

Source Water Assessment

A Hydrogeologic Susceptibility and Vulnerability Assessment for Matanuska Creamery Public Drinking Water System, Wasilla Area, Alaska PWSID # 220064.001

DRINKING WATER PROTECTION REPORT 1630

Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

December, 2008

Source Water Assessment for Matanuska Creamery Public Drinking Water System, Wasilla Area, Alaska PWSID# 220064.001

DRINKING WATER PROTECTION REPORT 1630

The Drinking Water Protection (DWP) section of the Drinking Water Program is producing Source Water Assessments in compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1996. Each assessment includes a delineation of the source water area, an inventory of potential and existing contaminant sources that may impact the water, a risk ranking for each of these contaminants, and an evaluation of the potential vulnerability of these drinking water sources.

These assessments are intended to provide public water systems owners/operators, communities, and local governments with the best available information that may be used to protect the quality of their drinking water. The assessments combine information obtained from various sources, including the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC), public water system owners/operators, and other public information sources. The results of this assessment are subject to change if additional data becomes available. It is anticipated this assessment will be updated every five years to reflect any changes in the vulnerability and/or susceptibility of public drinking water source. If you have any additional information that may affect the results of this assessment, please contact the DWP staff at the following number: 1-866-956-7656.

December, 2008

CONTENTS

Page
Executive Summary1
Matanuska Creamery Public Drinking Water System 1
Matanuska Creamery Drinking Water Protection Area 1
Inventory of Potential and Existing Contaminant
Sources
Ranking of Contaminant Risks

	ige
Vulnerability of Matanuska Creamery Drinking Water	ſ
System	.2
References	.5
Appendix A	.7
Appendix B	
Appendix C	

TABLES

Table 1.	Definition of Zones	.2
	Susceptibility	
	Contaminant Risks	
Table 4.	Overall Vulnerability	.3

APPENDICES

APPENDIX

- A. Matanuska Creamery Drinking Water Protection Area (Map A)
- B. Contaminant Source Inventory for Matanuska Creamery (Table 1) Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Matanuska Creamery – Bacteria and Viruses (Table 2) Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Matanuska Creamery – Nitrates/Nitrites (Table 3) Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Matanuska Creamery – Volatile Organic Chemicals (Table 4)
- C. Matanuska Creamery Drinking Water Protection Area and Potential and Existing Contaminant Sources (Map C)

Source Water Assessment for Matanuska Creamery Source of Public Drinking Water, Wasilla Area, Alaska

Drinking Water Protection Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The public water system for Matanuska Creamery is a Class B (transient/non-community) water system consisting of one well located at mile 4.5 of the Palmer-Wasilla Highway near Wasilla, Alaska. The wellhead received a susceptibility rating of Low and the aquifer received a susceptibility rating of Very High. Combining these two ratings produces a Medium rating for the natural susceptibility of the well. Identified potential and current sources of contaminants for Matanuska Creamery public drinking water source include: a construction trade area, a quarry, assumed septic systems, assumed heating oil tanks, an airport, and roads. Overall, the public water sources for Matanuska Creamery received a vulnerability rating of High for bacteria and viruses, Low for nitrates and nitrites, and **High** for volatile organic chemicals. This assessment of contaminant risks can be used as a foundation for local voluntary protection efforts as well as a basis for the continuous efforts on the part of Matanuska Creamery to protect public health.

MATANUSKA CREAMERY PUBLIC DRINKING WATER SYSTEM

Matanuska Creamery public water system is a Class B (transient/non-community) water system. The system consists of one well located at mile 4.5 of the Palmer-Wasilla Highway, approximately 5.5 miles east of Wasilla, Alaska (see Map A in Appendix A). Wasilla has a population of 7,028 and lies about 12 miles north of the Knik Arm of Cook Inlet along the George Parks Highway (ADCED, 2008).

The majority of residents in the Wasilla area have individual wells and septic systems, but the city does operate a water and sewer system. Homes are heated by piped natural gas, provided by Enstar. Refuse is transported by a private firm to the Borough landfill (ADCED, 2008).

Due to the glacial influence in the formation of the Wasilla area, the landforms in Wasilla are made up of ridges of glacial till and flat benches of gravel and sand out wash (Matanuska-Susitna Borough, 1985). The altitude of the Wasilla area ranges from about 300 feet to 500 feet above sea level, gradually sloping downwards towards Cook Inlet. There are hundreds of small lakes in the area, several larger lakes, and two large streams (Renshaw Consulting Engineers, 1983).

According to the well log, the well extends approximately 125 feet below the ground surface and is completed in a semi-confined aquifer overlain by silt and hardpan. This system operates continuously and serves 65 non-residents through one service connection.

MATANUSKA CREAMERY DRINKING WATER PROTECTION AREA

In order to evaluate whether a drinking water source is at risk, we must first evaluate what are the most likely pathways for surface contamination to reach the groundwater. These areas are determined by looking at the characteristics of the soil, groundwater, aquifer, and well.

The most probable area for contamination to reach the drinking water well is the drinking water protection area. The drinking water protection area is the area circling the well (the area influenced by pumping) and also the area upgradient of the well, usually forming a parabola shape. Because releases of contaminants within the protection area are most likely to impact the well, this area will serve as the focus for voluntary protection efforts.

There are many different methods for calculating the size of protection areas. Drinking Water Protection (DWP) uses a combination of two simple groundwater flow equations, the Thiem and uniform flow equations for all groundwater wells screened in unconsolidated material. The orientation of the protection zone is then drawn using a water table elevation map (if available) or a land surface elevation map of the area. The protection zone calculated by the DWP is an estimate using the available information and resources, and may differ slightly from the actual capture zone. Because of uncertainties and changing site conditions, a factor of safety is added to the protection zone to form the drinking water protection area for the well.

The parameters used to calculate the shape of this protection zone are general for the whole alluvial plain and were obtained from various United States Geological Survey (USGS) reports, area well logs, and the Groundwater textbook by Freeze and Cherry (Freeze and Cherry, 1979). The protection areas established for wells by the DEC are usually separated into two zones, limited by the watershed. These zones correspond to differences in the time-of-travel (TOT) of the water moving through the aquifer to the well. An analytical calculation was used to determine the size and shape of the protection area. The input parameters describing the attributes of the aquifer in this calculation were adopted from the State of Alaska Department of Water Resources (Jokela et. al., 1991).

The time-of-travel for contaminants within the water varies and is dependent on the physical and chemical characteristics of each contaminant. The following is a summary of the two protection area zones for wells and the calculated time-of-travel for each:

Table 1. Definition of Zones

Zone	Definition
А	Several months time-of-travel
В	Less than the 2 year time-of-travel

The drinking water protection area for Matanuska Creamery was determined using an analytical calculation and includes Zones A and B (See Map A of Appendix A).

INVENTORY OF POTENTIAL AND EXISTING CONTAMINANT SOURCES

DWP has completed an inventory of potential and existing sources of contamination within the Matanuska Creamery drinking water protection area. This inventory was completed through a search of agency records and other publicly available information. Potential sources of contamination to the drinking water aquifer include a wide range of categories and types. Potential drinking water contaminants are found within agricultural, residential, commercial, and industrial areas, but can also occur within areas that have little or no development.

For the basis of all Class B public water system assessments, the following three categories of drinking water contaminants were inventoried:

- Bacteria and viruses;
- Nitrates and/or nitrites;
- Volatile organic chemicals

The sources are displayed on Map C of Appendix C and summarized in Table 1 of Appendix B.

RANKING OF CONTAMINANT RISKS

Once the potential and existing sources of contamination have been identified, they are assigned a ranking according to what type and level of risk they represent. Ranking of contaminant risks for a "potential" or "existing" source of contamination is a function of toxicity and volumes of specific contaminants associated with that source. Rankings include:

- Low;
- Medium;
- High; and
- Very High.

Tables 2 through 4 in Appendix B contain the ranking of potential and existing sources of contamination with respect to bacteria and viruses, nitrates and/or nitrites, and volatile organic chemicals.

VULNERABILITY OF MATANUSKA CREAMERY DRINKING WATER SYSTEM

Vulnerability of a drinking water source to contamination is a combination of two factors:

- Natural Susceptibility; and
- Contaminant Risks.

A score for the Natural Susceptibility of the well is reached by considering the properties of the well and the aquifer.

Susceptibility of the Wellhead (0-25 Points)

Susceptibility of the Aquifer (0-25 Points)

Natural Susceptibility of the Well (0-50 Points)

A ranking is assigned for the Natural Susceptibility according to the point score:

Natural Susceptibility Ratings					
40-50 pts	Very High				
30 to < 40 pts	High				
20 to < 30 pts	Medium				
< 20 pts	Low				

Factors contributing to the susceptibility of the wellhead are: whether the sanitary seal is in place, protection from flooding, and if the well casing is properly grouted.

The wellhead for the Matanuska Creamery received a **Low** susceptibility rating. The most recent sanitary survey (01/2005) for this system indicates that a sanitary seal is installed on the well, the land surface is appropriately sloped away from the well, and the well is grouted according to DEC regulations. Sanitary seals prevent potential contaminants from entering the well, while sloping of the land surface away from the

wellhead provides adequate surface water drainage, and concrete or grouting around the wellhead helps to prevent potential contaminants from traveling down the outside of the well casing.

Factors contributing to the susceptibility of the aquifer are: whether the aquifer is confined or unconfined, whether the well is completed in unconsolidated or fractured bedrock, whether wells and bore holes are penetrating the aquifer and, if applicable, the confining layer.

The Matanuska Creamery system draws water from a semi-confined aquifer overlain by silt and hardpan. The aquifer received a **Very High** susceptibility rating because of its semi-confined nature and the presence of multiple wells within the protection zone. Because a semi-confined aquifer is partially recharged by surface water and precipitation that migrates downward from the surface, it is susceptible to contamination from outside sources. Furthermore, the presence of other wells penetrating the vadose zone of the protection area can allow contaminants to travel into the shared aquifer with precipitation and runoff.

Table 2 summarizes the Susceptibility scores and ratings for the Matanuska Creamery system.

Table 2. Susceptibility

	Score	Rating
Susceptibility of the	0	Low
Wellhead		
Susceptibility of the	23	Very High
Aquifer		
Natural Susceptibility	23	Medium

Contaminant risks are derived from an evaluation of the routine sampling results of the water system and the presence of potential sources of contamination. Contaminant risks to a drinking water source depend on the type and distribution of contaminant sources. Flow charts are used to assign a point score, and ratings are assigned in the same way as for the natural susceptibility:

Contaminant Risk Ratings				
40-50 pts	Very High			
30 to < 40 pts	High			
20 to < 30 pts	Medium			
< 20 pts	Low			

Table 3 summarizes the Contaminant Risks for each category of drinking water contaminants for the Matanuska Creamery system.

Table 3.Contaminant Risks

Category	Score	Rating
Bacteria and Viruses	50	Very High
Nitrates and/or Nitrites	12	Low
Volatile Organic Chemicals	50	Very High

Finally, an overall vulnerability score is assigned for each water system by combining each of the contaminant risk scores with the natural susceptibility score:

> Natural Susceptibility (0-50 Points) + Contaminant Risks (0-50 Points) =

Vulnerability of the Drinking Water Source to Contamination (0-100 Points)

Again, rankings are assigned according to a point score:

Overall Vulnerability Ratings			
80-100 pts	Very High		
60 to < 80 pts	High		
40 to < 60 pts	Medium		
< 40 pts	Low		

Table 4 contains the overall vulnerability scores (0-100) and ratings for each of the three categories of drinking water contaminants for the Matanuska Creamery system. Note: scores are rounded off to the nearest five.

Table 4. Overall Vulnerability

Category	Score	Rating
Bacteria and Viruses	75	High
Nitrates and/or Nitrites	35	Low
Volatile Organic Chemicals	75	High

Bacteria and Viruses

The contaminant risk for bacteria and viruses is **Very High** with the septic systems and roads contributing to the risk to the drinking water well.

Coliforms (a bacteria) are found naturally in the environment and although they aren't necessarily a health threat, they are an indicator of other potentially harmful bacteria in the water, more specifically, fecal coliforms and E. coli, which only come from human and animal fecal waste. Harmful bacteria can cause diarrhea, cramps, nausea, headaches, or other symptoms (EPA, 2008).

Only a small amount of bacteria and viruses are required to endanger public health. Positive samples increase the overall vulnerability of the drinking water source, indicating that the source is susceptible to bacteria and virus contamination. Bacteria and viruses have been detected during recent water sampling of the system at Matanuska Creamery (12/28/2004 and 12/29/2004, data reviewed in April, 2008).

After combining the contaminant risk for bacteria and viruses with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is **High**.

Nitrates and Nitrites

The contaminant risk for nitrates and nitrites is **Low** with the quarry, septic systems, airport, and roads contributing to the risk to the drinking water well.

The sampling history for Matanuska Creamery well indicates that nitrates have not been detected in the water (data reviewed in April, 2008).

After combining the contaminant risk for nitrates and nitrites with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is **Low**.

Volatile Organic Chemicals

The contaminant risk for volatile organic chemicals is **Very High** with the construction trade area, quarry, septic systems, heating oil tanks, airport, and roads contributing to the risk to the drinking water well.

The drinking water at Matanuska Creamery has not recently been sampled for volatile organic chemicals (data reviewed in April, 2008).

After combining the contaminant risk for volatile organic chemicals with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is **High**.

Using the Source Water Assessment

This assessment of contaminant risks can be used as a foundation for local voluntary protection efforts as well as a basis for the continuous efforts on the part of Matanuska Creamery to protect public health. It is anticipated that Source Water Assessments will be updated every five years to reflect any changes in the vulnerability and/or susceptibility of Matanuska Creamery drinking water source.

REFERENCES

Alaska Department of Community and Economic Development (ADCED), Accessed 2008 [WWW document]. URL: http://www.commerce.state.ak.us/dca/commdb/CF_COMDB.htm

Freeze, R.A. and Cherry, J.A., 1979. Groundwater. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.

Jokela, J.B., Munter, J.A., and Evans, J.G., 1991, Ground-water resources of the Palmer-Big Lake area, Alaska: a conceptual model. Division of Geological &Geophysical Surveys Reports of Investigations 90-4, State of Alaska Department of Natural Resources, Fairbanks, AK.


Matanuska-Susitna Borough, 1985, Knik-Matanuska-Susitna: A Visual History of the Valleys, Wasilla, AK.

Renshaw Consulting Engineers, 1983, Summary of Mineral Resources, Palmer, AK.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Accessed 2008 [WWW document]. URL: http://www.epa.gov/safewater/contaminants/index.html.

APPENDIX A

Matanuska Creamery Drinking Water Protection Area Location Map (Map A)



2	Legend						
1	Class B Public Water System Well						
	Groundwater Protection Zones						
N.	Zone A Protection Area - Several Months Travel Time						
2	Zone B Protection Area - 2 Years Travel Time						
ė							
1							
8 A.							
17							
L							
	Data Sources: Contaminant Sources, Public Water System Wells, Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC)						
	All other data: Alaska Statewide Digital Mapping Initiative (SDMI)						
1	Drinking Water Protection Areas based on "Alaska Drinking Water Protection Program - Guidance Manual for Class B Public Water Systems" published by ADEC						
	URS Corporation does not guarantee the accuracy or validity of the data provided.						
	Inset 1 Area of Map Palmer						
	Wasilla						
	and a start a						

Matanuska Creamery PWS 220064.001

Appendix A Map A

APPENDIX B

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Matanuska Creamery (Tables 1-4)

Contaminant Source Inventory for FOOD BANK OF ALASKA

Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Map Number	Comments
Construction trade areas and materials	C09	C09	А	С	
Quarries (sand, gravel, rock, other?)	E10	E10	А	С	
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02	R02	А	С	7 assumed septic systems
Tanks, heating oil, residential (above ground)	R08	R08	А	С	7 assumed heating oil tanks
Airports	X14	X14	А	С	
Highways and roads, paved (cement or asphalt)	X20	X20	А	С	1 road
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02	R02	В	С	12 assumed septic systems
Tanks, heating oil, residential (above ground)	R08	R08	В	С	12 assumed heating oil tanks
Airports	X14	X14	В	С	
Highways and roads, paved (cement or asphalt)	X20	X20	В	С	1 road

Table 2

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for FOOD BANK OF ALASKA

PWSID 220064.001

Sources of Bacteria and Viruses

Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Risk Ranking for Analysis	Map Number	Comments
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02	R02	А	Low	С	7 assumed septic systems
Highways and roads, paved (cement or asphalt)	X20	X20	А	Low	С	1 road
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02	R02	В	Low	С	12 assumed septic systems
Highways and roads, paved (cement or asphalt)	X20	X20	В	Low	С	1 road

Table 3

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for

PWSID 220064.001

FOOD BANK OF ALASKA

Sources of Nitrates/Nitrites

Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Risk Ranking for Analysis	Map Number	Comments
Quarries (sand, gravel, rock, other?)	E10	E10	А	Low	С	
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02	R02	А	Low	С	7 assumed septic systems
Airports	X14	X14	А	Low	С	
Highways and roads, paved (cement or asphalt)	X20	X20	А	Low	С	1 road
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02	R02	В	Low	С	12 assumed septic systems
Airports	X14	X14	В	Low	С	
Highways and roads, paved (cement or asphalt)	X20	X20	В	Low	С	1 road

Table 4

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for

PWSID 220064.001

FOOD BANK OF ALASKA


Sources of Volatile Organic Chemicals


Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Risk Ranking for Analysis	Map Number	Comments
Construction trade areas and materials	C09	C09	А	Low	С	
Quarries (sand, gravel, rock, other?)	E10	E10	А	Low	С	
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02	R02	А	Low	С	7 assumed septic systems
Tanks, heating oil, residential (above ground)	R08	R08	А	Medium	С	7 assumed heating oil tanks
Airports	X14	X14	А	High	С	
Highways and roads, paved (cement or asphalt)	X20	X20	А	Low	С	1 road
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02	R02	В	Low	С	12 assumed septic systems
Tanks, heating oil, residential (above ground)	R08	R08	В	Medium	С	12 assumed heating oil tanks
Airports	X14	X14	В	High	С	
Highways and roads, paved (cement or asphalt)	X20	X20	В	Low	С	1 road

APPENDIX C

Matanuska Creamery Drinking Water Protection Area and Potential and Existing Contaminant Sources (Map C)

Public Water Well System for PWS #220064.001 Matanuska Creamery Showing Potential and Existing Sources of Contamination





Matanuska Creamery PWS 220064.001

Appendix C Map C