Source Water Assessment

A Hydrogeologic Susceptibility and
Vulnerability Assessment for
Victoria Estates Drinking Water System,
Wasilla, Alaska
PWSID # 224167

DRINKING WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM REPORT #771

Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

January, 2003

Source Water Assessment for Victoria Estates Drinking Water System Wasilla, Alaska PWSID# 224167

By Suzan J. Hill

DRINKING WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM REPORT 771

The Drinking Water Protection Program is producing Source Water Assessments in compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1996. Each assessment includes a delineation of the source water area, an inventory of potential and existing contaminant sources that may impact the water, a risk ranking for each of these contaminants, and an evaluation of the potential vulnerability of these drinking water sources.

These assessments are intended to provide public water systems owners/operators, communities, and local governments with the best available information that may be used to protect the quality of their drinking water. The assessments combine information obtained from various sources, including the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC), public water system owners/operators, and other public information sources. The results of this assessment are subject to change if additional data becomes available. If you have any additional information that may affect the results of this assessment, please contact the Program Coordinator of DWPP, (907) 269-7521.

CONTENTS

TABLES

APPENDICES

Source Water Assessment for Victoria Estates Source of Public Drinking Water, Wasilla, Alaska

By Suzan J. Hill

Drinking Water Protection Program
Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The public water system for Victoria Estates is a Class A (community) water system consisting of three wells in the Wasilla, Alaska area. Identified potential and current sources of contaminants for Victoria Estates public drinking water source include large capacity septic systems, residential septic systems, a motor vehicle waste disposal well, roads, and approximately 256 acres of residential area. These identified potential and existing sources of contamination are considered sources of bacteria and viruses, nitrates and/or nitrites, volatile organic chemicals, heavy metals, synthetic organic chemicals and other organic chemicals. Overall, the public water source for Victoria Estates received a vulnerability rating of **High** for nitrates and nitrites, and heavy metals; **Medium** for bacteria and viruses; and **Low** for volatile organic chemicals synthetic organic chemicals, and other organic chemicals.

INTRODUCTION

The Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC) is completing source water assessments for all public drinking water sources in the State of Alaska. The purpose of this assessment is to provide public water system owners and/or operators, communities, and local governments with information they can use to preserve the quality of Alaska's public drinking water supplies. The results of this source water assessment can be used to decide where voluntary protection efforts are needed and feasible, and also what efforts will be most effective in reducing contaminant risks to your water system.

This source water assessment combines a review of the natural conditions at the site and the potential and existing contaminant risks. These are combined to determine the overall vulnerability of the drinking water source to contamination.

DESCRIPTION OF THE WASILLA AREA, ALASKA

Location

Wasilla is located near the center of the Matanuska-Susitna (Mat-Su) Borough in south central Alaska. The Mat-Su Borough encompasses approximately 23,000 square miles, including the majority of the drainage of the Susitna and Matanuska Rivers. Wasilla is located south of the Talkeetna Mountains, about 12 miles north of Knik Arm on Cook Inlet (Wickersham Alaska Corporation, 1986), (Matanuska-Susitna Borough/Fran Seager, 1991). Wasilla is 30 air miles north/northeast of Anchorage, adjacent to the Alaska Railroad main line and the George Parks Highway (ADNR, 1981).

Climate

The climate in Wasilla is transitional between the extremes of Interior Alaska and the wet conditions found along the coastal areas.

Wasilla is less than 15 miles from Knik Arm and about 75 miles from Prince William Sound. Summer temperatures are more moderate than those in the Interior due to the proximity to the coast. The Chugach and Talkeetna Mountains and the Alaska Range also protect Wasilla from the frigid cold of the Interior Alaska winter and act to break up strong storm fronts (Brabets, 1997), (Western Regional Climate Center, 2000).

Wasilla averages about 18 inches of precipitation per year, including about 59 inches of snowfall. Winter thaws can decrease snow cover to a few inches. Mean monthly high temperatures in Wasilla range from about 22 degrees in December and January to 69 degrees in July. The frost-free period in spring and summer averages 115 days, with the first frost usually arriving by September 1.

The record low for Wasilla was -50 degrees in January 1947. The highest recorded temperature was 90 degrees in 1969 (*Wickersham Alaska Corporation, 1986*).

Topography and Drainage

The Wasilla area topography varies from about 300 feet to 500 feet above sea level. The surrounding terrain gradually rises from south to north. The Wasilla area has hundreds of small lakes, several large lakes, and two substantial streams. At 387 acres, ***Wasilla Lake*** is one of the largest lakes in Southcentral Alaska (*Renshaw Consulting Engineers, 1983*).

The Cottonwood Creek drainage system, of which Wasilla Lake is part, begins northeast of Wasilla and discharges into Knik Arm about 15 miles to the south.

Cottonwood Creek is a popular salmon fishing stream (outside city limits), and has an average rate of flow of about 16 cubic feet per second near the outfall from Wasilla Lake.

At 362 acres, ***Lake Lucille*** is slightly smaller than Wasilla Lake. However, although within close proximity, they are part of two separate drainages and have significantly different characteristics. Lake Lucille is shallow with an average depth of five and a half feet. Its primary water source is springs in the lake bed. No significant creek leads into it and Lucille Creek is a low flow stream that drains it into Big Lake. Water circulation and flushing action through the lake is slow.

Although the quality can vary significantly in a short distance, groundwater supplies are abundant in the area. The Wasilla area has a central water system, and several subdivisions have private water systems. Many homes and businesses in the area, however, rely on individual wells for their water supply. Most of these wells are shallow with depths of less than 100 feet. Static water levels in many of these wells is around 30 feet below the surface. The coarse gravel underlying the Wasilla area provides a large aquifer even in the winter when infiltration is low (*Trainer, 1953*).

Geology and Soils

A lake covered the Susitna River valley lowland during glacial times. The deposition of glacial silts and clays played an important part in the make up of the soils of the area.

Most of the soils in the area provide good sources of sand, gravel and topsoil. The deposition of silt, clay and organic muck in old lakes and depressions means that some areas have soil conditions that vary over relatively short distances. The U.S. Soil Conservation Service has mapped seven soil associations in and around Wasilla.

The Homestead and Knik soil types predominate the Wasilla area, with smaller areas of Coal Creek, Jacobsen, Salamatof, and Slikok soil types.

The ***Homestead*** series is common in the Wasilla area especially north of the Parks Highway from the west end of Lake Lucille. Homestead soils are shallow, well-drained silty soils over loose sand and gravel. They have formed on broad out wash plains and gravel moraines and run from nearly flat terrain to steep areas.

Homestead series is prevalent along Church Road north of the Parks Highway and throughout the Mission Hills subdivision.

The ***Knik*** series is the other major soil type in the area. It includes most of the downtown area, north and south of Lake Lucille and Wasilla Lake.

Knik soils are shallow, well-drained and silty, overlaying coarse, gravelly material, although scattered areas of poorly drained soils are also included. The soils are extensive over a broad range of slopes from flat to steep escarpments.

The ***Coal Creek*** series consists of dark-colored, poorly drained soils that formed in moderately deep silty material over compacted, fine-textured sediments. These soils occur in nearly level to gently sloping stream valleys, on the border of muskegs, and in small depressions. They are sometimes characterized by hillside seeps. This soil unit is found in small areas north and west of the downtown area.

The ***Jacobsen*** series is a very poorly drained, very stony silt loam found in broad depressions. The type is found west of Lake Lucille, south of the railroad, about even with Church Road.

The ***Salamatof*** and ***Slikok*** series are found within low areas and consist of poorly drained, peat, muck, and silty sediments in shallow depressions throughout the eastern side of the city. High water tables, often at or just below the surface, are characteristics of these soils. The banks of Cottonwood Creek south of Wasilla Lake have the greatest concentrations of these soils.

Finally, the ***Wasilla*** series consists of somewhat poorly drained soils with layers of sand and compacted finer material. They do not have the high organic content of the Slikok series. These soils are not extensive in the local area and are most commonly found southeast of

Lake Lucille along the Knik-Goose Bay Road (*Wickersham Alaska Corporation, 1986*).

VICTORIA ESTATES PUBLIC DRINKING WATER SYSTEM

Victoria Estates is a Class A (community) water system. The system consists of three wells and is located at Kinsington Drive off of Knik Goosebay Road (See Map 1 of Appendix A). This area is at an elevation of less than 330 feet above sea level.

According to the Well Log, well #1 has top soil from 0 to 2 feet, silty sand and gravel from 2 to 146 feet; sand from 146 to 158 feet; and dark gray silt from 158 feet to a total well depth of 162 feet . The well was drilled on 4/20/84 and had a static water level of 60 feet. Well #2 has top soil from 0 to 2 feet; silty sand and gravel from 2 to 131 feet; sand from 131 to 157 feet; dark gray silt from 157 to 196 feet; gravelly clay from 196 to 215 feet; and silty gravel and sand from 215 to a total well depth of 262 feet. The well was drilled on 4/3/84 and had a static water level of 70 feet. Well #3 has top soil from 0 to 3 feet; silty sand and gravel from 3 to 130 feet; course sand from 130 feet to 139 feet; and fine sand and water from 139 to a total well depth of 141 feet. The well was drilled on 9/7/84 and had a static water level of 57 feet. The Sanitary Survey (6/4/98) indicates the wells were installed with a cap providing a sanitary seal. A properly installed sanitary seal may provide protection against contaminants from entering the source waters at the well casing. The land surface is also appropriately sloped away from the wells providing adequate surface water drainage. The wells are grouted according to ADEC regulations. Proper grouting provides added protection against contaminants travelling along the well casing and into source waters.

This system operates year-round and serves 200 residents through 56 service connections.

VICTORIA ESTATES WELL DRINKING WATER PROTECTION AREA

In order to evaluate whether a drinking water source is at risk, we must first evaluate what are the most likely pathways for surface contamination to reach the groundwater. Some areas are more likely to allow contamination to reach the well than others. These areas are determined by looking at the characteristics of the soil, groundwater, aquifer, and well.

The most probable area for contamination to reach the drinking water well is the area that contributes water to the well, the groundwater recharge area. This area is designated as the Drinking Water Protection Area (DWPA). Because releases of contaminants within the DWPA are most likely to impact the drinking water well, this area will serve as the focus for voluntary protection efforts. (Please refer to the Guidance Manual for Class A Public Water Systems for additional information).

The DWPA's established for wells by the ADEC are separated into four zones. These zones correspond to differences in the time-of-travel (TOT) of the water moving through the aquifer to the well. An analytical calculation was used to determine the size and shape of the DWPA. The input parameters describing the attributes of the aquifer in this calculation were adopted from the U.S. Geological Survey (Patrick, Brabets, and Glass, 1989), and State of Alaska Department of Water Resources (Jokela et. al., 1991).

The time of travel for contaminants within the water varies and is dependent on the physical and chemical characteristics of each contaminant. The following is a summary of the four DWPA zones and the calculated time-of-travel for each:

Table 1. Definition of Zones

Zone	Definition
A	¼ the distance for the 2-yr. TOT
B	Less than the 2 year TOT
C	Less Than the 5 year TOT
D	Less than the 10 year TOT

As an example, water moving through the aquifer in Zone B will reach the well in less than 2 years from the time it crosses the outer limit of Zone B.

Zone A also incorporates the area down-gradient from the well to take into account the area of the aquifer that is influenced by pumping of the well. Water within the aquifer in Zone A will reach the well in several hours to several months.

The DWPA for the Victoria Estates contain four zones: Zone A, Zone B, Zone C, and Zone D (see Map 1 in Appendix A).

INVENTORY OF POTENTIAL AND EXISTING CONTAMINANT SOURCES

The Drinking Water Protection Program has completed an inventory of potential and existing sources of contamination within the Victoria Estates' DWPA. This inventory was completed through a search of agency records and other publicly available information. Potential sources of contamination to the drinking water aquifer include a wide range of categories and types. Potential drinking water contaminants are found within agricultural, residential, commercial, and industrial areas, but can also occur within areas that have little or no development.

For the basis of all Class A public water system assessments, six categories of drinking water contaminants were inventoried. They include:

- Bacteria and viruses;
- Nitrates and/or nitrites;
- Volatile organic chemicals
- Heavy metals, cyanide, and other inorganic chemicals,
- Synthetic organic chemicals, and
- Other organic chemicals.

The sources are displayed on Maps 2 and 3 of Appendix C and summarized in Table 1 of Appendix B.

RANKING OF CONTAMINANT RISKS

Once the potential and existing sources of contamination have been identified, they are assigned a ranking according to what type and level of risk they represent. Ranking of contaminant risks for a "potential" or "existing" source of contamination is a function of toxicity and volumes of specific contaminants associated with that source.

Tables 2 through 7 in Appendix B contain the ranking of potential and existing sources of contamination with respect to bacteria and viruses, nitrates and/or nitrites, volatile organic chemicals, heavy metals, synthetic organic chemicals, and other organic chemicals.

VULNERABILITY OF VICTORIA ESTATES DRINKING WATER SOURCE

Vulnerability of a drinking water source to contamination is a combination of two factors:

- Natural susceptibility; and
- Contaminant risks.

Each of the six categories of drinking water contaminants has been analyzed and an overall vulnerability score of 0 to 100 is ultimately assigned:

Natural Susceptibility (0 – 50 points)

\+

Contaminant Risks (0 – 50 points)

=

Vulnerability of the
Drinking Water Source to Contamination (0 – 100).

A score for the Natural Susceptibility is achieved by analyzing the properties of the well and the aquifer.

Susceptibility of the Wellhead (0 – 25 Points)

\+

Susceptibility of the Aquifer (0 – 25 Points)

=

Natural Susceptibility (Susceptibility of the Well)
(0 – 50 Points)

The wells for Victoria Estates is completed in an unconfined aquifer setting. Because an unconfined aquifer is recharged by surface water and precipitation that migrates downward from the surface, contaminants at the surface have the potential to adversely impact this aquifer. Table 2 shows the Susceptibility scores and ratings for Victoria Estates.

Table 2. Susceptibility

	Score	Rating
Susceptibility of the Wellhead	0	Low
Susceptibility of the Aquifer	16	High
Natural Susceptibility	16	Medium

Contaminant risks to a drinking water source depend on the type, number or density, and distribution of contaminant sources. This data has been derived from an examination of existing and historical contamination that has been detected at the drinking water source through routine sampling. It also evaluates potential sources of contamination. Table 3 summarizes the Contaminant Risks for each category of drinking water contaminants.

Table 3. Contaminant Risks

Category	Score	Rating
Bacteria and Viruses	25	Medium
Nitrates and/or Nitrites	43	Very High
Volatile Organic Chemicals	14	Low
Heavy Metals, Cyanide, and Other Inorganic Chemicals	50	Very High
Synthetic Organic Chemicals	12	Low
Other Organic Chemicals	12	Low

Appendix D contains fourteen charts, which together form the 'Vulnerability Analysis' for a source water assessment for a public drinking water source. Chart 1 analyzes the 'Susceptibility of the Wellhead' to contamination by looking at the construction of the well and its surrounding area. Chart 2 analyzes the 'Susceptibility of the Aquifer' to contamination by looking at the naturally occurring attributes of the water source and influences on the groundwater system that might lead to contamination. Chart 3 analyzes 'Contaminant Risks' for the drinking water source with respect to bacteria and viruses. The 'Contaminant Risks' portion of the analysis considers potential sources of contaminants as well as a review of contamination that has or may have occurred, but has not arrived or been detected at the well. Lastly, Chart 4 contains the 'Vulnerability Analysis for Bacteria and Viruses'. Charts 5 through 14 contain the Contaminant Risks and Vulnerability Analyses for nitrates and nitrites, volatile organic chemicals, heavy metals, synthetic organic chemicals, and other organic chemicals, respectively.

Table 4 contains the overall vulnerability scores (0 – 100) and ratings for each of the six categories of drinking water contaminants. Note: scores are rounded off to the nearest five.

Table 4. Overall Vulnerability

Category	Score	Rating
Bacteria and Viruses	40	Medium
Nitrates and Nitrites	60	High
Volatile Organic Chemicals	30	Low
Heavy Metals, Cyanide and Other Inorganic Chemicals	65	High
Synthetic Organic Chemicals	30	Low
Other Organic Chemicals	30	Low

Bacteria and Viruses

The contaminant risk for bacteria and viruses is medium with residential septic systems in Zone A presenting the most significant risk to the drinking water well (See Chart 3 – Contaminant Risks for Bacteria and Viruses in Appendix D).

Recent sampling of Victoria Estates shows no detection of Bacteria and Viruses. After combining the contaminant risk for bacteria and viruses with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is medium.

Nitrates and Nitrites

The contaminant risk for nitrates and nitrites is very high with large capacity and residential septic systems in Zones C and D posing the most significant contaminant risk to this source of public drinking water (See Chart 5 - Contaminant Risks for Nitrates and/or Nitrites in Appendix D). Nitrates are very mobile, moving at approximately the same rate as water.

Sampling history for Victoria Estates wells indicates that low concentrations of nitrate have been detected. At the latest sampling period, a low concentration of nitrate and/or nitrite was detected at 0.237 mg/L or 2% of the Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) of 10mg/L. The MCL is the maximum level of contaminant that is allowed to exist in drinking water and still be consumed by humans without harmful health effects.

It is unknown how much of the existing nitrate concentration can be attributed to natural or human-made sources. Nitrate concentrations in uncontaminated groundwater are typically less than 2 milligrams per liter (mg/L) and are derived primarily from the decomposition of organic matter in soils [Wang, Strelakos, Jokela, 2000].

After combining the contaminant risk for nitrates and nitrites with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is high.

Volatile Organic Chemicals

The contaminant risk for volatile organic chemicals is low with a vehicle waste disposal well in Zone D presenting the most significant risk to the drinking water well (See Chart 7 – Contaminant Risks for Volatile Organic Chemicals in Appendix D). Recent sampling history of Victoria Estates did not detect any chemicals in the Volatile Organic Chemicals category. After combining the contaminant risk for volatile organic chemicals with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is low.

Heavy Metals, Cyanide, and Other Inorganic Chemicals

The contaminant risk for heavy metals is very high with large capacity and residential septic systems presenting the most significant risk to the drinking water well (See Chart 9 – Contaminant Risks for Heavy Metals, Cyanide, and Other Inorganic Chemicals in Appendix D). Monitoring samples analyzing chemicals within the Heavy Metals, Cyanide and Other Inorganic Chemicals collected on 2/5/02 showed a concentration of arsenic – .036 mg/L or 360% of the MCL (0.10). Arsenic can be the result of natural deposit erosion, runoff from orchards or runoff from glass and electronics production wastes. The potential health effects from concentrations of arsenic include skin damage, problems with the circulatory system and possible increased risk of cancer.

After combining the contaminant risk for heavy metals, cyanide, and other inorganic chemicals with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is high.

Synthetic Organic Chemicals
The contaminant risk for synthetic organic chemicals is low with residential areas representing the most significant risk. After combining the contaminant risk with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability to synthetic organic chemicals of the well is low.

Other Organic Chemicals
The contaminant risk for other organic chemicals is low with a vehicle waste disposal well within the DWPA representing the most significant risk. After combining the contaminant risk with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability to other organic chemicals of the well is low.

Review of the historical sampling data indicates that no synthetic organic chemicals or other organic chemicals were detected in Victoria Estates' drinking water the last time it was sampled (See Charts 11 and 13 – Contaminant Risks for Synthetic Organic Chemicals and Other Organic Chemicals in Appendix D, respectively).

SUMMARY

A *Source Water Assessment* has been completed for the sources of public drinking water serving Victoria Estates. Overall, the public water source for Victoria Estates received a vulnerability rating of **High** for nitrates and nitrites, and heavy metals; **Medium** for bacteria and viruses; and **Low** for volatile organic chemicals synthetic organic chemicals, and other organic chemicals.

This assessment of contaminant risks can be used as a foundation for local voluntary protection efforts as well as a basis for the continuous efforts on the part of Victoria Estates to protect public health. It is anticipated that *Source Water Assessments* will be updated every five years to reflect any changes in the vulnerability and/or susceptibility of Victoria Estates public drinking water source.

REFERENCES

Alaska Department of Natural Resources, 1981, Scenic Resources along the Parks Highway, Anchorage, AK

Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities, 1990, Parks Highway Reconnaissance Report, Anchorage, AK.

Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities, 1992, Wasilla-Fishhook Road Environmental Assessment, Anchorage, AK.

Brabets, T., 1997, Precipitation map of Alaska, Web extension to the U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources for Alaska GIS datasets. <URL:http://agdc.usgs.gov/data/usgs/water> .

Dearborn, L.L., and Alleley, R.D., 1983, Water-well data for the Big Lake area, Anchorage C8-SW Quadrangle, Alaska; Division of Geological and Geophysical Surveys Report of Investigations 83-19, State of Alaska Department of Natural Resources, Fairbanks, AK.

Jakola, J.B., Munter, J.A., and Evans, J.G., 1991, Ground-water resources of the Palmer-Big Lake area, Alaska: a conceptual model. Division of Geological and Geophysical Surveys Report of Investigations 90-4, State of Alaska Department of Natural Resources, Fairbanks, AK.

LaSage, D.M., 1992, Ground-water resources of the Palmer area, Alaska, Division of geological and Geophysical Surveys Report of Investigations 92-3, State of Alaska Department of Natural Resources, Fairbanks, AK.

Matanuska-Susitna Borough/Fran Seager, 1991, Major Coal Towns of the Matanuska Valley: A Pictorial History, Palmer, AK.

Matanuska-Susitna Borough, 1985, Knik-Matanuska-Sisitna: A Visual History of the Valleys, Wasilla, AK.

Maynard, D.L., 1987, Water-well data from the Houston area, Matanuska-Susitna Borough, Alaska, Division of Geological and Geophysical Surveys Report of Investigations 87-17, 14p., State of Alaska Department of Natural Resources, Fairbanks, AK.

Patrick, L.D., Brabets, T.P., and Glass, R.L., 1989, Simulation of ground-water flow at Anchorage, Alaska: US Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 88-4139, 41p.

Renshaw Consulting Engineers, 1983, Summary of Mineral Resources, Palmer, AK.

Trainer, F.W., 1953, Preliminary report on the geology and groundwater resources of the Matanuska Valley agricultural area, Alaska, USGS Water Supply Paper 1494, U.S. Printing Office, Washington, D.C.

Western Regional Climate Center, 2000, August 24, Web extension to the *Western Regional Climate Center* [WWW document]. URL http://www.uaa.alaska.edu/enri/ascc_web/ascc_home.html .

Wickersham Alaska Corporation, 1986, Wasilla Comprehensive Plan, Anchorage, AK.

Winkler, G.R., 1992, Geologic map and summary geochronology of the Anchorage 1^{o} x 3^{o} quadrangle, Southern Alaska, US Geological Survey MAP I-2283, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington D.C.

APPENDIX A

Victoria Estates Well #1
Drinking Water Protection Area Location Map
(Map 1)

Victoria Estates Wells #1,2 & 3 Protection Areas



Legend

- Victoria Estates Wells #1, #2, and #3 Location

Zone A
- Several Months Travel Time

Zone B
- Less Than Two Years Time of Travel

Zone C
- Less Than Five Years Time of Travel

Zone D
- Less Than Ten Years Time of Travel
- Rivers
- Roads





MAP ONE

APPENDIX B

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Victoria Estates Well #1 (Tables 1-7)

APPENDIX C

Victoria Estates Well #1
Drinking Water Protection Area
and Potential and Existing Contaminant Sources
(Maps 2-3)

Victoria Estates Wells #1,2 & 3 Existing and Potential Contaminant Sources - PWS #224167



Legend

- Victoria Estates Wells #1, #2, and #3 Location
- Zone A
  - Several Months Travel Time
- Zone B
  - Less Than Two Years Time of Travel
- Zone C
  - Less Than Five Years Time of Travel
- Zone D
- Rivers
- Roads
- Land Parcels
- Residential Septic Systems (R02)
- Residential Areas (R01)





MAP TWO

Victoria Estates Wells #1,2 & 3 Existing and Potential Contaminant Sources - PWS #224167



APPENDIX D

Vulnerability Analysis for Victoria Estates Well #1
Public Drinking Water Source

(Charts 1-14)