



Source Water Assessment

A Hydrogeologic Susceptibility and Vulnerability Assessment for Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat Drinking Water System, Ruby, Alaska

PWSID # 360264.001

June 2004

DRINKING WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM REPORT 1343
Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

Source Water Assessment for Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat Drinking Water System Ruby, Alaska

PWSID # 360264.001

DRINKING WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM REPORT 1343

The Drinking Water Protection Program (DWPP) is producing Source Water Assessments in compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1996. Each assessment includes a delineation of the source water area, an inventory of potential and existing contaminant sources that may impact the water, a risk ranking for each of these contaminants, and an evaluation of the potential vulnerability of these drinking water sources.

These assessments are intended to provide public water systems owners/operators, communities, and local governments with the best available information that may be used to protect the quality of their drinking water. The assessments combine information obtained from various sources, including the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC), public water system owners/operators, and other public information sources. The results of this assessment are subject to change if additional data becomes available. It is anticipated this assessment will be updated every five years to reflect any changes in the vulnerability and/or susceptibility of public drinking water source. If you have any additional information that may affect the results of this assessment, please contact the Program Coordinator of DWPP, (907) 269-7521.

CONTENTS

PUBLIC DRINKI	ING	ARY	INVENTORY OF POTENTIAL AND EXISTING CONTAMINANT SOURCES	3
		TABI	LES	
Table 2. Suscept Table 3. Contam	ibili inan	ty t Risks		
		APPENI	DICES	
APPENDIX	A.	Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat Drin	king Water Protection Area (Map A)	
		Contaminant Source Inventory and Ri Bacteria and Viruses (Table 2) Contaminant Source Inventory and Ri Nitrates/Nitrites (Table 3) Contaminant Source Inventory and Ri Volatile Organic Chemicals (Table Contaminant Source Inventory and Ri Heavy Metals, Cyanide and Other I Contaminant Source Inventory and Ri Synthetic Organic Chemicals (Table	sk Ranking for Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat norganic Chemicals (Table 5) sk Ranking for Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat e 6) sk Ranking for Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat	
	C.	Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat Drin and Existing Contaminant Source	king Water Protection Area and Potential is (Map C)	
	D.	Vulnerability Analysis for Contamina Washeteria & Laundromat Public Dri	nt Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Ruby nking Water Source (Charts 1 – 14)	

Source Water Assessment for Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat Source of Public Drinking Water, Ruby, Alaska

Drinking Water Protection Program Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat has three Public Water System (PWS) wells. The primary well (PWS No 360264.001) has been used as a drinking water source since it was drilled in March of 2000. This source water assessment report is exclusively limited to PWSID #360264.001.

The well is a Class A (community and non-transient non-community) water system located in Tract A, Block 20, Lot 2, across Good Time Road from the water treatment plant in Ruby, Alaska. Available records indicate that there secondary storage of drinking water of unknown capacity and that the drinking water source is treated with calcium hypochlorite. This system operates year round and serves approximately 179 residents through 3 service connections. The wellhead received a susceptibility rating of **Very High** and the aquifer received a susceptibility rating of **Very High**. Combining these two ratings produce a **Very High** rating for the natural susceptibility of the well.

Identified potential and current sources of contaminants for the public drinking water source include pit toilets, a large capacity septic system, a landfill and aboveground fuel storage tanks. An inventory of potential or existing contamination sources can be found in Appendix B, Table 1. These identified potential and existing sources of contamination are considered as sources of bacteria and viruses, nitrates and/or nitrites, volatile organic chemicals, heavy metals, cyanide and other inorganic chemicals, synthetic organic chemicals, and other organic chemicals contaminant categories.

Overall, the water well received a vulnerability rating of **Very High** for nitrates and nitrites, heavy metals, cyanide and other inorganic chemicals, and a vulnerability rating of **High** for bacteria and viruses, volatile organic chemicals, synthetic organic chemicals and other organic chemicals.

PUBLIC DRINKING WATER SYSTEM

The Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat well is a Class A (community/non-transient/non-community) public

water system. The system located in Tract A, Block 20, Lot 2, across Good Time Road from the water treatment plant in Ruby, Alaska (Sec. 04, T009S, R017E, Kateel River Meridian; see Map A of Appendix A). Ruby is located on the south bank of the Yukon River in the Kilbuck-Kuskokwim Mountains, 50 miles east of Galena. Ruby has a population of 169 (ADCED, 2003). Average annual precipitation in Ruby is 17 inches, including approximately 66 inches of snowfall. Temperatures can be as extreme as -53 to 98°F.

The community of Ruby obtains their water supply from community wells. The school uses their own well water systems. Some households utilize individual septic systems but the majority use honeybucket pits or outhouses. Most households lack complete plumbing (ADCED, 2003). Ruby receives electrical power from the City of Ruby. Power generating facilities are fueled by diesel. Refuse is collected by individuals and transported to the landfill (ADCED, 2003).

According to information supplied by ADEC for the Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat PWS, the depth of the primary water well is 98 feet below the ground surface. Based on available well construction details, the well is screened. The well is completed in a confined aquifer, and is located within a floodplain.

Information acquired from a January 2001 sanitary survey for the public water system indicated that the land surface is sloped away from the well. Generally, land surfaces that slope away from the wellhead promote surface water drainage, which reduces the potential of contaminant migration down the well casing annulus. The sanitary survey indicates that the well is grouted according to ADEC regulations. Proper grouting provides added protection against contaminants traveling along the well casing annulus and into source waters.

Ruby and the surrounding area are situated on the Yukon River floodplain within the unglaciated Yukon-Tanana Uplands physiographic province. The regional topography consists of relatively flat floodplain deposits dominated by oxbow lakes and abandoned river meanders. Large accumulations of

wind-blown sediments are common across the Yukon River floodplain (URS 2001).

The area is comprised of thick deposits of sediment that overlies most of the bedrock. These deposits consist of floodplain alluvium and river terrace deposits. Floodplain alluvium is divided into organic rich and organic poor deposits. Organic rich alluvial deposits consist of well-stratified layers and lenses of silt with wood, peat, and other intermixed organic materials. Organic-poor alluvial deposits consist of well-stratified layers and lenses of silt with some sand, gravel and minor quantities of clay and lightly organic rich silt (URS 2001).

The soils in the area consist of a thick sequence of undifferentiated fluvial sediments deposited by the Yukon River. The uppermost floodplain deposits are composed of poorly graded silt to silty sand. Poorly graded sands and gravelly sand are found below these floodplain deposits (URS 2001).

A layer of discontinuous permafrost underlies the local area (URS 2001).

DRINKING WATER PROTECTION AREA

In order to evaluate whether a drinking water source is at risk, we must first evaluate what are the most likely pathways for surface contamination to reach the groundwater. These areas are determined by looking at the characteristics of the soil, groundwater, aquifer, and well.

The most probable area for contamination to reach the drinking water well is the area that contributes water to the well, the groundwater recharge area. This area is designated as the drinking water protection area (DWPA). Because releases of contaminants within the protection area are most likely to impact the drinking water well, this area will serve as the focus for voluntary protection efforts. An analytical calculation was used to determine the size and shape of the DWPA for the Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat PWS. The input parameters describing the attributes of the aquifer in this calculation were adopted from Groundwater (Freeze and Cherry, 1979). Available geology and groundwater contours were also considered to take into account any uncertainties in groundwater flow and aquifer characteristics to arrive at a meaningful protection area.

The protection areas established for wells by the ADEC are usually separated into four zones, limited by the watershed. These zones correspond to

differences in the time-of-travel (TOT) of the water moving through the aquifer to the well (Please refer to the Guidance Manual for Class A Public Water Systems for additional information).

The time of travel for contaminants within the water varies and is dependent on the physical and chemical characteristics of each contaminant. The following is a summary of the four protection area zones for wells and the calculated time-of-travel for each:

Table 1. Definition of Zones

Zone	Definition
A	1/4 the distance for the 2-yr. time-of-travel
В	Less than the 2 year time-of-travel
C	Less Than the 5 year time-of-travel
D	Less than the 10 year time-of-travel

The DWPA for the Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat PWS was determined using an analytical calculation and includes Zones A and D (See Map A of Appendix A).

INVENTORY OF POTENTIAL AND EXISTING CONTAMINANT SOURCES

The Drinking Water Protection Program has completed an inventory of potential and existing sources of contamination within the Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat DWPA. This inventory was completed through a search of agency records and other publicly available information. Potential sources of contamination to the drinking water aquifer include a wide range of categories and types. Potential drinking water contaminants are found within agricultural, residential, commercial, and industrial areas, but can also occur within areas that have little or no development.

For the basis of all Class A public water system assessments, six categories of drinking water contaminants were inventoried. They include:

- Bacteria and viruses,
- Nitrates and/or nitrites,
- Volatile organic chemicals,
- Heavy metals, cyanide and other inorganic chemicals,
- Synthetic organic chemicals,
- Other organic chemicals.

The sources are displayed on Map C of Appendix C and summarized in Table 1 of Appendix B.

RANKING OF CONTAMINANT RISKS

Once the potential and existing sources of contamination have been identified, they are assigned a ranking according to what type and level of risk they represent. Ranking of contaminant risks for a "potential" or "existing" source of contamination is a function of toxicity and volumes of specific contaminants associated with that source. Rankings include:

- Low,
- Medium,
- High, and
- Very High.

The time-of-travel for contaminants within the water varies and is dependent on the physical and chemical characteristics of each contaminant. Bacteria and Viruses are only inventoried in Zones A and B because of their short life span. Only "Very High" and "High" rankings are inventoried within the outer Zone D due to the probability of contaminant dilution by the time the contaminants get to the well. Tables 2 through 4 in Appendix B contain the ranking of potential and existing sources of contamination with respect to bacteria and viruses, nitrates and/or nitrites, volatile organic chemicals, heavy metals, cyanide and other inorganic chemicals, synthetic organic chemicals, and other organic chemicals.

VULNERABILITY OF THE DRINKING WATER SYSTEM

Vulnerability of a drinking water source to contamination is a combination of two factors:

- Natural susceptibility, and
- Contaminant risks.

Appendix D contains fourteen charts, which together form the 'Vulnerability Analysis' for a source water assessment for a public drinking water source. Chart 1 analyzes the 'Susceptibility of the Wellhead' to contamination by looking at the construction of the well and its surrounding area. Chart 2 analyzes the 'Susceptibility of the Aquifer' to contamination by looking at the naturally occurring attributes of the water source and influences on the groundwater system that might lead to contamination. Chart 3 analyzes 'Contaminant Risks' for the drinking water source with respect to bacteria and viruses. The 'Contaminant Risks' portion of the analysis considers

potential sources of contaminants as well as a review of contamination that has or may have occurred, but has not arrived or been detected at the well. Chart 4 contains the 'Vulnerability Analysis for Bacteria and Viruses'. Charts 5 through 14 contain the Contaminant Risks and Vulnerability Analyses for nitrates and nitrites, volatile organic chemicals, heavy metals, cyanide and other inorganic chemicals, synthetic organic chemicals, and other organic chemicals, respectively.

A score for the Natural Susceptibility is reached by considering the properties of the well and the aquifer.

Susceptibility of the Wellhead (0 – 25 Points)

(Chart 1 of Appendix D)

+

Susceptibility of the Aquifer (0-25 Points)(Chart 2 of Appendix D)

=

Natural Susceptibility (Susceptibility of the Well) (0-50 Points)

A ranking is assigned for the Natural Susceptibility according to the point score:

Natural Suscept	Natural Susceptibility Ratings								
40 to 50 pts	Very High								
30 to < 40 pts	High								
20 to < 30 pts	Medium								
< 20 pts	Low								

The Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat's water well is in a confined aquifer. Confined aquifers are less susceptible to potential groundwater quality impacts posed by the migration of surface water contaminants downward from the surface. Table 2 shows the susceptibility scores and ratings for this PWS.

Table 2. Susceptibility

	Score	Rating
Susceptibility of the	20	Very High
Wellhead		
Susceptibility of the	22	Very High
Aquifer		
Natural Susceptibility	42	Very High

Contaminant Risk Ratings									
40 to 50 pts	Very High								
30 to < 40 pts	High								
20 to < 30 pts	Medium								
< 20 pts	Low								

Contaminant risks to a drinking water source depend on the type, number or density, and distribution of contaminant sources. This score has been derived from an examination of existing and historical contamination that has been detected at the drinking water source through routine sampling. It also evaluates potential sources of contamination. Flow charts are used to assign a point score, and ratings are assigned in the same way as for the natural susceptibility:

Table 3 summarizes the Contaminant Risks for each category of drinking water contaminants.

Table 3. Contaminant Risks

Category Se	core	Rating
Bacteria and Viruses	45	Very High
Nitrates and/or Nitrites	50	Very High
Volatile Organic Chemicals	28	Medium
Heavy Metals, Cyanide and		
Other Inorganic Chemicals	50	Very High
Synthetic Organic Chemicals	s 18	Low
Other Organic Chemicals	18	Low

Finally, an overall vulnerability score is assigned for each water system by combining each of the contaminant risk scores with the natural susceptibility score:

Contaminant Risks (0 - 50 points)

Vulnerability of the Drinking Water Source to Contamination (0 - 100).

Again, rankings are assigned according to a point score:

Overall Vulnerability Ratings								
80 to 100 pts	Very High							
60 to < 80 pts	High							
40 to < 60 pts	Medium							
< 40 pts	Low							

Table 4 contains the overall vulnerability scores (0 – 100) and ratings for each of the six categories of drinking water contaminants. Note: scores are rounded off to the nearest five.

Table 4. Overall Vulnerability

Category	Score	Rating
Bacteria and Viruses	90	Very High
Nitrates and Nitrites	90	Very High
Volatile Organic Chemicals	70	High
Heavy Metals, Cyanide and		
Other Inorganic Chemicals	90	Very High
Synthetic Organic Chemicals	60	High
Other Organic Chemicals	60	High

Bacteria and Viruses

The contaminant risk for bacteria and viruses is **Very High**. The risk is primarily attributed to the presence of a large capacity septic system located in Zone A and a landfill in Zone D (see Table 2 – Appendix B). Other potential contaminant sources are also found within the protection area (see Table 2 – Appendix B).

Coliforms (a bacteria) are found naturally in the environment and although they aren't necessarily a health threat, they are an indicator of other potentially harmful bacteria in the water, more specifically, fecal coliforms and E. coli, which only come from human and animal fecal waste. Harmful bacteria can cause diarrhea, cramps, nausea, headaches, or other symptoms (EPA, 2002). Positive samples increase the overall vulnerability of the drinking water source, indicating that the source is susceptible to bacteria and virus contamination.

No positive bacteria counts have been reported in recent (within five years) sampling events (See Chart 3 – Contaminant Risks for Bacteria and Viruses in Appendix D). Only a small amount of bacteria and viruses are required to endanger public health.

After combining the contaminant risk for bacteria and viruses with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is **Very High**.

Nitrates and Nitrites

The contaminant risk for nitrates and nitrites is **Very High.** The risk to this source of public drinking water is primarily attributed to the presence of a large capacity septic system located in Zone A and a landfill in Zone D (see Table 3 – Appendix B). Other potential contaminant sources are also found within

the protection area (see Table 3 – Appendix B).

Nitrates are very mobile, moving at approximately the same rate as water. The sampling history for this well indicates that significant levels nitrates have been detected in recent sampling events, however they did not exceed the MCL of 10mg/L. Nitrate concentrations in uncontaminated groundwater are typically less than 2 mg/L; therefore, nitrate concentrations above 2 mg/L may be indicative of man-made sources (See Chart 5 - Contaminant Risks for Nitrates and/or Nitrites in Appendix D).

Nitrate levels are often derived from the decomposition of organic matter in soils. Although the nitrate source is unknown, such occurrences may be attributed to septic systems or other sources. After combining the contaminant risk for nitrates and nitrites with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to nitrate and nitrite contamination is **Very High**.

Volatile Organic Chemicals

The contaminant risk for volatile organic chemicals is **Medium**. The risk is primarily attributed to the presence of aboveground fuel storage tanks located in Zone A and a landfill in Zone D (see Table 4 – Appendix B).

All recent volatile organic sampling data was reported to be below detection levels (See Chart 7 – Contaminant Risks for Volatile Organic Chemicals in Appendix D).

Possible sources of volatile organic chemicals include facilities with automobiles, residential areas, fuel tanks, and roads. See Table 4 in Appendix B for a complete listing.

After combining the contaminant risk for volatile organic chemicals with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is **High**.

Heavy Metals, Cyanide and Other Inorganic Chemicals

The contaminant risk for heavy metals, cyanide and other inorganic chemicals is **Very High.** The risk is primarily attributed to the high levels of copper recorded in recent sampling events as well as the presence of a landfill in Zone D (see Table 5 – Appendix B).

Based on review of recent sampling records for this public water system, high levels of copper have been detected in recent sampling history, and have exceeded the MCL of 1.3 mg/L (see Chart 9 – Contaminant Risks for Heavy Metals, Cyanide, and Other Inorganic Chemicals in Appendix D).

The reported concentrations of copper in recent sampling events are likely representative of source water conditions or an indication of recent maintenance to the distribution system. Risk points were assigned based on the exceedence of the lead MCL.

After combining the contaminant risk for heavy metals, cyanide and other inorganic chemicals with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is **Very High.**

Synthetic Organic Chemicals

The contaminant risk for synthetic organic chemicals is **Low**. The risk is primarily attributed to the presence of a landfill located in Zone D (see Table 6 – Appendix B).

No recent sampling data was available in ADEC records for the Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat (See Chart 11 – Contaminant Risks for Synthetic Organic Chemicals in Appendix D).

After combining the contaminant risk for synthetic organic chemicals with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is **High.**

Other Organic Chemicals

The contaminant risk for other organic chemicals is **Low**. The risk is primarily attributed to the presence of a landfill in Zone D (see Table 7 – Appendix B).

No recent sampling data was available in ADEC records for the Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat (See Chart 13 – Contaminant Risks for Other Organic Chemicals in Appendix D).

After combining the contaminant risk for other organic chemicals with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is **High**.

Using the Source Water Assessment

This assessment of contaminant risks can be used as a foundation for local voluntary protection efforts as well as a basis for the continuous efforts on the part of the community of Ruby to protect public health. It is anticipated that Source Water Assessments will be updated every five years to reflect any changes in the

vulnerability and/or susceptibility of the drinking water source.


REFERENCES

- Alaska Department of Community and Economic Development (ADCED), 2003 [WWW document]. URL: http://www.dced.state.ak.us/cbd/commdb/CF COMDB.htm
- Freeze, R. A., and Cherry, J.A. 1979, Groundwater, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey
- Information from URS Corporation September 2001. Follow-On Investigation at Former UST Sites Report, Galena Airport, Alaska, by.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2002 [WWW document]. URL http://www.epa.gov/safewater/mcl.html.

APPENDIX A

Drinking Water Protection Area Location Map (Map A)

Public Water Well System for PWS # 360264.001 Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat



APPENDIX B

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking (Tables 1-7)

Contaminant Source Inventory for Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat

PWSID 360264.001

Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Map Number	Comments
Laundromats without dry cleaning	C22	C22-01	A	C	Ruby Health Clinic
Injection wells (Class V) Large-Capacity Septic System (Drainfield Disposal Method)	D10	D10-01	A	С	RUBY MUNICIPAL BLDG, FREEZER, TE
Pit toilets (open hole), nonresidential (one or more)	D16	D16-01	A	C	Assume 30 or less pit toilets/outhouses in Zone A
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02	R02-01	A	C	Assume 10 or less residential septic systems in Zone A
Tanks, heating oil, residential (above ground)	R08	R08-01	A	C	Assume 30 or less residential heating oil tanks in Zone A
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-01	A	C	
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-02	A	C	
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24	X24-01	A	С	Assume 20 or less roads in Zone A
Medical/veterinary facilities (doctor or dentist offices, hospitals, nursing homes)	X40	X40-01	A	С	
Landfills (municipal; Class III)	D51	D51-01	D	C	Ruby landfill

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat Sources of Bacteria and Viruses

Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Risk Ranking for Analysis	Map Number	Comments
Laundromats without dry cleaning	C22	C22-01	A	Low	С	Ruby Health Clinic
Injection wells (Class V) Large-Capacity Septic System (Drainfield Disposal Method)	D10	D10-01	A	High	С	RUBY MUNICIPAL BLDG, FREEZER, TE
Pit toilets (open hole), nonresidential (one or more)	D16	D16-01	A	Medium	C	Assume 30 or less pit toilets/outhouses in Zone A
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02	R02-01	A	Low	C	Assume 10 or less residential septic systems in Zone A
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24	X24-01	A	Low	С	Assume 20 or less roads in Zone A
Medical/veterinary facilities (doctor or dentist offices, hospitals, nursing homes)	X40	X40-01	A	Medium	С	
Landfills (municipal; Class III)	D51	D51-01	D	High	С	Ruby landfill

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat Sources of Nitrates/Nitrites

Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Risk Ranking for Analysis	Map Number	Comments
Laundromats without dry cleaning	C22	C22-01	A	Low	C	Ruby Health Clinic
Injection wells (Class V) Large-Capacity Septic System (Drainfield Disposal Method)	D10	D10-01	A	High	С	RUBY MUNICIPAL BLDG, FREEZER, TE
Pit toilets (open hole), nonresidential (one or more)	D16	D16-01	A	Medium	С	Assume 30 or less pit toilets/outhouses in Zone A
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02	R02-01	A	Low	C	Assume 10 or less residential septic systems in Zone A
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24	X24-01	A	Low	С	Assume 20 or less roads in Zone A
Medical/veterinary facilities (doctor or dentist offices, hospitals, nursing homes)	X40	X40-01	A	Low	С	
Landfills (municipal; Class III)	D51	D51-01	D	Very High	C	Ruby landfill

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat Sources of Volatile Organic Chemicals

Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Risk Ranking for Analysis	Map Number	Comments
Laundromats without dry cleaning	C22	C22-01	A	Low	С	Ruby Health Clinic
Injection wells (Class V) Large-Capacity Septic System (Drainfield Disposal Method)	D10	D10-01	A	Low	С	RUBY MUNICIPAL BLDG, FREEZER, TE
Pit toilets (open hole), nonresidential (one or more)	D16	D16-01	A	Low	С	Assume 30 or less pit toilets/outhouses in Zone A
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02	R02-01	A	Low	С	Assume 10 or less residential septic systems in Zone A
Tanks, heating oil, residential (above ground)	R08	R08-01	A	Medium	С	Assume 30 or less residential heating oil tanks in Zone A
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-01	A	Low	С	
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-02	A	Low	С	
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24	X24-01	A	Low	С	Assume 20 or less roads in Zone A
Medical/veterinary facilities (doctor or dentist offices, hospitals, nursing homes)	X40	X40-01	A	Low	С	
Landfills (municipal; Class III)	D51	D51-01	D	High	C	Ruby landfill

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat Sources of Heavy Metals, Cyanide and Other Inorganic Chemicals

Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Risk Ranking for Analysis	Map Number	Comments
Injection wells (Class V) Large-Capacity Septic System (Drainfield Disposal Method)	D10	D10-01	A	Low	С	RUBY MUNICIPAL BLDG, FREEZER, TE
Pit toilets (open hole), nonresidential (one or more)	D16	D16-01	A	Low	C	Assume 30 or less pit toilets/outhouses in Zone A
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02	R02-01	A	Low	C	Assume 10 or less residential septic systems in Zone A
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-01	A	Low	C	
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-02	A	Low	C	
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24	X24-01	A	Low	C	Assume 20 or less roads in Zone A
Medical/veterinary facilities (doctor or dentist offices, hospitals, nursing homes)	X40	X40-01	A	Low	C	
Landfills (municipal; Class III)	D51	D51-01	D	High	С	Ruby landfill

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat Sources of Synthetic Organic Chemicals

Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Risk Ranking for Analysis	Map Number	Comments
Injection wells (Class V) Large-Capacity Septic System (Drainfield Disposal Method)	D10	D10-01	Α	Low	С	RUBY MUNICIPAL BLDG, FREEZER, TE
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02	R02-01	A	Low	C	Assume 10 or less residential septic systems in Zone A
Medical/veterinary facilities (doctor or dentist offices, hospitals, nursing homes)	X40	X40-01	A	Low	C	
Landfills (municipal; Class III)	D51	D51-01	D	Very High	C	Ruby landfill


Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat Sources of Other Organic Chemicals

Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Risk Ranking for Analysis	Map Number	Comments
Injection wells (Class V) Large-Capacity Septic System (Drainfield Disposal Method)	D10	D10-01	A	Low	С	RUBY MUNICIPAL BLDG, FREEZER, TE
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02	R02-01	A	Low	С	Assume 10 or less residential septic systems in Zone A
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24	X24-01	A	Low	С	Assume 20 or less roads in Zone A
Landfills (municipal; Class III)	D51	D51-01	D	Very High	С	Ruby landfill

APPENDIX C

Drinking Water Protection Area and Potential and Existing Contaminant Sources (Map C)

Public Water Well System for PWS # 360264.001 Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat Sources of Existing and Potential Contamination



Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat PWS# 360264.001 **Appendix C** Map C

APPENDIX D

Vulnerability Analysis for Public Drinking Water Source (Charts 1-14)

Susceptibility initially assumed to be low. Susceptibility of wellhead = 0 pts Is the well Increase susceptibility 5 pts properly + 0 pts grouted? Is the well Increase susceptibility 20 pts 0 pts capped? YES YES Very High Susceptibility of wellhead 20 pts YES Increase susceptibility: Is the well 10 pts: suspected floodplain + 20 pts within a Wellhead Susceptibility Ratings 20 pts: known floodplain floodplain? 20 to 25 pts very high 15 to < 20 pts high 10 to < 15 pts medium NO' < 10 pts low Is the land surface sloped Increase susceptibility 5 pts + 0 pts away from the

Chart 1. Susceptibility of the wellhead - Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat (PWS No 360264.001)

Chart 2. Susceptibility of the aquifer Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat (PWS No 360264.001)




Chart 3. Contaminant risks for Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat (PWS No 360264.001) - Bacteria & Viruses




Chart 3. Contaminant risks for Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat (PWS No 360264.001) - Bacteria & Viruses NO Are there sufficient Initial assessment of risk posed by Risk unchanged controls, conditions, or potential sources of contamination monitoring to warrant = 35 pts downgrading risk? Are any YES significant Risk unchanged contaminant Reduce risk 1 - 10 pts sources within - 0 pts Zone A? The number and magnitude of Risk posed by potential sources of contaminant sources in YES contamination with controls Zone A determines a risk increase. See Table 2 for 45 + 10 pts Increase risk 1 - 10 pts inventory. Existing Risk due to existing 0 pts contamination Are there any conditions that Risk unchanged Risk posed by potential sources warrant upgrading Potential of contamination with controls risk? 45 pts Contaminant risks Contaminant Risk YES 45 pts Increase risk 1 - 10 pts + 0 pts Contaminant risks* * Truncate risk at 50 pts 45 Contaminant Risk Ratings Risk posed by potential sources of contamination 40 to 50 pts very high 45 30 to < 40 pts high Very High $20 \text{ to} \le 30 \text{ pts}$

Page 4 of 25




Chart 5. Contaminant risks for Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat (PWS No 360264.001) - Nitrates and Nitrites Contaminant risks initially assumed to be low. Evaluate the level of Current level of Contaminant risks contamination due to manbackground = 0 ptscontamination from made source(s) natural sources 46 pts Is the concentration of Has nitrates and/or NO the contaminant nitrites been detected in increasing, decreasing, the source waters in or staying the same? recent sampling period(s)? Recent Nitrate Sampling Results (mg/L) 8/28/2003 7.4 9/11/2002 8.28 The nitrate concentration 6/5/2001 6.46 is assumed to be natural if 5/31/2000 9.16 less than 2 mg/L (20%), or Increasing: risk up 1 - 10 pts attributed to man made YES 8/19/1999 7.72 Decreasing: risk down 1 - 5 pts sources if greater than 2 3/24/1998 3.31 + 0 pts Same: risk unchanged mg/L. Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) = 10 mg/LDetected Nitrate Level = Existing contamination points based on Risk due to natural Risk due to existing manlinear interpolation of most recent detect sources made sources [MCL = 50 pts; detect = 0 pts]0 pts Risk due to existing contamination 46 pts Was the source of Evaluate the level of NO contamination contamination from natural? man-made sources YES





Chart 5. Contaminant risks for Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat (PWS No 360264.001) - Nitrates and Nitrites Existing Are there conditions NO 46 pts Risk unchanged that warrant upgrading risk? Risk due to existing Potential contamination 49 pts The number and magnitude of Risk posed by potential sources contaminant sources in of contamination with controls Contaminant Risk Zone D determines a risk YES 95 pts increase. See Table 3 for Contaminant risks inventory. 5 pts Increase risk 1 - 10 pts Risk posed by potential sources of contamination 49 pts *Truncate risk at 50 pts Contaminant risks* 50 Contaminant Risk Ratings Are there sufficient Very High controls, conditions, NO. Risk unchanged or monitoring to 40 to 50 pts very high warrant downgrading 30 to < 40 pts high 20 to < 30 pts risk? medium < 20 pts low YES 0 pts Decrease risk 1 - 10 pts Risk posed by potential sources of contamination with controls

Page 8 of 25

(Chart 1. Susceptibiltiy of the wellhead) Susceptibility of well Very High 42 pts Evaluate the susceptibility of the wellhead (Chart 5. Contaminant risks for wells - Nitrates and Nitrites) Evaluate Susceptibility of wellhead contaminant risks Very High 20 pts Evaluate the (Chart 2. Susceptibility of the aquifer) Contaminant risks Very High susceptibility of the 50 pts aquifer within the protection area Susceptibility of aquifer Very High 22 pts Susceptibility of the well Contaminant risks Vulnerability of drinking water well to contamination Susceptibility of the wellhead Overall Vulnerability Ratings Susceptibility of aquifer 80 to 100 pts very high Susceptibility of well 60 to < 80 pts high 40 to < 60 pts medium Vulnerability of drinking water well < 40 pts 92 pts Very High 90

Chart 6. Vulnerability analysis for Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat (PWS No 360264.001) - Nitrates and Nitrites







Chart 7. Contaminant risks for Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat (PWS No 360264.001) - Volatile Organic Chemicals Existing Are there conditions NO 0 pts Risk unchanged upgrading risk? Risk due to existing Potential contamination 28 pts The number and magnitude of Risk posed by potential sources contaminant sources in of contamination with controls Contaminant Risk Zone D determines a 28 pts risk increase. See Table Contaminant risks 4 for inventory. 2 pts Increase risk 1 - 10 pts Risk posed by potential sources of contamination *Truncate risk at 50 pts Contaminant risks* 28 Are there sufficient Contaminant Risk Ratings Medium controls, conditions, NO Risk unchanged 40 to 50 pts or monitoring to very high warrant downgrading 30 to < 40 pts 20 to < 30 pts medium risk? < 20 pts YES 0 pts Decrease risk 1 - 10 pts Risk posed by potential sources of contamination with controls 28 pts

Chart 8. Vulnerability analysis for Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat (PWS No 360264.001) - Volatile Organic Chemicals (Chart 1. Susceptibiltiy of the wellhead) Susceptibility of well Very High 42 pts Evaluate the susceptibility of the wellhead (Chart 7. Contaminant risks for wells - Volatile Organic Chemicals) Evaluate Susceptibility of wellhead contaminant risks Very High 20 pts Evaluate the (Chart 2. Susceptibility of the aquifer) Contaminant risks Medium susceptibility of the 28 pts aquifer within the protection area Susceptibility of aquifer Very High 22 pts Susceptibility of the well Contaminant risks Vulnerability of drinking water well to contamination Susceptibility of the wellhead Overall Vulnerability Ratings Susceptibility of aquifer 80 to 100 pts very high Susceptibility of well 60 to < 80 pts high 40 to < 60 pts medium Vulnerability of drinking water well < 40 pts 70 pts High **70**

Chart 9. Contaminant risks for Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat (PWS No 360264.001) - Heavy Metals, Cyanide and Other Inorganic Chemicals Contaminant risks initially assumed to be low. Current level of Evaluate the level of Contaminant risks contamination due to manbackground = 0 ptscontamination from made source(s) natural sources 50 pts NO or Is the concentration of Have heavy metals, UNKNOWN the contaminant cyanide or other inorganic increasing, decreasing, chemicals been detected or staying the same? in the source waters in Recent Metals Sampling Results recent sampling period(s)? (mg/L) 12/31/2002 3.5 Copper 12/31/2001 5.4 6/30/2000 1.8 Increasing: risk up 1 - 10 pts YES Decreasing: risk down 1 - 5 pts 0 pts Same: risk unchanged **Maximum Contaminant** % of Although other analytes may have reported above detection limits in recent sampling Level (MCL) in mg/L MCL events, the analyte reporting the highest 415% Copper= 1.3 percent MCL exceedence was used for assessing risk points. Points are based on Risk due to natural Risk due to existing manlinear interpolation of most recent detect Existing contamination points based on made sources sources [MCL = 50 pts; detect = 0 pts]linear interpolation of most recent detect 0 pts 50 pts [MCL = 50 pts; detect = 0 pts]Risk due to existing contamination 50 pts Evaluate the level Was the source of NO. of contamination contamination from man-made natural? sources YES

Page 14 of 25





sk Levels for Contaminant Sources identified in Zones A, B and C			
	Zone A	Zones B&C	Total
Very Highs(s)	0	0	0
High(s)	0	0	0
Medium(s)	0	0	0
Low(s)	36	0	36

(LOW 10 pts	MEDIUM 20 pts	HIGH 30 pts	VERY HIGH 40 pts
LOW	≥ 10 sources + 10 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts	≥ 20 sources + 5 pts	
MEDIUM		≥ 2 sources + 5 pts	≥ 5 sources + 5 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts
HIGH			≥ 1 source + 10 pts	≥ 2 sources + 10 pts
VERY HIGH				≥ 1 source + 10 pts

Matrix Score	20
--------------	----

Note: Septic systems, sewerlines, and roads are each assigned a risk ranking for each individual contaminant source in the CSI. The VA, however, counts these contaminant sources as a group and assigns a calculated number of either "lows" or "mediums" based on the density.





Chart 9. Contaminant risks for Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat (PWS No 360264.001) - Heavy Metals, Cyanide and Other Inorganic Chemicals Existing Are there conditions 50 pts Risk unchanged that warrant upgrading risk? Risk due to existing Potential contamination 28 pts The number and Risk posed by potential sources magnitude of of contamination with controls contaminant sources in Contaminant Risk Zone D determines a risk YES 78 pts Contaminant risks increase. See Table 4 for inventory. 2 pts Increase risk 1 - 10 pts Risk posed by potential sources of contamination 28 pts Contaminant risks* *Truncate risk at 50 pts 50 pts Contaminant Risk Ratings Are there sufficient Very High controls, conditions, NQ] Risk unchanged or monitoring to 40 to 50 pts very high 30 to < 40 pts warrant downgrading high 20 to < 30 pts risk? medium < 20 pts low YES 0 pts Decrease risk 1 - 10 pts Risk posed by potential sources of contamination with controls 28 pts

Page 16 of 25




Page 17 of 25

Chart 11. Contaminant risks for Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat (PWS No 360264.001) - Synthetic Organic Chemicals Contaminant risks initially assumed to be low. Current level of Evaluate the level of Contaminant risks contamination due to manbackground = 0 ptscontamination from made source(s) natural sources NO or Is the concentration of UNKNOWN the contaminant Have synthetic organic increasing, decreasing, chemicals been detected or staying the same? in the source waters in recent sampling period(s)? Recent SOC Sampling Results (mg/L) No recent SOC sampling data was available in ADEC records for this PWSID Increasing: risk up 1 - 10 pts YES Decreasing: risk down 1 - 5 pts + 0 pts Same: risk unchanged Existing contamination points based on linear interpolation of most recent detect [MCL = 50 pts; detect = 0 pts]Risk due to existing man-Risk due to natural made sources sources 0 pts 0 pts Risk due to existing contamination 0 pts Was the source of Evaluate the level of NO. contamination contamination from natural? man-made sources YES

Page 18 of 25





Risk Levels for Contaminant Sources identified in Zones A, B and C				
	Zone A	Zones B&C	Total	
Very Highs(s)	0	0	0	
High(s)	0	0	0	
Medium(s)	0	0	0	
Low(s)	3	0	3	

	LOW 10 pts	MEDIUM 20 pts	HIGH 30 pts	VERY HIGH 40 pts
LOW	≥ 10 sources + 10 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts	≥ 20 sources + 5 pts	
MEDIUM		≥ 2 sources + 5 pts	≥ 5 sources + 5 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts
HIGH			≥ 1 source + 10 pts	≥ 2 sources + 10 pts
VERY HIGH				≥ 1 source + 10 pts

Matrix Score 10

Note: Septic systems, sewerlines, and roads are each assigned a risk ranking for each individual contaminant source in the CSI. The VA, however, counts these contaminant sources as a group and assigns a calculated number of either "lows" or "mediums" based on the density.




Chart 11. Contaminant risks for Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat (PWS No 360264.001) - Synthetic Organic Chemicals Existing Are there conditions NO 0 pts Risk unchanged that warrant upgrading risk? Risk due to existing Potential contamination 18 pts The number and Risk posed by potential sources magnitude of of contamination with controls Contaminant Risk contaminant sources in YES Zone D determines a risk 18 pts Contaminant risks increase. See Table 4 for inventory. 5 pts Increase risk 1 - 10 pts Risk posed by potential sources of contamination 18 pts *Truncate risk at 50 pts Contaminant risks* 18 Contaminant Risk Ratings Are there sufficient Low controls, conditions, NO. Risk unchanged 40 to 50 pts or monitoring to very high 30 to < 40 ptswarrant downgrading high 20 to < 30 ptsrisk? medium < 20 pts low YES 0 pts Decrease risk 1 - 10 pts Risk posed by potential sources of contamination with controls 18 pts

Page 20 of 25

Chart 12. Vulnerability analysis for Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat (PWS No 360264.001) - Synthetic Organic Chemicals Susceptibility of well (Chart 1. Susceptibiltiy of the wellhead) Very High 42 pts Evaluate the susceptibility of the wellhead (Chart 11. Contaminant risks for wells -Evaluate Synthetic Organic Chemicals) contaminant Susceptibility of wellhead Very High risks 20 pts Evaluate the (Chart 2. Susceptibility of the aquifer) Contaminant risks Low susceptibility of the 18 pts aguifer within the protection area Susceptibility of aquifer Very High 22 pts Susceptibility of the well Contaminant risks Vulnerability of drinking water well to contamination Susceptibility of the wellhead Overall Vulnerability Ratings Susceptibility of aquifer 80 to 100 pts very high 60 to < 80 pts high Susceptibility of well 40 to < 60 ptsVulnerability of drinking water medium well < 40 pts low 60 pts High **60**


Page 21 of 25

Chart 13. Contaminant risks for Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat (PWS No 360264.001) - Other Organic Chemicals Contaminant risks initially assumed to be low. Current level of Evaluate the level of Contaminant risks contamination due to manbackground = 0 ptscontamination from made source(s) natural sources NO or Is the concentration of UNKNOWN the contaminant Have other organic increasing, decreasing, chemicals been detected or staying the same? in the source waters in recent sampling period(s)? Recent OOC Sampling Results (mg/L) No recent OOC sampling data was available in ADEC records for this PWSID Increasing: risk up 1 - 10 pts YES Decreasing: risk down 1 - 5 pts + 0 pts Same: risk unchanged Existing contamination points based on linear interpolation of most recent detect [MCL = 50 pts; detect = 0 pts]Risk due to existing man-Risk due to natural made sources sources 0 pts 0 pts Risk due to existing contamination 0 pts Was the source of Evaluate the level of NO. contamination contamination from natural? man-made sources

Page 22 of 25

YES





isk Levels for Contaminant Sources identified in Zones A, B and C			
	Zone A	Zones B&C	Total
Very Highs(s)	0	0	0
High(s)	0	0	0
Medium(s)	0	0	0
Low(s)	3	0	3

	LOW 10 pts	MEDIUM 20 pts	HIGH 30 pts	VERY HIGH 40 pts
LOW	≥ 10 sources + 10 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts	≥ 20 sources + 5 pts	
MEDIUM		≥ 2 sources + 5 pts	≥ 5 sources + 5 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts
HIGH			≥ 1 source + 10 pts	≥ 2 sources + 10 pts
VERY HIGH				≥ 1 source + 10 pts

Matrix Score 10

Note: Septic systems, sewerlines, and roads are each assigned a risk ranking for each individual contaminant source in the CSI. The VA, however, counts these contaminant sources as a group and assigns a calculated number of either "lows" or "mediums" based on the density.




Chart 13. Contaminant risks for Ruby Washeteria & Laundromat (PWS No 360264.001) - Other Organic Chemicals Existing NO Are there conditions 0 pts Risk unchanged that warrant upgrading risk? Risk due to existing Potential contamination 18 pts The number and magnitude of Risk posed by potential sources of contamination with controls contaminant sources in Contaminant Risk Zone D determines a risk YES 18 pts Contaminant risks increase. See Table 4 for inventory. 5 pts Increase risk 1 - 10 pts Risk posed by potential sources of contamination 18 pts Contaminant risks* *Truncate risk at 50 pts 18 Are there sufficient Contaminant Risk Ratings Low controls, conditions, NO. Risk unchanged 40 to 50 pts very high or monitoring to 30 to < 40 ptswarrant downgrading high 20 to < 30 ptsrisk? medium < 20 pts low YES 0 pts Decrease risk 1 - 10 pts

Page 24 of 25

Risk posed by potential sources of contamination with controls 18 pts

