilotage?
7	A	No, they didn't.
8	Q	What when you were asked at the three-hour
9		period outside of Cape Hinchinbrook whether you
10		had pilotage, or whether you were a pilotage
11		vessel or a non-pilotage vessel, how would you
12		respond?
13	A	I would tell them that we I did not no
14		one had pilotage on board, for the transit.
15	Q	What requirements, then, were you required to
16		follow?
17	A	In that case, we were required to make reports
18		as we passed Cape Hinchinbrook, and every 10
19		minutes we would report the ship's position to
20		the Vessel Traffic Center. And we were required
21		to pick up a pilot at Bligh Reef for the approach
22		into Valdez Arm and Valdez Harbor.
23	Q	How many officers were required to be on the
24		bridge?
25	A	We were required to have two officers on the
		ı

1		bridge while we were transitting Prince William
2		Sound.
3	Q	Did that stop when you got abeam of Montague?
4	A	No.
5	Q	What about visibility requirements?
6	A	In what
7	Q	Were there any visibility restrictions on
8		whether or not you could come in?
9	A	They not that I know of, but they could, if
10		you under certain circumstances, the VTS may
11		tell us that we couldn't come in because of
12		visibility restrictions. Possibly if we got
13		radar that was broken down, we didn't have both
14		radars operating, they would restrict us for
15		possibly waiting for the weather to clear. But
16		there's nothing in writing that I know of,
17	ı	specifically about that.
18	Q	Do you have does Texaco have an agent in
19		Valdez?
20	A	Yes, we do.
21	Q	Who is that agent?
22	A	Alamar, the Alaska Maritime Agency.
23	Q	When your vessels were transitting Prince
24		William Sound, what was your practice as far as
25		whether or not you were on the bridge?

1	A	When I was I was always on the bridge for
2		transitting Prince William Sound. Usually
3		because the regular deck officers would be tired
4		from the loading and operation, give them plenty
5		of rest.
6	Q	Where would you pick up the pilot?
7	A	I'd pick up the pilot at Bligh Reef, in the
8		vicinity of Bligh Reef buoy.
9	Q	I have a I think there's a pointer right
10		there next to you?
11	A	Oh, yes, there is.
12	Q	Would you mind pointing to the jury in the
13		area where you
14	A	We picked up the pilot in this area here.
15		Come abeam of the buoy, and then we would
16		usually, due to prevailing winds, we would change
17		course to the right and pick up the pilot, and
18		then we'd change course, come back again, the
19		pilot would take over, and conn the ship into the
20		harbor and dock it.
21	Q	Would it be the same as far as where you would
22		drop him off?
23	A	Generally it would be the same idea, we'd come
24		back out, and then when we got in the vicinity of
25		Bligh Reef, the pilot would get off, and then

1		
1		we'd continue on down and through the traffic
2		lane.
3	Q	Thank you. Now, when you were transitting
4		into Prince William Sound, where did you believe
5		that you were being observed by the Vessel
6		Traffic System?
7	A	My understanding was that they had limited
8		radar coverage, but it did ex basically it
9		extended down to Bligh Reef and that area.
10	Q	Did you rely on the fact that they would tell
11		you where you were?
12	A	Not really, no. No.
13	Q	Why is that?
14	A	We did our own navigation, and were
15		responsible for our own navigation, so that would
16		be the manner that we would determine where we
17		were, and I wouldn't be relying on the VTS to
18		tell us.
19	Q	Did you ever have to leave the lanes at all,
20		while you were
21	A	Yes, we have.
22	Q	Did you contact your vessel control system
23		when you did that?
24	A	Well, it was our procedure, was if we had to
25		leave, we would tell 'em that it was necessary to

H & M COURT REPORTING \bullet 510 L Street \bullet Suite 350 \bullet Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \bullet (907) 274-5661

1		leave the traffic traffic lanes, and they
2		would acknowledge that we had notified them.
3	Q	Did that include not only just going from your
4		traffic lane to the separation lane, but also
5		completely outside of the lane?
6	A	Yes, that's correct.
7	(2135	5)
8	Q	Now, while you were transitting in and out of
9		Prince William Sound, what did you use the
10		automatic pilot in there?
11	A	No, we didn't. We maintained manual steering
12		all the way.
13	Q	Why is that?
14	A	Primarily it's company policy, when we're in
15		restricted waters, that we should be operating
16		under manual steering.
17	Q	You talked about company policy. Is there a
18		did Texaco have a bridge manual for you?
19	A	They had an operating manual that included a
20		chapter on bridge requirements for under
21		different circumstances. Reduced visibility,
22		pilotage waters, and docking and undocking
23		procedures.
24	Q	Were there would it be fair to say there
25		was about three different watch-type conditions?

1	A Yes, there were way they considered three
2 .	different situations that would be involved.
3	Q And would you briefly explain to the jury what
4	those were?
5	MR. MADSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object,
6	I don't see the relevance of Texaco's bridge operating
7	policy as opposed to Exxon's you know, it may be
8	different, it may be the same, but I don't see the
9	relevance.
10	MR. COLE: Your Honor, the relevance is the
11	type of watch scenarios that these companies put
12	together, there were guidelines that they used, they
13	were the purpose is to show what the standard in the
14	industry was.
15	MR. MADSON: It shows the standard by Texaco
16	and not anybody else. It isn't the standard of an
17	industry.
18	THE COURT: Objection overruled.
19	Q (Captain Mackintire by Mr. Cole:) Would you
20	briefly explain to the jury what those three
21	areas were?
22	A The first ones would be when we were at sea,
23	outside the headlands, and we would probably be
24	in the condition of reduced visibility, and it'd
25	be necessary to have additional people and watch

1 2

Α

standers available on the bridge, and what we would do, and having the engines on standby, ready for operation, and the use of whistles for fog and lookouts that would be stationed.

And then the next one would be when we were operating in restricted waters, such as inside Prince William Sound or Santa Barbara Channel or places like that where there's more activity, and within the headlands, and then we would ...

I'm sorry. When you say "within the headlands," that may be a concept that hasn't been talked about. Would you explain what you mean to the jury?

Well, that would be when we -- once we entered into the land mass area and started going into an area where we would not actually be -- have a pilot on board, and the ship is doing the navigating. And it would be in an area where we'd have to do extensive navigation, and when we're in this situation, then they would require that we would have two officers on the bridge -- in fact, in the first situation I mentioned, too, the company policy was two officers on the bridge, and one would be expected to be the captain, and if for some reason he couldn't be up

1	there, then we'd use the chief mate, if the
2	captain felt he was qualified for it.
3	And then the third situation would be docking
4	the vessel, when you had a pilot on board. And
5	this would be still the same idea, only it's
6	you also had the pilot up there, and the captain,
7	or mate on watch would be available.
8	Q If you were found to not be in compliance with
9	the bridge manual, what would happen to you as a
10	captain?
11	A If I'd how would that if it
12	MR. MADSON: I agree, Your Honor, I don't know
13	what it means either, I would confuse the witness.
14	It's irrelevant.
15	Q I'll withdraw the question. As a tanker
16	captain, would you explain do you get a
17	certain sense of how your ship runs after a
18	while, after being on it?
19	A Yes, you mean that feeling for the ship,
20	and how it operates, and maneuvering
21	characteristics, yes.
22	Q And would you describe to the jury whether or
23	not you can tell whether the engine is running
24	improperly, or things like that?
25	A Yes, there you could get feelings of

1	chan	ging of conditions when the when the ship
2	chan	ges course, slows down, or break down,
3	it's	a lot of times we will notice this effect
4	when	we're not on the bridge, and the ship will
5	chan	ge course, we feel it veer over, or the if
6	we l	ost the engines for some reason, a breakdown,
7	you	feel a vibration change on the vessel, you
8	sens	e these things after a while, and realize
9	some	thing's happened.
10	Q W	ould you what was the Texaco alcohol
11	poli	cy for American ships?
12	M	R. MADSON: Your Honor, I'll object to
13	Texaco's p	olicy again, I don't see what relevance a
14	company's	policy, other than Exxon, but certainly
15	Texaco's,	has to do with this case.
16	T	HE COURT: Irrelevance objection's overruled.
17	Q W	hat yes, go ahead.
18	A T	he policy was there was no liquor on board
19	Texa	co vessels.
20	Q W	ere people allowed to go ashore?
21	A Y	es, they were.
22	Q W	hat would happen if somebody was drinking on
23	boar	·d?
24	A I	f they were drinking on board and they
25	woul	d be fired. Was my policy.

1	Q	Now, when you came into the situation like you
2		did on the Brooklyn where you had a new crew,
3		what steps would you take to get acquainted with
4		the crew?
5	A	Oh, this did happen with the Brooklyn, I
6		joined January 1989, and I was moved to the ship,
7		I would try to get as much input from the
8		officers that were on board at that time, telling
9		me the characteristics of the ship, and how it
10		was operating, and the crew members'
11		qualifications and things I should be looking
12		for, and this would come from the officers that
13		were already on board, and I would question them
14		and determine certain things of this nature.
15	Q	And as a master, are you able to place people
16		in various positions, your crew members in
17		various positions at certain times?
18	A	Yes, if I found somebody was limited in their
19		abilities to handle certain jobs, I would change
20		them around, or make adjustments so that they
21		weren't there at the critical times, yes.
22	Q	Now, on March 22, would you describe for the
23		jury of 1989, would you describe for the jury
24		when you approximately when you would have
25		called into the VTC Center. Do you remember

```
1
            that?
2
               On what date?
3
      0
               March 22 ...
4
     Α
               That would be ...
5
     Q
               ... 1989.
6
               That would be on arrival.
7
     0
               Yes.
8
      (2513)
9
               Yes, well, we would have three hours before we
10
            made arrival at Cape Hinchinbrook, we would call
11
            in on the VHF radio and notify them that we were
12
            -- our ETA at Cape Hinchinbrook.
                                               And then we
13
            would give 'em the information that they
14
            requested, but -- if we had anything --
15
            breakdowns, any problems with the ship, and
16
            whether we had a pilot on board.
17
               And what did you indicate when they asked you
     Q
18
            whether you were a pilotage or a non-pilotage?
19
               We told 'em no.
     Α
20
               And what happened when you -- after you went
21
            past Cape Hinchinbrook, would you describe that
22
            -- your voyage into the Bligh Reef area?
23
               Well, as we'd start in, we would start
24
            notifying the VTS when we got abeam of Cape
25
            Hinchinbrook, and then every 10 minutes, all the
```

	way up to Montague, we would then give them a
	position every 10 minutes, and after that point,
	we would they would release us from giving the
	position, and then we continued on up here to
	Bligh Reef, where we would pick up the pilot.
Q	And while you were traveling from Montague,
	abeam of Montague to Bligh Reef, the Bligh Reef
	area, did you remain on the bridge?
A	Yes, I did.
Q	And were there any other mates on the bridge
	with you?
A	The watch standing mate that was on watch at
	that time.
Q	Would you point to where you picked up the
	pilot? Would you point to where you picked up
	the pilot that morning?
A	We picked up the pilot about in this area
	right here, at Bligh Reef.
Q	What were the ice conditions when you went
	through that morning?
A	They were light ice conditions, it was quite a
	bit of ice on the this side, west side, and it
	had drifted across into the inbound traffic lane
	through this area here, and it was necessary to
	avoid some of the ice, and we'd gone a little bit
	A Q A Q

1		into the traffic separation zone, and before
2		we could pick up the pilot. And I'd make the
3		turn to the right, have to dodge some ice, make a
4		turn to the right to pick up the pilot.
5	Q	And what happened from the time you picked up
6	;	the pilot till the time you got into port?
7	A	The pilot took over, had the conn, and we made
8		our maneuvers into the dock, there was no ice
9		from that point on in.
10	Q	What are your responsibilities when the pilot
11		comes aboard, as captain?
12	A	Well, I'm still responsible for the vessel and
13		the safe navigation of it, I what I do is turn
14		over the operation of the conn, as they call it,
15		to the pilot, he gives the rudder orders and the
16		speed that the vessel has to proceed at, and he
17		does the maneuvering, and I would be supervising
18		him, and if anything if he did anything wrong,
19		I could take the conn away from him and take
20		control myself. If I felt he was doing something
21		wrong.
22	Q	What time did you arrive in Valdez that
23		morning?
24	A	Believe we docked about 9:00 in the morning.
25	Q	And during then I assume that you went

1		through the standard unloading and loading
2		process?
3	A	That's correct.
4	Q	Did you go into town that day?
5	A	Yes, I did.
6	Q	Why did you go into town?
7	A	Oh, I went to the doctor.
8	Q	What was your standard practice during the
9		docking and undocking process? As far as going
10		into town or not?
11	A	Oh, well, normally I didn't go into town, I
12		usually stayed with the ship, no particular
13		reason to go to town, and I felt I I'd stay
14		with stay on the ship, be available for
15		anything that might come up.
16	Q	When did you leave Valdez, then, on the
17		tanker?
18	A	The next morning we started preparing to get
19		underway about 0730, and we cleared the dock
20		probably about 8:30 in the morning.
21	Q	Would that have been March 23
22	A	On the 23rd.
23	Q	1989?
24	A	That's correct.
25	Q	And where were you during the unloading or
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

H & M COURT REPORTING \bullet 510 L Street \bullet Suite 350 \bullet Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \bullet (907) 274-5661

1		the undocking process?
2	A	I was on the bridge.
3	Q	And who else was on the bridge with you?
4	A	The third mate was on the bridge, the pilot,
5		and the quartermaster the helmsman
6		steering.
7	Q	And until you dropped off the pilot that
8		morning, who stayed who was on the bridge?
9	A	The same people, we had the third mate, and
10		myself, and the pilot.
11	Q	And that would have been through the Narrows
12		and out to Bligh Reef.
13	A	That's correct.
14	Q	Would you describe what happened when you made
15		it out into the TSS lanes, the maneuvers that you
16		took after you went past the Narrows?
17	A	We started coming down this area here, after
18		passing the Narrows, and we had been informed
19		prior to going out there that there was extensive
20		ice out there, and the pilot and I discussed how
21		we would maneuver at this point, particularly for
22		getting himself off. So as we got down here, we
23		could see the ice coming down across, close
24	Q	Just a minute, I have another one.
25	A	Might show up better, yes.

1	Q	Maybe it'll be easier to see if I give you a
2		bigger (Pause) Go ahead.
3	Α	Can you see it all right? So about this
4		point, we observed the ice heading down in a
5		bridge shape down to close to Bligh Reef buoy,
6		and then we cut back across this way. The ice
7		had come out of the glacier area here and was
8		being blown across, so at this point, discussing
9		it with the pilot, we decided the best procedure
10		was to follow the edge of the ice rather than try
11		to go through it, was follow the edge of the ice
12		and by Bligh Reef, where we had an open stretch
13		of about a half a mile to six tenths of a mile,
14		in that area, even though there were a few
15		extra icebergs that had drifted over there, but
16		they were minor, and we were able to go around
17		'em, so that's what we decided to do, we went on
18	L	ahead, and about this point here, we the pilot
19		got off.
20		And then I continued on with the vessel, and
21	!	we passed about a half a mile off Bligh Reef
22		buoy, and then we turned following the edge of
23		the ice, we turned back and crossed over into the
24		outbound lane, and continued our passage.
25	Q	And did you leave the bridge during the time

```
1
            you were transitting that area?
2
     Α
               No, I didn't.
3
               What speed was your vessel going during that
     Q
4
            time?
5
               We were running at a -- probably about eight
     Α
6
            to 10 knots.
7
               And did you use autopilot during that time?
     Q
8
               No, we didn't.
     Α
9
               Thank you, Captain Mackintire, I have nothing
10
            further.
11
      (2958)
12
              CROSS EXAMINATION OF CAPTAIN MACKINTIRE
13
     BY MR. MADSON:
14
               Captain Mackintire, first of all, you were
15
            subpoenaed here by the State of Alaska, were you
16
            not, sir?
17
               That's correct.
     Α
18
               When you subpoenaed for?
     Q
19
               I received the subpoena yesterday.
     Α
20
     Q
               Just yesterday?
21
     Α
               Yes.
22
               And where were you?
     Q
23
     Α
               Here in Anchorage. Here in Anchorage?
24
               Well, how did you get here, I mean, if you
     Q
25
            weren't subpoenaed to be here, I guess?
```

```
1
      Α
               My lawyer was -- Texaco's lawyer was told that
2
            we were going to be subpoenaed, and for us to be
3
            available, so Texaco made me available.
4
               Where did you come from, sir?
      Q
5
      Α
               Originally?
6
               No, just before you came to Anchorage
      0
7
            yesterday.
8
               From home.
      Α
9
               Maine?
      0
10
               Yes, that's correct.
      Α
11
      Q
               And you didn't know you were going to testify
12
            until just a few days ago, is that correct?
13
      Α
               For sure, yes, that's correct.
14
               When did you first talk to the State of
      Q
15
            Alaska, then, sir?
16
      Α
               Yesterday ...
17
               Or a representative?
      Q
18
               Yesterday afternoon.
      Α
19
               What about, do you remember talking to a
      Q
20
            sergeant back in -- investigator back in
21
            February?
22
      Α
               Yes, he was a police -- state police officer,
23
            yes.
24
      Q
               Was that just by phone or in person?
25
      Α
               That was on the phone.
```

1	Q And were you in Maine at that time also?
2	A I was in Texas.
3	Q Now, you said that you worked for Texaco for a
4	number of years, 27 years.
5	A 27 years, that's correct.
6	Q Is it true, sir, that Texaco has far fewer
7	tankers now than they did in years past?
8	A That's correct.
9	Q Their fleet is declining?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Does that mean, then, that the personnel is
12	declining also? In other words, ship captains,
13	there's fewer of them than there used to be?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Would it be fair to say, sir, that you would
16	be wanting to be let's say an extra, or a real
17	good captain at this stage of your career, in
18	other words, to save your job as much as you
19	could?
20	MR. COLE: Objection, argumentative.
21	MR. MADSON: I'm not going to argue, Your
22	Honor, I just asked the question.
23	THE COURT: Objection overruled.
24	Q (Captain Mackintire by Mr. Madson:) You know,
25	have you I do not want you to say that you're

1		in fear of your job, but certainly you want to
2		keep your job, is that fair to say? You want to
3	i	stay a captain?
4	A	Certainly, of course, yes.
5	Q	And certainly you want to do everything to be
6		sure that your employer is not unhappy with you,
7		let's put it that way. Would you say that's
8		fair?
9	A	I do the best I can, that's what I they pay
10		me for, yes.
11	Q	You don't want to rattle the corporate cage,
12		so to speak.
13	A	I don't I don't think I can do that.
14	Q	Now, the Brooklyn that you said you're captain
15		on, how long have you been the captain of the
16		Brooklyn?
17	A	Since January 1959 '89.
18	Q	January '89.
19	Α	(No audible response)
20	Q	And you said it's 1,084 feet long and 145 feet
21		wide?
22	A	That's correct.
23	Q	Compared to the Exxon Valdez you know the
24		statistics, by the way, of the Exxon Valdez here?
25	A	I think she's less than 1,000 feet, and 250

1		feet wide, and 37-foot draft, somewhere, I think
2		that's is that correct?
3	Q	Is it well, I'm afraid you've got to answer
4		the questions.
5	A	I think it's
6	Q	Is it fair to say it's roughly the same, but
7	ii	perhaps the length of the Valdez is a little
8		shorter but it's wider?
9	A	Little shorter, and a little wider, yes.
10	Q	Handling characteristics of ships, do they
11		vary, you know, from one to another, tankers?
12	Α	Yes, they do.
13	Q	Have you ever been on the Exxon Valdez?
14	A	No, I haven't.
15	Q	So is it fair to say you don't know exactly
16		how that vessel would feel respond to rudder
17		orders and things like this, whether you'd feel
18		the vibrations and things?
19	Α	No, it would probably handle a lot a little
20		different than one the ships I've been on,
21		yes.
22	Q	And Mr. Cole here asked you a number of
23		questions about where you've been in all the
24		world.
25	Α	Right.

1	Q	You have traveled extensively as a captain and
2		all over, right?
3	A	Yes, I have.
4	Q	Is it fair to say, sir, that some areas are
5		much more dangerous, or more difficult to
6		navigate in, than others?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And prior to March 23, 22, 23, the trip you
9		were just testifying about to Valdez?
10	Α	Yes.
11	Q	How many trips had you made into Valdez as
12		captain of the Brooklyn prior to March 23?
13	A	It'd be about six trips, that would probably
14		be the seventh trip I have made.
15	Q	How long does it take to make one trip?
16	Α	You mean round trip?
17	Q	Round trip.
18	A	In the in the harbor?
19	Q	Well, let me rephrase that. You were
20	i.	traveling from Valdez to where, where was your
21		harbor?
22	A	Long Beach.
23	Q	Long Beach?
24	A	Long Beach. It's probably a two-week round
25	li.	trip.

```
1
      Q
               It would take two weeks for a round trip?
 2
               Uh-huh (affirmative).
 3
               So you started in January, I presume that was
      0
 4
            fairly early in January?
 5
     Α
               Yes.
                     Uh-huh (affirmative).
 6
               So you would have two -- one, two, six --
 7
            three trips in January?
8
               Three.
     Α
9
      0
               Maybe ...
10
     Α
               Well, probably -- yeah.
11
               Another three in February or so?
     Q
12
     Α
               And part of March.
13
               You were making this run continuously ...
14
               Yes.
     Α
15
               ... and not going anywhere else, right?
     0
16
               That's right.
     Α
17
               You had been to Prince William Sound prior to
     Q
18
            January, then, of 1989?
19
     Α
               I'd been to Prince William Sound but I'd never
20
            been to Valdez.
21
     Q
               Where did you go?
22
               Prior?
     Α
23
     0
               Yeah.
24
               I've been to Whittier, which is -- it's over
25
            in this area over here.
```

1	Q	Okay.
2	A	It's on this side, we came up here
3	Q	You have to go through Cape Hinchinbrook
4		(indiscernible) entrance, is that right?
5	A	To do that, yes.
6	Q	What was the purpose of going there, was that
7	İ	a tanker also?
8	A	Yes, that was a tanker, and we were taking
9	:	military cargo to Whittier.
10	Q	And on the six or seven let's say six or
11		seven trips prior to March 23, you didn't have
12		pilotage, right?
13	Α	That's correct.
14	Q	Federal endorsement, correct?
15	A	Uh-huh (affirmative).
16	Q	Now, you must have known something about the
17		pilotage requirements in Prince William Sound, or
18		did you, before going in?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Where did you get that information?
21	A	We were supplied with letters from the Captain
22		of the Port, provided to the company, which they
23		forwarded to us, we had 'em on the ship.
24	Q	Do you know if they came from via Alamar or
25		not, the American

```
1
      Α
               I ...
 2
               Alaska Maritime?
      Q
 3
      Α
                ... don't know, they probably were originated
 4
            by them and sent to Texaco, who distributed them
 5
            to the ships.
 6
      Q
               Then, sir, were you aware of the fact that,
7
            let's say, there was a visibility requirement?
8
               No.
      Α
9
               You did not know that.
      0
10
               No.
11
               And did you ever -- well, let me ask you this.
      Q
12
            Try to get done here by 1:30 if we can, sir. I
13
            need Exhibit Number 2 -- B, rather -- Captain
14
            Mackintire, let me hand you what's been offered
15
            in evidence here as Defendant's Exhibit B, and
16
            I'll ask you if you've seen that document any
17
            time in the past, sir.
18
      (3378)
19
               No, I haven't.
      Α
                                No.
20
               What does that purport to be? You've had a
      0
21
            chance to read it, is that the ...
22
               MR. COLE: I object (indiscernible).
23
               MR. MADSON: Well, I want to make sure that
24
      the record -- what it is.
25
               THE COURT: He hasn't seen B, which is the
```

1		
1	Arts	letter.
2		MR. MADSON: That's the Arts letter.
3	Q	(Captain Mackintire by Mr. Madson:) Do you
4		know Mr. Arts, sir?
5	A	No.
6	Q	Alaska Maritime is the same agent for Texaco
7		as it is for Exxon, as far as you know?
8	A	That's correct, yes.
9	Q	So when you arrived in the wee hours prior to
10		entering Prince William Sound, you would call the
11		Coast Guard, and they would essentially ask you
12		some questions, right? Where are you, what's
13		your ETA at Cape Hinchinbrook, things like that?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And then would you would they ask you if
16		you had pilotage on board?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	And you would respond no.
19	A	Right.
20	Q	You said then that you would enter
21		Hinchinbrook and from there to abeam of Montague
22	,	Island, you would report your position every 10
23	1	minutes?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Did you ever enter when visibility was less
	1	

H & M COURT REPORTING \bullet 510 L Street \bullet Suite 350 \bullet Anchorage, Alaska 99501 \bullet (907) 274-5661

1		than two miles?
2	A	I no, I don't believe so.
3	Q	Do you know whether or not you could have
4		entered?
5	A	I have been informed up in the other areas,
6		but not at Hinchinbrook, no.
7	Q	When you say "other areas," what areas are you
8		speaking of?
9	A	Well, when we approached up to Bligh Reef, in
10		this area here.
11	Q	You say "this area here," you're on virtually
12		a course that's pretty near straight north
13	A	That's correct.
14	Q	in the middle of the Sound somewhere?
15	A	Yeah.
16	Q	And you say you got in a fog in those areas?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	And visibility would be less than two miles?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	Do you know if there was any I guess you
21		said you didn't know that there was no
22		restrictions on non-pilotage vessels when
23		visibility was under two miles.
24	A	We were not told that, no.
25	Q	The Coast Guard never told you that?

1	A	No. No.
2	Q	Did they ever ask you what the visibility was?
3	A	They had a request of the weather reports at
4		various times, and would ask us to give it to
5		them, and if we had unusual situations such as
6		ice, we spotted ice, we would report it to the
7		VTS, yes. Unusual weather conditions.
8	Q	Would you say that the ice reporting was
9		probably the best way of notifying other vessels
10		about ice conditions because you actually saw it
11		and would go through it?
12	A	Yes, that was I believe that was the usual
13		way for them to be VTS to know, VTC would know
14		that we were in ice.
15	Q	And then in other words, radar may not be
16		the best the Coast Guard radar may not be the
17		best way to determine.
18	A	Yes. That's correct, I will go along with
19	j	that, yeah.
20	Q	But sir, you did on occasion, at least one
21		occasion, then, be on the vessel proceeding
22		toward Bligh Reef, in fog, with visibility less
23		than two miles at a time when no one on board the
24		vessel had federal pilotage.
25	A	That's correct.

	-	
1	Q	And it takes a number of trips to get the
2		pilotage endorsement, doesn't it?
3	A	About 12 trips, 10 or 12.
4	Q	12? Have you applied for that since?
5	A	I haven't accumulated that much, we stopped
6		going there as of last April. I started
7		collecting it, though.
8	Q	I take it you plan on getting it.
9	Α	If the opportunity presents, yes.
10	Q	Do you know have you got pilotage
11		endorsements for any other areas of the country?
12	A	No, I don't.
13	Q	Do you know what's required to get to take
14		this test, sir? Pilotage test?
15	A	You have to draw a chart and answer questions
16		relative to the area that you're going through,
17		and rules of the road examination, and a few
18		other general questions they would probably ask
19		you.
20	Q	They'd want to know whether you knew the area
21		or not, is that basically right?
22	A	That's correct.
23	Q	Do you feel you know the Prince William Sound
24		area fairly well at this point?
25	A	I feel comfortable with transitting it, yes.

1	Q	It's not a real difficult area to transit, is
2		it?
3	A	No.
4	Q	There's sufficient navigation aids, you have
5		some wide open spaces
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	and you have deep water.
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Now, you also said that oh, let me ask you
10		this. When you were proceeding from Montague,
11		all the way to let's say the pilot station, where
12		you pick up the pilot, would you report your
13		position every 10 minutes?
14	A	Through just through Cape Hinchinbrook to
15		•••
16	Q	Yeah.
17	A	Montague, yes.
18	Q	Did you ever forget to do that and have the
19		Coast Guard call in and say you didn't report?
20	A	No.
21	Q	And you believe that to be a requirement, is
22		that right?
23	A	They told us when we called in, they told
24		us we would have to make the report. They
25		they would on the radio, they would tell us to
	I	

1		start making the reports every 10 minutes.
2		
3	Q	And of course, you didn't rely at all on the
		letter there, Exhibit B, I think you've already
4		said you never saw that.
5		MR. COLE: Objection, asked and answered.
6	A	No, I hadn't seen it.
7	Q	You were relying on only what the Coast Guard
8		told you, is that fair to say?
9	A	About the position? Yes.
10	Q	Uh-huh (affirmative). And you believed, you
11		said that you believed that the Coast Guard was
12		monitoring you on radar at least in the area of
13		Bligh Reef, is that correct to say?
14	A	Yes, I understand their radar would reach down
15		into the Valdez Arm, at least to Bligh Reef, or
16		close to it.
17	Q	Now, well, I think you said you didn't rely on
18		them as a navigational aid or tool. If in fact
19		something had gone wrong with your vessel, either
20		a steering problem or the weather or something,
21		and you veered suddenly and started a course
22		heading toward a danger, do you believe the Coast
23		Guard, if they have you on radar, would notify
24		you at least?
25	(3740)

7670

1	A	You have to be see what the circumstances
2		were, but I don't believe they could tell us soon
3		enough, if they were plotting us, to be able to
4		determine that. It'd take 'em at least three
5		minutes for plotting, and maybe longer for them
6		to really decide something had
7	Q	Well
8	A	gone wrong before they could notify us, I
9		wouldn't rely on them to tell us they think that
10		something was going wrong.
11	Q	What would you rely on them for? What was
12		their purpose there, as far as you recall?
13	Α	The Coast Guard required, okay, we're they
14		would plot the vessels in and out, primarily to
15		pass the information back and forth to the
16		different vessels, that you would meet be
17		meeting a ship, or something like that. But
18		primarily the service of the VTS is an
19		informational service. We still
20	Q	What about the separation
21	Α	We still have to navigate the vessel, and take
22		be responsible for it.
23	Q	I don't think there's any argument about that,
24		but would you agree, sir, the purpose of the
25		radar and the VTS system, that is, the separation
		}

1		zones and the course required to make, and all
2		these sort of things, is to really prevent
3		collisions and groundings?
4	A	That's correct.
5	Q	And the Coast Guard function there let me
6		ask you this, if you got out of if it ever
7		happened that you got out of your assigned lane,
8	!	let's say, would your Coast Guard ever get on the
9		radio and call you and say, "Hey, you're not"
10	A	No.
11	Q	" not in the place you're supposed to be"?
12	Α	No.
13	Q	Did that ever happen, that is, you ever got
14		straight out of the lanes?
15	Α	Not out of the lanes, we've gone into the
16		separation zone, and they never said anything.
17	Q	Well, certainly if you were out of the lanes,
18		you'd be in an area where there'd be far more
19	18	danger
20	A	I I will disqualify that, I have we've
21		gone out of the lanes notifying that we're doing
22	i	it, but they didn't come back and tell us that we
23		were outside, no.
24	Q	But from your testimony, you never
25		accidentally strayed out of the lanes at all,

1		right?
2	A	No.
3	Q	But would you agree, sir, that if you were out
4		of the lanes, and in you know, beyond the area
5		where they say you're supposed to be, that's the
6		area where you'd be in more danger as opposed to
7		being right in the north-southbound lanes,
8		right?
9	A	That's correct, yes. Yes.
10	Q	Now, you said that in Prince William Sound,
11		you stayed on the bridge, because oftentimes your
12		deck officers were tired, because they had been
13	,	up all night or something like that?
14	A	That's correct.
15	Q	So you were generally letting them rest, is
16		that fair to say?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Now, you had a you kept a progress chart,
19		did you not, of your transit in and out of Prince
20		William Sound on the 22nd, 23rd?
21	A	Yes. 23rd.
22	Q	23rd. I may have to find that, Your Honor, I
23		don't know exactly where it is. Your Honor, it
24		may very well be that we're not going to get done
25		in five minutes. I wonder if maybe this would be

1		a time to break, I'm going to have to find the
2		chart.
3		THE COURT: Counsel approach.
4		(Whispered bench conference)
5		(Side conversation)
6	Q	Captain Mackintire, let me hand you what's
7		been marked as Exhibit AE, and ask you if you can
8		recognize that copy, sir.
9	A	Yes, that's a copy of my chart for when we
10		departed Valdez on March 23.
11	Q	Now, there seems to be a dark line that's
12		marked on there. Is that your chart or that's
13		the vessel course rudder?
14	A	That's yes, that was the track we made
15		during that departure.
16	Q	And there are some numbers there, is there
17		not, one, two, three, four, five, six, seven,
18		eight?
19	Α	Yes.
20	Q	Would you tell us please what those numbers
21		mean?
22	A	Well, somebody has apparently marked the
23		various locations for clarification for for
24		that, and they were positions that we took for
25		the navigational aids and put on the chart, we
		l de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de

1		labeled it one, two, three, four, five, six,
2	1:	seven, and eight.
3	Q	And you've testified a minute or two ago that
4		that was the course you took?
5	Α	That's correct.
6	Q	Now, where does that does that indicate
7		where the pilot was dropped off?
8	A	Fairly closely, yes. The pilot got off at
9		1100, and we have a position at 1101.
10	Q	Well, you say 11 the pilot is off, that'd
11		mean off the bridge or off the vessel?
12	A	Off the vessel.
13	Q	So he would actually leave the bridge sooner
14		than 11:00.
15	A	About a minute or so before, yes.
16	Q	Okay, then where, according to the chart, do
17		you believe the pilot was actually dropped off?
18	Α	It'd be right about right here.
19	Q	That's before that's north of Bligh Reef,
20		isn't it?
21	A	That's right.
22	Q	Approximately how far? I think you pointed to
23		here
24	Α	Let's see from the buoy itself?
25	Q	Yeah.

```
1
      Α
               About a mile, mile and a half. Mile and a
2
            half.
 3
      (Tape: C-3680)
 4
               Well, then, sir, after the pilot was relieved
5
            to be dropped off, you continued on without
6
            pilotage endorsement to go around Bligh Reef, is
7
            that correct?
8
               Yes, that's correct.
9
               Now, you also testified that you had a company
      0
10
            policy, I think a Texaco policy, correct? A
11
            bridge manual?
12
      Α
               Yes.
13
      Q
               It was supplied to all masters such as
14
            yourself that worked for Texaco?
15
      Α
               That's correct.
16
               Was it ever given to Exxon?
17
      Ά
               No. Not that I know of.
18
      Q
               And have you ever seen the Exxon bridge
19
            manual, sir?
20
      Α
               No.
21
      Q
               Do you know if it's the same or similar to the
22
            Texaco one?
23
               I've been informed that they used it as a
24
            guide when they established ours, when they
25
            revised it.
```

1		
1	Q	What I'm sorry, did I
2	A	That's only information hearsay.
3	Q	Was yours a guide, or was it something you had
4		to follow, like a rule, a rule book?
5	A	It's a guide, it's minimum standards that we
6		were supposed to abide by, and if of course if
7		anything happened, we would have to justify not
8		using those particular guidelines.
9	Q	When you say "something happened," what do you
10		mean, exactly?
11	A	Well, accident, incident, grounding, or
12	Q	Is it fair to say the company
13	A	Collision, something like that.
14	Q	I'm sorry if I'm cutting you off, I don't mean
15		to do that. But in event of an accident, is it
16		fair to say that maybe the company's looking out
17		for themselves by having this policy to protect
18		their interests a little bit?
19	A	Well, I hope it's a guide to us, so that we
20		will have standards and information, what they
21		expect of us, that's what I look at it as.
22	Q	At the same time, you have the discretion to
23		determine what's watch condition A, or what watch
24		condition you're under.
25	A	That's correct, yes.

		I
1	Q	In fact, you have discretion at all times with
2		regard to
3	A	Yes, I could actually, yes, not use their
4		standards at all, and use my own.
5	Q	And you don't know of any particular Coast
6		Guard requirements or rules or regulations, for
7		instance, governing the use of autopilot in
8		Valdez Arm, or Prince William Sound, correct?
9	A	Not specifically of that nature.
10	(0096	5)
11	Q	And there's no Coast Guard restriction to your
12		knowledge, is there, about when a master can
13		leave the bridge, or must stay on the bridge, in
14		Prince William Sound?
15	A	I don't know as far as the master is
16		concerned?
17	Q	Uh-huh (affirmative). Yeah.
18	Α	No, it's not the restriction's not to the
19		master, must have two officers on the bridge.
20	Q	For what?
21	A	Must have two officers on the bridge.
22	Q	Now, as a non-pilotage vessel, right?
23	Α	That's correct.
24	Q	Now, do you know what the rules are with
25		regard to a pilotage vessel, sir?

1	A	Not specifically, no.
2	Q	In other words, you don't know whether or not,
3		if it's a pilotage vessel, somebody on board has
4		pilotage, whether the two people are required to
5		be on watch, on the bridge, all the time, two
6		officers.
7	A	Yes. I would think they'd still have to have
8		two officers on the bridge.
9	Q	You would think that but you don't know.
10	A	Well, that's the way the letter's written, it
11		doesn't say whether it's pilotage or non-
12		pilotage, it says in Prince William Sound, I
13		believe, you have to have two officers on the
14		bridge.
15	Q	What letter is that?
16	A	Well, the original Coast Guard letter.
17	Q	But you don't have that with you here, right?
18	A	No.
19	Q	I take it, then, sir, that if a master was
20		informed otherwise, that he didn't have to say
21		he had pilotage, and he didn't have to have two
22		watch officers on the deck, you could go by that
23		information as opposed to the information you
24		received. Do you understand that question?
25	A	Yes, I think that's reasonable.

		!
1	Q	You also indicated that it was important to
2		get acquainted with your crew. Does Texaco
3		are they a union company, sir?
4		MR. COLE: Objection, relevance.
5	Q	Do they come and go, are they stationed on
6		that ship at all times, or the same crew, or
7		different people, or I want to I think I
8		can go into that.
9		THE COURT: Okay, I'm willing to permit you to
10	go ir	nto his knowledge of the crew.
11	Q	Is it a union
12	A	The officers are Texaco employees, the
13		unlicensed are crew are National Maritime
14		Union members, which Texaco hires, or they're
15		supplied from a union hall.
16	Q	So you could get a variety of people at any
17		given time, right?
18	A	As far as the unlicensed is concerned, yes.
19	Q	Unlicensed, you mean ordinary seamen, Able
20		Seamen?
21	Α	That's correct.
22	Q	They're not assigned to the ship as such, they
23		don't work for Texaco all the time?
24	A	They become permanent members of the ship in
25		that they when they take the job, they come

1		
1		back to it after leaving on vacation, so they do
2		become permanent, but they aren't employees of
3		Texaco.
4	Q	They can go and work for some other ship if
5		they want.
6	A	Yes, they can, right.
7	Q	And you agree that it's important to know, you
8		know, the kind of crew you have, right?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Fair to say that you can tell right away some
11		people are some of your officers are just
12		excellent officers, they're just good?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	It doesn't take years and years for you to
15		know that, right?
16	A	That's correct.
17	Q	Other people, is it fair to say that no matter
18		how long it takes, you're not gonna really rely
19		on them 100 percent?
20	A	Well, yes, you have to understand the
21		limitations of the people involved, yes.
22	Q	Sure. Now, for instance, a helmsman, some you
23		would think are better at steering than others,
24		okay?
25	A	That's correct.

1	Q	Now, when we say "steering," what does that
2		mean to you, sir, if they would to steer a
3		vessel?
4	A	I'm not sure I understand
5	Q	Well, I'll withdraw that then. Would you say
6		there's a difference between steering a vessel to
7		a course, say changing course to come about to
8		another course, as opposed to just carrying out a
9	•	rudder maneuver?
10	A	Yes, that's two different that'd be two
11		different situations.
12	Q	In other words, if a person were on a course
13		of your ship was on a course of 180, or due
14		south, and you said, "Come to " told the
15	li	helmsman, "Come to course of 245," he'd have to
16		change, actually turn, and then kind of turn back
17		again, and, you know, keep the ship from going
18		too far, that sort of thing?
19	A	He would have to apply rudder to make the ship
20		turn, and when he reached his course, the new
21		course, he would have to apply opposite rudder,
22		and stop the ship from swinging, line it up, and
23		maintain the new course, yes.
24	Q	What about in a rudder order like "10 degrees
25		right rudder," is that a simple command?

1	A	Yes, it is.
2	Q	Do you feel that any helmsman, any A.B. could
3		be able to carry that out?
4	A	If given that order, yes.
5	Q	With regard to the ice, your testimony about
6		the ice, sir, when you came out of you were on
7		the outbound leg now, loaded, leaving Prince
8		leaving Valdez, and I think you said you deviated
9		outside the VTS to go around the ice?
10	A	Yes, that's correct.
11	Q	Uh-huh (affirmative). That was in daylight,
12		wasn't it?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	And in daylight, you still thought it was
15		better to go around the ice rather than going
16		through the ice.
17	A	At that time, yes.
18	Q	What do you base your recollection on, sir,
19		that you were going eight to 10 knots at that
20		time?
21	A	Well, we were running at full ahead, we were
22		actually running at reduced RPM's, but full ahead
23		was listed on our bell book, and we had slowed
24		down for the letting the pilot off.
25	Q	Now, does Exhibit AE there indicate in any way

1		how fast you were traveling, what your rate was
2		at that time?
3	A	No, but you can check the times between the
4		two positions, but the I think it shows about
5		eight knots.
6	Q	Have you done that just now?
7	A	No, not just now.
8	Q	Would you do it, take a look at it again, just
9		double-check, sir?
10	A	Well, there's no way of doing it without
11	Q	Do you need the course recorder?
12	A	dividers, dividers and
13	Q	Oh.
14	A	getting the distances and we don't have
15		the speeds down. Previously, it was about eight
16		knots.
17	Q	Uh-huh (affirmative). If someone were to
18		testify that they had checked this and it was
19		actually around 13 knots, would you say that you
20		may be mistaken?
21	A	I would have to reevaluate it, and come to a
22		decision on that.
23	Q	Whether it was eight to 10 knots, or 13 knots,
24		or 12 knots, would you say that was very critical
25		at that time?

1	A In this situation?
2	Q Yeah, in that situation.
3	A I'm not as concerned, the speed had nothing to
4	do with the safety here, no. And this
5	Q In other words, even if you're traveling I
6	didn't mean to cut you off. If you're traveling
7	at 12 knots, that wouldn't have affected the
8	safety of the maneuver you were doing, would it?
9	A No, not in the case that case.
10	(0356)
11	Q And whether you decided to go through the ice
12	at a slower speed or around the ice at a slightly
13	faster maneuvering speed, that was in your
14	discretion, was it not?
15	A That's correct.
16	MR. MADSON: That's all the questions I have,
17	Your Honor, I would ask that Exhibit AE be offered in
18	evidence, it's been identified now by the Captain.
19	THE COURT: Any objection?
20	MR. COLE: No objection.
21	THE COURT: Admitted.
22	MR. COLE: Just a couple questions, Judge.
23	THE COURT: A couple, all right.
24	REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF CAPTAIN MACKINTIRE
25	BY MR. COLE:

	1	
1	Q	Captain Mackintire, why did you let the pilot
2		off where you did on March 23, 1989?
3	A	Why did I do it?
4	Q	Yeah, in that particular
5	A	Well, the pilot requested that he get off
6		early, because the pilot boat was having a
7		problem keeping up, and they were concerned about
8	1	the ice for the pilot boat, so it was it was a
9		good clear shot, and had the Bligh Reef buoy on
10		the port side, and it looked like we could pass
11		through without any problem at all, so I let him
12		go.
13	Q	Were you lined up past Bligh Reef before the
14		pilot got off?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	And the transits that you made in and out of
17		Prince William Sound, were they in daylight
18		hours, or at night time?
19	A	They would be varied, you mean all of the
20		times I've gone through the both.
21	Q	I have nothing further.
22		RECROSS EXAMINATION OF CAPTAIN MACKINTIRE
23	BY MI	R. MADSON:
24	Q	Captain Mackintire, if the pilot wanted to be
25		dropped off north of Bligh Reef, and in fact was,

1		as you indicated in this condition, in this
2		situation, because the pilot boat couldn't keep
3		up and he was afraid of the ice? Or had some
4		concern about the ice?
5	A	Concern about it, yes.
6	Q	And you felt that was all right.
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	I take it you could have insisted he stay on
9		longer
10	A	Yes, I could have.
11	Q	if you wanted him to. You felt
12	<u> </u> 	comfortable with letting him off even though you
13		didn't have pilotage.
14	A	That's correct.
15	Q	And technically this might be in violation of
16		the Coast Guard's policy at that time. If they
17		required the pilot to stay on right down to Bligh
18		Reef.
19	A	I don't think Bligh Reef was it isn't a cut
20		and dried line that you have to reach in order to
21		change, it's the general vicinity, where you can
22		maneuver the ship to
23	Q	Sir, you had some discretion as to when the
24		pilot should get on or off, and the pilot had
25		some, right?

H & M COURT REPORTING • 510 L Street • Suite 350 • Anchorage, Alaska 99501 • (907) 274-5661

```
1
      Α
               That's correct.
2
               Weather conditions could change it, could they
      0
3
            not?
4
               That's correct, yes.
      Α
5
               Ice conditions.
      0
6
               That's correct.
      Α
7
               A number of factors.
      0
8
               Uh-huh (affirmative).
      Α
9
               You just kind of looked at an overall safety
      0
10
            evaluation, is that fair to say?
11
               That's correct.
      Α
12
               I don't have any other questions.
      0
13
               Thank you.
      Α
14
               THE COURT: Counsel approach the bench,
15
      please.
               You can step down too.
16
               (Whispered bench conference)
17
               THE COURT: Any further witnesses from the
18
      State?
19
               MR. COLE: No, Your Honor, the State rests.
20
                            Is there a rebuttal from the
               THE COURT:
21
      Defendant?
22
               MR. MADSON: None, Your Honor.
23
               THE COURT:
                            That completes the taking of
24
      evidence in this case. That does not complete the
25
      case, by any means. There will be some matters that
```

. .

we'll have to take up outside your presence, which will take a good part of tomorrow, and then the court is required to prepare, with the assistance of counsel, some jury instructions. This is going to take some time also. They'll be fairly voluminous, because of the nature of the case.

I imagine that'll take a good part of the day, so there'll be -- it'd do no good to bring you in here tomorrow, whatsoever, and it would do no good to bring you in on Monday, because you'd just be sitting in that jury room, and those of you who are playing cards and losing would lose some more, I think.

So we'll bring you in on Tuesday, at 8:30 a.m. That will be week eight, we've estimated this case to be six to eight weeks, so we're still within our estimate. In the meantime, it's particularly important that you not discuss this case with any other person, nor discuss it among yourselves, and it's particularly important not to form or express any opinions about this case. You'll have plenty of opportunity to do so in the jury deliberations, to express and form opinions then. And that's what jury deliberations are for.

And again I want to caution you about being exposed to media sources of information about this case. You have been given instructions to decide this

case based solely on the evidence presented in court, in accordance with the court's instructions. Media sources of information are not evidence. Avoid them at —— do your best to avoid them, have people screen newspapers for you, it's particularly important now, because we're closing in on your —— the important function, which would be your function, to decide the facts of this case, and I don't want you to be tainted in any way. Don't let people talk to you about the case, don't watch the news, listen to the radio about the case.

I would expect a full day on Tuesday, not a half day, and I don't know how long arguments will take. If they take more than one day, then I expect the next day to be listening to arguments too. And that's basically what'll happen, you'll listen to final arguments by the parties, then I'll instruct you on the law, and you'll commence your deliberations.

The deliberations will be at the sound discretion of the jury, I'll let you deliberate full days if it's necessary to deliberate for more than a day, or in the evenings, that's up to you too. But once you start deliberating, you'll be in the charge of a bailiff, and you won't be free to go and come as you please. But we will not be housing you, you'll be free

1 to go home at night. That's just giving you some 2 preliminary information about the case. 3 meantime, be back here Tuesday morning at 8:30. 4 need all 14 of you back Tuesday morning at 8:30, so be 5 safe, and I'll see you then. 6 (Jury not present.) 7 (0637)8 THE COURT: I will need tomorrow morning, and 9 consider that an order, because my suggestions don't 10 seem to be carried out very well, I need some authority 11 for your instructions, and I'll need it by tomorrow 12 morning, or I'll assume you have no authority for those 13 instructions. I've never seen some of them before, so 14 I need some assistance. 15 MR. MADSON: Do you need that in writing, Your 16 Honor, or can we just come in and ... 17 THE COURT: Yes, sir, I want you to give me a 18 citation just like you're supposed to at the bottom ... 19 MR. MADSON: Okay. 20 THE COURT: ... of the proposed instruction. 21 MR. MADSON: That's fine. 22 THE COURT: Did we have some application to 23 make tomorrow morning? 24 MR. MADSON: Yes, there would be some other 25 things we need. We have a few evidentiary matters to

H & M COURT REPORTING • 510 L Street • Suite 350 • Anchorage, Alaska 99501 • (907) 274-5661

clear up, and there's still that motion, I think, that I filed, that hasn't been addressed yet with regard to striking the testimony of Lieutenant Commander Falkenstein.

THE COURT: Okay, we can argue that motion tomorrow.

MR. MADSON: Yeah, that'd be fine.

THE COURT: I would like you to focus on a couple of things, and one is whether or not these lesser includeds you're asking for are truly lesser includeds, I need some authority to establish whether reckless driving and negligent driving is a lesser included offense of driving while under the influence, or is it a lesser included of one of the other charges in information, or the -- I don't know, so maybe you can do that in the form of a memorandum with points and authorities.

Also the question of whether or not factual impossibility bars the jury considering what Captain Hazelwood would do in efforts to remove the vessel from the -- evidence on him trying to remove the vessel from the reef, and what might have happened had he been successful, bars that from the jury's consideration of the crime of criminal mischief in the second degree, and reckless endangerment.

MR. MADSON: I thought we filed something on that already.

THE COURT: Well, I need more assistance from the State on that, to be frank, I haven't got anything from the State on that one yet. And then the final area of concern I'll need some assistance on is whether or not the vessel in the condition it was on Bligh Reef after Captain Hazelwood shut the engines down for the final time, was a vessel that was used or capable of being used for transportation or navigation.

So you can figure out who should be doing this research, Mr. Cole, it's my inclination to give the jury instructions to prevent the jury from considering as evidence of recklessly creating a risk of damage, Captain Hazelwood's efforts at trying to remove the vessel from the reef, or what may have happened to the vessel, based on factual impossibility and based on those two near cases. I've found no other case law that suggests something to the contrary.

It's also my inclination to restrict consideration of the driving while under the influence, of a water craft, to events up to and including the moment he shut down the engine for the last time, but after that, after he shut the engine down for the last time, it's my inclination not to consider any action by

Captain Hazelwood used by the jury in determining the driving while under the influence.

Also, the same for the reckless endangerment, the factual impossibility barring that from -- barring the jury from considering what Captain Hazelwood did in trying to extract the vessel from the reef, or what may have happened.

Is there anything we can do right now, before we recess until tomorrow?

MR. MADSON: Just as a starting point, Your Honor, I think I can offer some assistance to the court on the lesser includeds for what it's -- it's State vs. Cameau (ph), and I'm trying to find the citation, I have it here ...

THE COURT: I don't mean to put you at some burden that's difficult for you, but I need a brief with points and authorities.

MR. MADSON: Your Honor ...

THE COURT: I understand you're living in Fairbanks, but you got two able counsel with you, I don't know how you're going to do it, but I need a brief with points and authorities on it, I -- not some citations or some Xerox copies, I need some argument on this before ...

MR. MADSON: That's fine, Your Honor, but the

```
1
     able counsel are not able to type, and we've lost our
2
      support staff due to an emergency, and she's not coming
3
     back till late tonight, so we'll do the best we can,
 4
     we'll try to find someone.
 5
               THE COURT:
                           Okay, well, that's something
6
     that'll be probably more meaningful on Monday morning
7
     to look at than it will be tomorrow.
8
               MR. MADSON: Okay, that's fine.
                                                 If we have
9
     till Monday, that's plenty of time, Your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: Monday morning.
                                             Is there anything
11
     else we can do?
12
               MR. MADSON:
                            No.
13
               THE COURT: Okay, we'll stand in recess.
14
      (0836)
15
               (Off record - 1:50 p.m.)
16
                          ***CONTINUED***
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

H & M COURT REPORTING • 510 L Street • Suite 350 • Anchorage, Alaska 99501 • (907) 274-5661