



# **Source Water Assessment**

A Hydrogeologic Susceptibility and Vulnerability Assessment for Oscarville Watering Point Drinking Water System, Oscarville, Alaska

PWSID # 270061.001

April 2004

DRINKING WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM REPORT 1408 Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

# Source Water Assessment for Oscarville Watering Point Drinking Water System Oscarville, Alaska

# PWSID # 270061.001

### DRINKING WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM REPORT 1408

The Drinking Water Protection Program (DWPP) is producing Source Water Assessments in compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1996. Each assessment includes a delineation of the source water area, an inventory of potential and existing contaminant sources that may impact the water, a risk ranking for each of these contaminants, and an evaluation of the potential vulnerability of these drinking water sources.

These assessments are intended to provide public water systems owners/operators, communities, and local governments with the best available information that may be used to protect the quality of their drinking water. The assessments combine information obtained from various sources, including the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC), public water system owners/operators, and other public information sources. The results of this assessment are subject to change if additional data becomes available. It is anticipated this assessment will be updated every five years to reflect any changes in the vulnerability and/or susceptibility of public drinking water source. If you have any additional information that may affect the results of this assessment, please contact the Program Coordinator of DWPP, (907) 269-7521.

# **CONTENTS**

PUBLIC DRIN	IKINO	MARY1 G WATER SYSTEM1 R PROTECTION AREA2	INVENTORY OF POTENTIAL AND EXISTING CONTAMINANT SOURCES
		TABI	LES
Table 2. Susce Table 3. Cont	eptibil amina	litynt Risks	
		APPENI	DICES
APPENDIX	A.	Oscarville Watering Point Drinking V	Vater Protection Area (Map A)
	В.	<ul> <li>Bacteria and Viruses (Table 2)</li> <li>Contaminant Source Inventory and R</li> <li>Nitrates/Nitrites (Table 3)</li> <li>Contaminant Source Inventory and R</li> <li>Volatile Organic Chemicals (Table Contaminant Source Inventory and R</li> <li>Heavy Metals, Cyanide and Other I Contaminant Source Inventory and R</li> <li>Synthetic Organic Chemicals (Table</li> </ul>	isk Ranking for Oscarville Watering Point isk Ranking for Oscarville Watering Point isk Ranking for Oscarville Watering Point 4) isk Ranking for Oscarville Watering Point norganic Chemicals (Table 5) isk Ranking for Oscarville Watering Point
	C.	Oscarville Watering Point Drinking V and Existing Contaminant Source	
	D.		ant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for 2 Drinking Water Source (Charts 1 – 14)

# Source Water Assessment for Oscarville Watering Point Source of Public Drinking Water, Oscarville, Alaska

# **Drinking Water Protection Program Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation**

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Oscarville Watering Point has one Public Water System (PWS) well. The well (PWS No. 270061.001) has been used as a drinking water source since it was drilled in 1989.

The well is a Class A (community and non-transient/non-community) water system located approximately 300 feet southwest of the Oscarville Slough in Oscarville, Alaska. Available records indicate that there is drinking water storage, with a capacity of 4,200-gallons, and that the drinking water source is treated with sodium hypochlorite. This system operates year round and serves approximately 54 residents and 21 non-residents through one service connection. The wellhead received a susceptibility rating of **Very High** and the aquifer received a susceptibility rating of **Medium**. Combining these two ratings produce a **High** rating for the natural susceptibility of the well.

Identified potential and current sources of contaminants for the public drinking water source include: Laundromats, domestic wastewater treatment plant disposal ponds/lagoons, aboveground fuel tanks, water supply wells, cemeteries, municipal or city parks, petroleum product bulk station/terminals, roads, electric power generation, and a medical/veterinary facility. These identified potential and existing sources of contamination are considered as sources of bacteria and viruses, nitrates and/or nitrites, volatile organic chemicals, heavy metals, cyanide and other inorganic chemicals, synthetic organic chemicals, and other organic chemicals contaminant categories.

Overall, the water well received a vulnerability rating of **Medium** for synthetic organic chemicals, a vulnerability rating of **High** for bacteria and viruses, and heavy metals, cyanide and other inorganic chemicals, and a vulnerability rating of **Very High** for nitrates and nitrites, volatile organic chemicals, and other organic chemicals.

### PUBLIC DRINKING WATER SYSTEM

The Oscarville Watering Point well is a Class A (community/non-transient/non-community) public water system. The system is located approximately 300 feet southwest of the Oscarville Slough in Oscarville, Alaska (Sec. 5, T7N, R71W, Seward Meridian; see Map A of Appendix A). Oscarville is located on the north bank of the Kuskokwim River, opposite of Napaskiak. The community is located 6 miles southwest of Bethel and 401 miles west of Anchorage. Oscarville has a population of 62 (ADCED, 2003). Average annual precipitation for Oscarville is 16 inches, including approximately 50 inches of snowfall. Temperatures range from 42 to 62°F in summer and -2 to 19°F in winter.

The community of Oscarville obtains most of their water from a community well, however, a few homes have individual systems that collect and use rainwater. Honey buckets are disposed of by individuals in the sewage lagoon. (ADCED, 2003). Electricity is provided by the Bethel Utilities Corporation. Power generating facilities are fueled by diesel. Refuse is collected by individuals and transported to the landfill (ADCED, 2003).

According to information supplied by ADEC for the Oscarville Watering Point PWS, the depth of the primary water well is 44 feet below the ground surface. Well construction details are unknown; however, it is assumed that the well is screened in a confined aquifer based on surrounding wells. The well is located within a floodplain.

Information acquired from a February 2000 sanitary survey for the public water system indicated that the land surface was sloped away from the well. Generally, land surfaces that slope away from the wellhead promote surface water drainage, which reduces the potential of contaminant migration down the well casing annulus. The sanitary survey indicates that the well is grouted according to ADEC regulations. Proper grouting provides added protection against contaminants traveling along the well casing annulus and into source waters.

The Bethel area is near the southern border of the continuous permafrost zone, and most of the area west of the Kuskokwim River appear to be underlain with permafrost. The permafrost generally extends to a depth of at least 300 feet bgs, with depths of over 600 feet bgs recorded in some areas. The geology in the area consists primarily of unconsolidated floodplain alluvium, silt deposits, and reworked silt. The Bethel area consists of poorly drained wetlands that have permanently ponded water in local depressions. Sloughs, small lakes, ponds, and marshes in meander scars surround Bethel and surrounding communities (Dames & Moore, 1996).

#### DRINKING WATER PROTECTION AREA

In order to evaluate whether a drinking water source is at risk, we must first evaluate what are the most likely pathways for surface contamination to reach the groundwater. These areas are determined by looking at the characteristics of the soil, groundwater, aquifer, and well.

The most probable area for contamination to reach the drinking water well is the area that contributes water to the well, the groundwater recharge area. This area is designated as the drinking water protection area (DWPA). Because releases of contaminants within the protection area are most likely to impact the drinking water well, this area will serve as the focus for voluntary protection efforts. An analytical calculation was used to determine the size and shape of the DWPA for the Oscarville Watering Point PWS. The input parameters describing the attributes of the aquifer in this calculation were adopted from Groundwater (Freeze and Cherry, 1979). Available geology and groundwater contours were also considered to take into account any uncertainties in groundwater flow and aquifer characteristics to arrive at a meaningful protection area.

The protection areas established for wells by the ADEC are usually separated into four zones, limited by the watershed. These zones correspond to differences in the time-of-travel (TOT) of the water moving through the aquifer to the well (Please refer to the Guidance Manual for Class A Public Water Systems for additional information).

The time of travel for contaminants within the water varies and is dependent on the physical and chemical characteristics of each contaminant. The following is a summary of the four protection area zones for wells and the calculated time -of-travel for each:

Table 1. Definition of Zones

Zone	Definition
A	<sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub> the distance for the 2-yr. time -of-travel
В	Less than the 2 year time-of-travel
C	Less Than the 5 year time -of-travel
D	Less than the 10 year time -of-travel
	•

The DWPA for the Oscarville Watering Point PWS was determined using an analytical calculation and includes Zones A, B, C, and D (See Map A of Appendix A).

# INVENTORY OF POTENTIAL AND EXISTING CONTAMINANT SOURCES

The Drinking Water Protection Program has completed an inventory of potential and existing sources of contamination within the Oscarville Watering Point DWPA. This inventory was completed through a search of agency records and other publicly available information. Potential sources of contamination to the drinking water aquifer include a wide range of categories and types. Potential drinking water contaminants are found within agricultural, residential, commercial, and industrial areas, but can also occur within areas that have little or no development.

For the basis of all Class A public water system assessments, six categories of drinking water contaminants were inventoried. They include:

- Bacteria and viruses.
- Nitrates and/or nitrites,
- Volatile organic chemicals,
- Heavy metals, cyanide and other inorganic chemicals,
- Synthetic organic chemicals,
- Other organic chemicals.

The sources are displayed on Map C of Appendix C and summarized in Table 1 of Appendix B.

### RANKING OF CONTAMINANT RISKS

Once the potential and existing sources of contamination have been identified, they are assigned a ranking according to what type and level of risk they represent. Ranking of contaminant risks for a "potential" or "existing" source of contamination is a function of toxicity and volumes of specific contaminants associated with that source. Rankings include:

• Low,

- Medium,
- High, and
- Very High.

The time-of-travel for contaminants within the water varies and is dependent on the physical and chemical characteristics of each contaminant. Bacteria and Viruses are only inventoried in Zones A and B because of their short life span. Only "Very High" and "High" rankings are inventoried within the outer Zone D due to the probability of contaminant dilution by the time the contaminants get to the well. Tables 2 through 4 in Appendix B contain the ranking of potential and existing sources of contamination with respect to bacteria and viruses, nitrates and/or nitrites, volatile organic chemicals, heavy metals, cyanide and other inorganic chemicals, synthetic organic chemicals, and other organic chemicals.

# VULNERABILITY OF THE DRINKING WATER SYSTEM

Vulnerability of a drinking water source to contamination is a combination of two factors:

- Natural susceptibility, and
- Contaminant risks.

Appendix D contains fourteen charts, which together form the 'Vulnerability Analysis' for a source water assessment for a public drinking water source. Chart 1 analyzes the 'Susceptibility of the Wellhead' to contamination by looking at the construction of the well and its surrounding area. Chart 2 analyzes the 'Susceptibility of the Aquifer' to contamination by looking at the naturally occurring attributes of the water source and influences on the groundwater system that might lead to contamination. Chart 3 analyzes 'Contaminant Risks' for the drinking water source with respect to bacteria and viruses. The 'Contaminant Risks' portion of the analysis considers potential sources of contaminants as well as a review of contamination that has or may have occurred, but has not arrived or been detected at the well. Chart 4 contains the 'Vulnerability Analysis for Bacteria and Viruses'. Charts 5 through 14 contain the Contaminant Risks and Vulnerability Analyses for nitrates and nitrites, volatile organic chemicals, heavy metals, cyanide and other inorganic chemicals, synthetic organic chemicals, and other organic chemicals, respectively.

A score for the Natural Susceptibility is reached by considering the properties of the well and the aquifer.

Susceptibility of the Wellhead (0 – 25 Points) (Chart 1 of Appendix D)

+

Susceptibility of the Aquifer (0 – 25 Points) (Chart 2 of Appendix D)

=

Natural Susceptibility (Susceptibility of the Well) (0-50 Points)

A ranking is assigned for the Natural Susceptibility according to the point score:

Natural Susceptibility Ratings								
40 to 50 pts	Very High							
30 to < 40 pts	High							
20 to < 30 pts	Medium							
< 20 pts	Low							

The Oscarville Watering Point's water well is in a confined aquifer. Confined aquifers are less susceptible to potential groundwater quality impacts posed by the migration of surface water contaminants downward from the surface. Table 2 shows the susceptibility scores and ratings for this PWS.

Table 2. Susceptibility

	Score	Rating
Susceptibility of the	20	Very High
Wellhead		
Susceptibility of the	13	Medium
Aquifer		
Natural Susceptibility	33	High

Contaminant risks to a drinking water source depend on the type, number or density, and distribution of contaminant sources. This score has been derived from an examination of existing and historical contamination that has been detected at the drinking water source through routine sampling. It also evaluates potential sources of contamination. Flow charts are used to assign a point score, and ratings are assigned in the same way as for the natural susceptibility:

Contaminant Risk Ratings							
40 to 50 pts	Very High						
30 to < 40 pts	High						
20 to < 30 pts	Medium						
< 20 pts	Low						

Category	Score	Rating
Bacteria and Viruses	40	Very High
Nitrates and/or Nitrites	50	Very High
Volatile Organic Chemical	ls 50	Very High
Heavy Metals, Cyanide an	ıd	
Other Inorganic Chemicals	36	High
Synthetic Organic Chemica	als 25	Medium
Other Organic Chemicals	50	Very High

Finally, an overall vulnerability score is assigned for each water system by combining each of the contaminant risk scores with the natural susceptibility score:

+

Contaminant Risks (0 – 50 points)

=

Vulnerability of the Drinking Water Source to Contamination (0-100).

Again, rankings are assigned according to a point score:

Overall Vulnerability Ratings									
80 to 100 pts	Very High								
60 to < 80 pts	High								
40 to < 60 pts	Medium								
< 40 pts	Low								

Table 4 contains the overall vulnerability scores (0 – 100) and ratings for each of the six categories of drinking water contaminants. Note: scores are rounded off to the nearest five.

**Table 4. Overall Vulnerability** 

Category	Score	Rating
Bacteria and Viruses	75	High
Nitrates and Nitrites	85	Very High
Volatile Organic Chemicals	85	Very High
Heavy Metals, Cyanide and		
Other Inorganic Chemicals	65	High
Synthetic Organic Chemicals	55	Medium

Other Organic Chemicals

Very High

### **Bacteria and Viruses**

The contaminant risk for bacteria and viruses is **Very High**. The risk is primarily attributed to the presence of a domestic wastewater treatment plant disposal pond/lagoon in ZoneA (see Table 2 – Appendix B).

No positive bacteria counts have been reported in recent (within five years) sampling events (See Chart 3 – Contaminant Risks for Bacteria and Viruses in Appendix D). Only a small amount of bacteria and viruses are required to endanger public health.

After combining the contaminant risk for bacteria and viruses with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is **High**.

#### **Nitrates and Nitrites**

The contaminant risk for nitrates and nitrites is **Very High**. The risk to this source of public drinking water is primarily attributed to the presence of a domestic wastewater treatment plant disposal pond/lagoon in Zone A (see Table 3 – Appendix B).

Nitrates are very mobile, moving at approximately the same rate as water. The sampling history for this well indicates that moderate levels of nitrates have been detected in recent sampling events. However, the reported concentrations of nitrates do not exceed the maximum contaminant level (MCL) of 10 mg/L. Nitrate concentrations in uncontaminated groundwater are typically less than 2 mg/L; therefore, nitrate concentrations above 2 mg/L may be indicative of man-made sources (See Chart 5 - Contaminant Risks for Nitrates and/or Nitrites in Appendix D).

Nitrate levels are often derived from the decomposition of organic matter in soils. Although the nitrate source is unknown, such occurrences may be attributed to septic systems or other sources. After combining the contaminant risk for nitrates and nitrites with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to nitrate and nitrite contamination is **Very High** 

### **Volatile Organic Chemicals**

The contaminant risk for volatile organic chemicals is **Very High**. The risk is primarily attributed to the presence of petroleum product bulk stations/terminals located in Zone A. Numerous other potential contaminant sources are also found within the protection area (see Table 4 – Appendix B).

All recent sampling data for VOCs were below detection levels for the Oscarville Watering Point (See Chart 7 – Contaminant Risks for Volatile Organic Chemicals in Appendix D).

After combining the contaminant risk for volatile organic chemicals with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is **Very High** 

### **Heavy Metals, Cyanide and Other Inorganic** Chemicals

The contaminant risk for heavy metals, cyanide and other inorganic chemicals is **High**. The risk is primarily attributed to the presence of electric power generation located in Zone A. Numerous other potential contaminant sources are also found within the protection area (see Table 5 – Appendix B).

Based on review of recent sampling records for this public water system, low levels of barium and fluoride have been detected, but have not exc eeded their respective MCLs of 2.0 mg/L and 2.0 mg/L (see Chart 9 – Contaminant Risks for Heavy Metals, Cyanide, and Other Inorganic Chemicals in Appendix D).

After combining the contaminant risk for heavy metals, cyanide and other inorganic chemicals with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is **High**.

### **Synthetic Organic Chemicals**

The contaminant risk for synthetic organic chemicals is **Medium**. The risk is primarily attributed to the presence of a cemetery in Zone A. Numerous other potential contaminant sources are also found within the protection area (see Table 6 – Appendix B).

No recent sampling data was available in ADEC records for the Oscarville Watering Point (See Chart 11 – Contaminant Ris ks for Synthetic Organic Chemicals in Appendix D).

After combining the contaminant risk for synthetic organic chemicals with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is **Medium** 

### **Other Organic Chemicals**

The contaminant risk for other organic chemicals is **Very High**. The risk is primarily attributed to the presence of petroleum product bulk stations/terminals and electric power generation in Zone A. Numerous

other potential contaminant sources are also found within the protection area (see Table 7 – Appendix B).

No recent sampling data was available in ADEC records for the Oscarville Watering Point (See Chart 13 – Contaminant Risks for Other Organic Chemicals in Appendix D).

After combining the contaminant risk for other organic chemicals with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is **Very High** 

### **Using the Source Water Assessment**


This assessment of contaminant risks can be used as a foundation for local voluntary protection efforts as well as a basis for the continuous efforts on the part of the community of Oscarville to protect public health. It is anticipated that Source Water Assessments will be updated every five years to reflect any changes in the vulnerability and/or susceptibility of the drinking water source.

### **REFERENCES**

- Alaska Department of Community and Economic Development (ADCED), 2003 [WWW document]. URL: http://www.dced.state.ak.us/cbd/commdb/CF\_COMDB.htm
- Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, Contaminated Sites Database, 2003 [WWW database], URL <a href="http://www.state.ak.us/dec/dspar/csites/cs">http://www.state.ak.us/dec/dspar/csites/cs</a> search.htm
- Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, Leaking Underground Storage Tank Database, 2003 [WWW database], URL <a href="http://www.dec.state.ak.us/spar/stp/ust/search/fac\_search.asp">http://www.dec.state.ak.us/spar/stp/ust/search/fac\_search.asp</a>
- Dames & Moore, 1996. Final Water and Sewer Facilities Master Plan Update Report, City of Bethel.
- Freeze, R. A., and Cherry, J.A. 1979, Groundwater, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2002 [WWW document]. URL <a href="http://www.epa.gov/safewater/mcl.html">http://www.epa.gov/safewater/mcl.html</a>.

# **APPENDIX A**

# Drinking Water Protection Area Location Map (Map A)



# **APPENDIX B**

# Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking (Tables 1-7)

# Contaminant Source Inventory for Oscarville Watering Point

### PWSID 270061.001

Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Map Number	Comments
Laundromats without dry cleaning	C22	C22-01	A	С	
Domestic wastewater treatment plant disposal ponds/lagoons	D02	D02-01	A	С	
Tanks, heating oil, residential (above ground)	R08	R08-01	A	С	Assume 15 or less residential heating oil tanks in Zone A
Tanks, diesel (above ground)	T06	T06-01	A	С	Generator Plant
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-01	A	С	Generator/Utility Building
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-02	A	C	Clinic
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-03	A	C	Teachers Quarters
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-04	A	C	Community Hall/Washeteria
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-05	A	C	Traditional Council Office
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-06	A	C	Qugcuun Memorial High
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-07	A	C	Per operator, gas
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-08	A	С	Per operator, stove oil
Water supply wells	W09	W09-01	A	С	1 water supply well in Zone A
Cemeteries	X01	X01-01	A	С	
Municipal or city parks (with green areas)	X04	X04-01	A	С	Play Deck
Petroleum product bulk station/terminals	X11	X11-01	A	С	Generator Plant
Petroleum product bulk station/terminals	X11	X11-02	A	С	LKSD
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24	X24-01	A	С	Assume 1-20 roads in Zone A
Electric power generation (fossil fuels)	X36	X36-01	A	С	Generator/Utility Building
Medical/veterinary facilities (doctor or dentist offices, hospitals, nursing homes)	X40	X40-01	A	С	
Tanks, heating oil, residential (above ground)	R08	R08-02	В	C	Assume 5 or less residential heating oil tanks in Zone B
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24	X24-02	В	С	Assume 1-20 roads in Zone B

### Table 2

# Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Oscarville Watering Point Sources of Bacteria and Viruses

Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Risk Ranking for Analysis	Map Number	Comments
Laundromats without dry cleaning	C22	C22-01	A	Low	C	
Domestic wastewater treatment plant disposal ponds/lagoons	D02	D02-01	A	High	С	
Municipal or city parks (with green areas)	X04	X04-01	A	Medium	C	Play Deck
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24	X24-01	A	Low	С	Assume 1-20 roads in Zone A
Medical/veterinary facilities (doctor or dentist offices, hospitals, nursing homes)	X40	X40-01	A	Medium	С	
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24	X24-02	В	Low	С	Assume 1-20 roads in Zone B

### Table 3

# Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Oscarville Watering Point Sources of Nitrates/Nitrites

Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Risk Ranking for Analysis	Map Number	Comments
Laundromats without dry cleaning	C22	C22-01	A	Low	С	
Domestic wastewater treatment plant disposal ponds/lagoons	D02	D02-01	A	High	С	
Cemeteries	X01	X01-01	A	Medium	С	
Municipal or city parks (with green areas)	X04	X04-01	A	Medium	С	Play Deck
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24	X24-01	A	Low	С	Assume 1-20 roads in Zone A
Medical/veterinary facilities (doctor or dentist offices, hospitals, nursing homes)	X40	X40-01	A	Low	С	
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24	X24-02	В	Low	С	Assume 1-20 roads in Zone B

Table 4

# Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Oscarville Watering Point Sources of Volatile Organic Chemicals

Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Risk Ranking for Analysis	Map Number	Comments
Laundromats without dry cleaning	C22	C22-01	A	Low	С	
Domestic wastewater treatment plant disposal ponds/lagoons	D02	D02-01	A	Low	С	
Tanks, heating oil, residential (above ground)	R08	R08-01	A	Medium	C	Assume 15 or less residential heating oil tanks in Zone A
Tanks, diesel (above ground)	T06	T06-01	A	Medium	С	Generator Plant
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-01	A	Low	С	Generator/Utility Building
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-02	A	Low	С	Clinic
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-03	A	Low	С	Teachers Quarters
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-04	A	Low	С	Community Hall/Washeteria
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-05	A	Low	С	Traditional Council Office
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-06	A	Low	С	Qugcuun Memorial High
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-07	A	Low	С	Per operator, gas
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-08	A	Low	С	Per operator, stove oil
Petroleum product bulk station/terminals	X11	X11-01	A	Very High	С	Generator Plant
Petroleum product bulk station/terminals	X11	X11-02	A	Very High	С	LKSD
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24	X24-01	A	Low	С	Assume 1-20 roads in Zone A
Electric power generation (fossil fuels)	X36	X36-01	A	Medium	С	Generator/Utility Building
Medical/veterinary facilities (doctor or dentist offices, hospitals, nursing homes)	X40	X40-01	A	Low	С	
Tanks, heating oil, residential (above ground)	R08	R08-02	В	Medium	С	Assume 5 or less residential heating oil tanks in Zone B
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24	X24-02	В	Low	С	Assume 1-20 roads in Zone B

Table 5

# Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Oscarville Watering Point Sources of Heavy Metals, Cyanide and Other Inorganic Chemicals

Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Risk Ranking for Analysis	Map Number	Comments
Domestic wastewater treatment plant disposal ponds/lagoons	D02	D02-01	A	Low	С	
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-01	A	Low	C	Generator/Utility Building
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-02	A	Low	С	Clinic
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-03	A	Low	С	Teachers Quarters
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-04	A	Low	С	Community Hall/Washeteria
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-05	A	Low	С	Traditional Council Office
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-06	A	Low	С	Qugcuun Memorial High
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-07	A	Low	С	Per operator, gas
Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground)	T14	T14-08	A	Low	С	Per operator, stove oil
Cemeteries	X01	X01-01	A	Low	С	
Municipal or city parks (with green areas)	X04	X04-01	A	Low	С	Play Deck
Petroleum product bulk station/terminals	X11	X11-01	A	Low	С	Generator Plant
Petroleum product bulk station/terminals	X11	X11-02	A	Low	С	LKSD
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24	X24-01	A	Low	С	Assume 1-20 roads in Zone A
Electric power generation (fossil fuels)	X36	X36-01	A	Medium	С	Generator/Utility Building
Medical/veterinary facilities (doctor or dentist offices, hospitals, nursing homes)	X40	X40-01	A	Low	С	
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24	X24-02	В	Low	C	Assume 1-20 roads in Zone B

### Table 6

# Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Oscarville Watering Point Sources of Synthetic Organic Chemicals

Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Risk Ranking for Analysis	Map Number	Comments
Domestic wastewater treatment plant disposal ponds/lagoons	D02	D02-01	A	Low	С	
Cemeteries	X01	X01-01	A	Medium	C	
Municipal or city parks (with green areas)	X04	X04-01	A	Low	С	Play Deck
Petroleum product bulk station/terminals	X11	X11-01	A	Low	С	Generator Plant
Petroleum product bulk station/terminals	X11	X11-02	A	Low	С	LKSD
Medical/veterinary facilities (doctor or dentist offices, hospitals, nursing homes)	X40	X40-01	A	Low	С	

### Table 7


# Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Oscarville Watering Point Sources of Other Organic Chemicals

Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Risk Ranking for Analysis	Map Number	Comments
Domestic wastewater treatment plant disposal ponds/lagoons	D02	D02-01	A	Low	С	
Petroleum product bulk station/terminals	X11	X11-01	A	High	C	Generator Plant
Petroleum product bulk station/terminals	X11	X11-02	A	High	C	LKSD
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24	X24-01	A	Low	C	Assume 1-20 roads in Zone A
Electric power generation (fossil fuels)	X36	X36-01	A	High	C	Generator/Utility Building
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24	X24-02	В	Low	С	Assume 1-20 roads in Zone B

# **APPENDIX C**

# Drinking Water Protection Area and Potential and Existing Contaminant Sources (Map C)

# Public Water Well System for PWS #270061.001 Oscarville Showing Potential and Existing Sources of Contamination



# **APPENDIX D**

Vulnerability Analysis for Public Drinking Water Source (Charts 1-14)

Susceptibility initially assumed to be low. Susceptibility of wellhead = 0 pts Is the well Increase susceptibility 5 pts + 0 pts properly grouted? Is the well Increase susceptibility 20 pts 0 pts capped? YES YES Very High Susceptibility of wellhead 20 pts Increase susceptibility: YES Is the well 10 pts: suspected floodplain + 20 pts within a Wellhead Susceptibility Ratings 20 pts: known floodplain floodplain? 20 to 25 pts very high 15 to < 20 pts 10 to < 15 pts medium NO < 10 pts low Is the land Increase susceptibility 5 pts surface sloped 0 pts away from the

Chart 1. Susceptibility of the wellhead - Oscarville Watering Point (PWS No. 270061.001)

Chart 2. Susceptibility of the aquifer Oscarville Watering Point (PWS No. 270061.001)




Chart 3. Contaminant risks for Oscarville Watering Point (PWS No. 270061.001) - Bacteria & Viruses




Chart 3. Contaminant risks for Oscarville Watering Point (PWS No. 270061.001) - Bacteria & Viruses NO Are there sufficient Initial assessment of risk posed by Risk unchanged controls, conditions, or potential sources of contamination monitoring to warrant = 30 pts downgrading risk? Are any YES significant Risk unchanged contaminant Reduce risk 1 - 10 pts sources within - 0 pts Zone A? The number and magnitude of Risk posed by potential sources of contaminant sources in YES contamination with controls Zone A determines a risk increase. See Table 2 for + 10 pts Increase risk 1 - 10 pts inventory. Existing Risk due to existing 0 pts contamination Are there any conditions that Risk unchanged Risk posed by potential sources warrant upgrading Potential of contamination with controls risk? 40 pts Contaminant risks Contaminant Risk YES 40 pts Increase risk 1 - 10 pts + 0 pts Contaminant risks\* \* Truncate risk at 50 pts 40 Contaminant Risk Ratings Risk posed by potential sources of contamination very high 40 to 50 pts 40 30 to < 40 ptshigh Very High  $20 \text{ to} \le 30 \text{ pts}$ 

Page 4 of 25




Chart 5. Contaminant risks for Oscarville Watering Point (PWS No. 270061.001) - Nitrates and Nitrites Contaminant risks initially assumed to be low. Current level of Evaluate the level of Contaminant risks background contamination due to man-= 0 ptscontamination from made source(s) natural sources Is the concentration of NO Has nitrates and/or the contaminant nitrites been detected in increasing, decreasing, the source waters in or staying the same? recent sampling period(s)? Recent Nitrate Sampling Results (mg/L) 7/22/2003 3.68 Increasing: risk up 1 - 10 pts YES Decreasing: risk down 1 - 5 pts + 0 pts Same: risk unchanged The nitrate concentration is Maximum Contaminant assumed to be natural if less Level (MCL) = 10 mg/Lthan 2 mg/L (20%), or Detected Nitrate Level = attributed to man made sources if greater than 2 Existing contamination points based on Risk due to existing man-Risk due to natural mg/L. linear interpolation of most recent detect sources made sources [MCL = 50 pts; detect = 0 pts]0 pts 18 pts Risk due to existing contamination 18 pts Was the source of Evaluate the level of NO contamination contamination from natural? man-made sources YES

Chart 5. Contaminant risks for Oscarville Watering Point (PWS No. 270061.001) - Nitrates and Nitrites





Chart 5. Contaminant risks for Oscarville Watering Point (PWS No. 270061.001) - Nitrates and Nitrites Existing NO Are there conditions 18 pts Risk unchanged that warrant upgrading risk? Risk due to existing Potential contamination 40 pts The number and magnitude of Risk posed by potential sources contaminant sources in of contamination with controls Contaminant Risk Zone D determines a risk YES 58 pts increase. See Table 3 for Contaminant risks inventory. 0 pts Increase risk 1 - 10 pts Risk posed by potential sources of contamination 40 pts \*Truncate risk at 50 pts Contaminant risks\* 50 Contaminant Risk Ratings Are there sufficient Very High controls, conditions, NO. Risk unchanged or monitoring to 40 to 50 pts very high warrant downgrading 30 to < 40 pts high 20 to < 30 pts risk? medium < 20 pts low YES 0 pts Decrease risk 1 - 10 pts Risk posed by potential sources of contamination with controls

Page 8 of 25

Chart 6. Vulnerability analysis for Oscarville Watering Point (PWS No. 270061.001) - Nitrates and Nitrites (Chart 1. Susceptibiltiy of the wellhead) Susceptibility of well High 33 pts Evaluate the susceptibility of the wellhead (Chart 5. Contaminant risks for wells - Nitrates and Nitrites) Evaluate Susceptibility of wellhead contaminant risks Very High 20 pts Evaluate the (Chart 2. Susceptibility of the aquifer) Contaminant risks Very High susceptibility of the 50 pts aquifer within the protection area Susceptibility of aquifer Medium 13 pts Susceptibility of the well Contaminant risks Vulnerability of drinking water well to contamination Susceptibility of the wellhead Overall Vulnerability Ratings Susceptibility of aquifer 80 to 100 pts very high Susceptibility of well 60 to < 80 pts high 40 to < 60 pts medium Vulnerability of drinking water well < 40 pts 83 pts Very High **85** 

Chart 7. Contaminant risks for Oscarville Watering Point (PWS No. 270061.001) - Volatile Organic Chemicals Contaminant risks initially assumed to be Current level of Evaluate the level of Contaminant risks contamination due to manbackground =0 pts contamination from made source(s) natural sources 0 pts Is the concentration of the NO contaminant increasing, Have volatile organic decreasing, or staying the chemicals been detected ir same? the source waters in recent sampling period(s)? Recent VOC Sampling Results (mg/L) All recent VOC sampling data was below detection levels (ND) Increasing: risk up 1 - 10 pts YES Decreasing: risk down 1 - 5 pts + 0 pts Same: risk unchanged Existing contamination points based on linear interpolation of most recent detect [MCL = 50 pts; detect = 0 pts] Risk due to existing man-Risk due to natural sources made sources 0 pts 0 pts Risk due to existing contamination 0 pts Was the source of Evaluate the level of contamination contamination from mannatural? made sources YES





Risk Levels for Contam	inant Sources	identified in Zones A,	B and C	
	Zone A	Zones B&C	Total	
Very Highs(s)	2	0	2	
High(s)	0	0	0	
Medium(s)	18	6	24	
Low(s)	12	1	13	

	LOW 10 pts	MEDIUM 20 pts	HIGH 30 pts	VERY HIGH 40 pts
LOW	≥ 10 sources + 10 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts	≥ 20 sources + 5 pts	
MEDIUM		≥ 2 sources + 5 pts	≥ 5 sources + 5 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts
HIGH			≥ 1 source + 10 pts	≥ 2 sources + 10 pts
VERY HIGH				≥ 1 source + 10 pts

Matrix Score 50

Note: Septic systems, sewerlines, and roads are each assigned a risk ranking for each individual contaminant source in the CSI. The VA, however, counts these contaminant sources as a group and assigns a calculated number of either "lows" or "mediums" based on the density.




Chart 7. Contaminant risks for Oscarville Watering Point (PWS No. 270061.001) - Volatile Organic Chemicals Existing NO Are there conditions 0 pts Risk unchanged that warrant upgrading Risk due to existing risk? Potential contamination The number and 60 pts magnitude of Risk posed by potential sources contaminant sources in of contamination with controls Contaminant Risk Zone D determines a risk YES increase. See Table 4 for 60 pts Contaminant risks inventory. + 0 pts Increase risk 1 - 10 pts Risk posed by potential sources of contamination 60 pts \*Truncate risk at 50 pts Contaminant risks\* Contaminant Risk Ratings Are there sufficient Very High NO , controls, conditions, or Risk unchanged 40 to 50 pts very high monitoring to warrant 30 to < 40 pts high downgrading risk? 20 to < 30 pts medium < 20 pts YES 0 pts Decrease risk 1 - 10 pts Risk posed by potential sources of contamination with controls

Page 12 of 25


Chart 8. Vulnerability analysis for Oscarville Watering Point (PWS No. 270061.001) - Volatile Organic Chemicals (Chart 1. Susceptibiltiy of the wellhead) Susceptibility of well High 33 pts Evaluate the susceptibility of the wellhead (Chart 7. Contaminant risks for wells - Volatile Organic Chemicals) Evaluate Susceptibility of wellhead contaminant risks Very High 20 pts Evaluate the (Chart 2. Susceptibility of the aquifer) Contaminant risks Very High susceptibility of the 50 pts aquifer within the protection area Susceptibility of aquifer Medium 13 pts Susceptibility of the well Contaminant risks Vulnerability of drinking water well to contamination Susceptibility of the wellhead Overall Vulnerability Ratings Susceptibility of aquifer 80 to 100 pts very high Susceptibility of well 60 to < 80 pts high 40 to < 60 pts medium Vulnerability of drinking water well < 40 pts 83 pts Very High **85** 

Page 13 of 25

Chart 9. Contaminant risks for Oscarville Watering Point (PWS No. 270061.001) - Heavy Metals, Cyanide and Other Inorganic Chemicals Contaminant risks initially assumed to be low. Current level of Evaluate the level of Contaminant risks contamination due to manbackground = 0 ptscontamination from made source(s) natural sources 0 pts NO or Is the concentration of Have heavy metals, UNKNOWN the contaminant cyanide or other inorganic increasing, decreasing, chemicals been detected or staying the same? in the source waters in recent sampling period(s)? Recent Metals Sampling Results (mg/L) 6/27/2002 0.102 Barium Fluoride 6/27/2002 0.006 YES Increasing: risk up 1 - 10 pts Decreasing: risk down 1 - 5 pts + 0 pts Same: risk unchanged Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) (mg/L) % of MCL Barium= 0.3% Fluoride= Risk due to natural Risk due to existing man-Existing contamination points based on linear interpolation of most recent detect [MCL = 50 pts; sources made sources detect = 0 pts] 3 pts 0 pts Risk due to existing contamination 3 pts Evaluate the level Was the source of NO. of contamination contamination from man-made natural? sources

Page 14 of 25

Chart 9. Contaminant risks for Oscarville Watering Point (PWS No. 270061.001) - Heavy Metals, Cyanide and Other Inorganic Chemicals



15

	LOW 10 pts	MEDIUM 20 pts	HIGH 30 pts	VERY HIGH 40 pts
LOW	≥ 10 sources + 10 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts	≥ 20 sources + 5 pts	
MEDIUM		≥ 2 sources + 5 pts	≥ 5 sources + 5 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts
HIGH			≥ 1 source + 10 pts	≥ 2 sources + 10 pts
VERY HIGH				≥ 1 source + 10 pts

0

16

Matrix Score 25

Medium(s)

Low(s)

Note: Septic systems, sewerlines, and roads are each assigned a risk ranking for each individual contaminant source in the CSI. The VA, however, counts these contaminant sources as a group and assigns a calculated number of either "lows" or "mediums" based on the density.






Chart 9. Contaminant risks for Oscarville Watering Point (PWS No. 270061.001) - Heavy Metals, Cyanide and Other Inorganic Chemicals Existing Are there conditions 3 pts Risk unchanged upgrading risk? Risk due to existing Potential contamination 33 pts The number and magnitude of Risk posed by potential sources contaminant sources in of contamination with controls Contaminant Risk Zone D determines a YES 36 pts risk increase. See Table Contaminant risks 5 for inventory. 0 pts Increase risk 1 - 10 pts Risk posed by potential sources of contamination 33 pts Contaminant risks\* \*Truncate risk at 50 pts 36 Contaminant Risk Ratings Are there sufficient High NQ controls, conditions, Risk unchanged 40 to 50 pts very high or monitoring to 30 to < 40 pts warrant downgrading high risk? 20 to < 30 pts medium < 20 pts low YES 0 pts Decrease risk 1 - 10 pts Risk posed by potential sources of contamination with controls 33 pts





Page 18 of 25






Chart 11. Contaminant risks for Oscarville Watering Point (PWS No. 270061.001) - Synthetic Organic Chemicals Existing NO Are there conditions 0 pts Risk unchanged that warrant upgrading risk? Risk due to existing Potential contamination 25 pts The number and magnitude of Risk posed by potential sources contaminant sources in of contamination with controls Contaminant Risk Zone D determines a risk YES 25 pts increase. See Table 6 for Contaminant risks inventory. 0 pts Increase risk 1 - 10 pts Risk posed by potential sources of contamination 25 pts \*Truncate risk at 50 pts Contaminant risks\* 25 Are there sufficient Contaminant Risk Ratings **Medium** controls, conditions, NO. Risk unchanged or monitoring to 40 to 50 pts very high warrant downgrading 30 to < 40 pts high 20 to < 30 pts risk? medium < 20 pts low YES 0 pts Decrease risk 1 - 10 pts Risk posed by potential sources of contamination with controls

Page 20 of 25



Page 21 of 25






Chart 13. Contaminant risks for Oscarville Watering Point (PWS No. 270061.001) - Other Organic Chemicals Existing Are there conditions 0 pts Risk unchanged that warrant upgrading risk? Risk due to existing Potential contamination 50 pts The number and magnitude of Risk posed by potential sources contaminant sources in of contamination with controls Contaminant Risk Zone D determines a risk YES 50 pts increase. See Table 7 for Contaminant risks inventory. 0 pts Increase risk 1 - 10 pts Risk posed by potential sources of contamination 50 pts \*Truncate risk at 50 pts Contaminant risks\* 50 Are there sufficient Contaminant Risk Ratings Very High controls, conditions, NO. Risk unchanged or monitoring to 40 to 50 pts very high warrant downgrading 30 to < 40 pts high 20 to < 30 pts medium < 20 pts low YES 0 pts Decrease risk 1 - 10 pts Risk posed by potential sources of contamination with controls

Page 24 of 25

