Source Water Assessment

A Hydrogeologic Susceptibility and Vulnerability Assessment for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision Drinking Water System, Fairbanks area, Alaska PWSID # 314116

DECEMBER 2002

DRINKING WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM REPORT Report 817
Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

Source Water Assessment for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision Drinking Water System
Fairbanks area, Alaska
PWSID # 314116

DECEMBER 2002

DRINKING WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM REPORT Report 817

The Drinking Water Protection Program (DWPP) is producing Source Water Assessments in compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1996. Each assessment includes a delineation of the source water area, an inventory of potential and existing contaminant sources that may impact the water, a risk ranking for each of these contaminants, and an evaluation of the potential vulnerability of these drinking water sources.

These assessments are intended to provide public water systems owners/operators, communities, and local governments with the best available information that may be used to protect the quality of their drinking water. The assessments combine information obtained from various sources, including the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC), public water system owners/operators, and other public information sources. The results of this assessment are subject to change if additional data becomes available. It is anticipated this assessment will be updated every five years to reflect any changes in the vulnerability and/or susceptibility of public drinking water source. If you have any additional information that may affect the results of this assessment, please contact the Program Coordinator of DWPP, (907) 269-7521.

CONTENTS

TABLES

APPENDICES

Source Water Assessment for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision Source of Public Drinking Water,
Fairbanks Area, Alaska

Drinking Water Protection Program
Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The public water system for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision is a Class A (community) water system consisting of one well along Greentree Drive just north of Farmer's Loop Road approximately 4 miles northwest of Fairbanks, Alaska. The wellhead received a susceptibility rating of **Low** and the aquifer received a susceptibility rating of **Medium**. Combining these two ratings produces a **Low** risk rating for the natural susceptibility of the well. Identified potential and current sources of contaminants for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision public drinking water source include: residential septic systems, residential heating oil tanks, roads, and residential area. These identified potential and existing sources of contamination are considered as sources of bacteria and viruses, nitrates and/or nitrites, volatile organic chemicals, heavy metals, cyanide, and other inorganic chemicals, synthetic organic chemicals, and other organic chemicals. Combining the natural susceptibility of the well with the contaminant risk, the public water source for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision received a vulnerability rating of **Medium** for volatile organic chemicals and heavy metals, and a **Low** for bacteria and viruses, nitrates and/or nitrites, synthetic organic chemicals, and other organic chemicals.

TAIGA WOODLANDS SUBDIVISION PUBLIC DRINKING WATER SYSTEM

Taiga Woodlands Subdivision public water system is a Class A (community) water system consisting of one well along Greentree Drive just north of Farmer's Loop Road approximately 4 miles northwest of Fairbanks, Alaska (T1N, R1W, Section 21) (See Map 1 of Appendix A). Fairbanks and its surrounding communities are located in the Fairbanks North Star Borough which is near the center of Alaska (Please see the inset of Map 1 in Appendix A for location). The Borough's current population is 82,840 making it the second-largest population center in the state (ADCED, 2002). Communities located within the Borough include : College, Eielson Air Force Base, Ester, Fairbanks, Fox, Harding Lake, Moose Creek, North Pole, Pleasant Valley, Salcha, and Two Rivers.

The majority of residents in the Fairbanks area use individual wells or hauled water, and septic systems (ADCED, 2002). Heating oil (commonly stored in both above and below ground 275 to 500-gallon tanks) is most commonly used for heating homes and buildings (ADCED, 2002). Refuse is transported to the Fairbanks North Star Borough landfill.

The Fairbanks area includes two distinct topographic areas: the floodplain of the Tanana River and the Chena River, and the uplands north of this floodplain. The well for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision is located in the uplands at an elevation of approximately 800 feet above sea level.

This well was originally drilled on 7/17/95 to a depth of 360 feet below ground surface (ft bgs), and subsequently deepened on 6/25/97 to a depth of 460 ft bgs. The well is screened in bedrock. Bedrock in this area is predominantly a metamorphosed marine mud deposit, called a pelitic schist. The schist is locally intruded by granitic rocks – granite and quartz diorite. Groundwater in the bedrock is principally contained in fractures. The water wells in this area with the greatest well recharge appear to be in quartz veins, quartzite, and siliceous schist (Nelson, 1978).

Groundwater in the uplands is recharged by local precipitation. Outflow of ground water in the uplands primarily occurs two ways. In areas under artesian pressure (pressure caused by overlying permafrost), water can flow to the surface through thawed conduits within the permafrost. Otherwise groundwater will flow under the permafrost (if present) and out to the groundwater beneath the adjacent flood plain or creek valley (Nelson, 1978).

The most recent Sanitary Survey (8/11/98) indicates the well was installed with a cap providing a sanitary seal. A properly installed sanitary seal may provide protection against contaminants from entering the source waters at the well casing. The land surface is also appropriately sloped away from each of the wells allowing surface water and contaminants to drain away from the wells. It also indicates the well has not been grouted according to ADEC regulations. Proper grouting provides added protection against

contaminants travelling along the well casing and into source waters. The well is not located in a known floodplain.

This system operates year-round and serves approximately 75 residents through 18 service connections.

DRINKING WATER PROTECTION AREA

The pathways most likely for surface contamination to reach the groundwater are identified as the first step in determining a drinking water system's risk. These areas are determined by looking at the characteristics of the soil, groundwater, aquifer, and well.

The most probable area for contamination to reach the drinking water well is the area that contributes water to the well, the groundwater recharge area. This area is designated as the drinking water protection area, and will serve as the focus for voluntary protection efforts.

An outline of the immediate watershed was used to determine the size and shape of the protection area for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision. Available geology was also considered to take into account any uncertainties in groundwater flow and aquifer characteristics to arrive at a meaningful protection area (Please refer to the Guidance Manual for Class A Public Water Systems for additional information).

The protection areas established for wells by the ADEC are usually separated into four zones, limited by the watershed. These zones correspond to differences in the time-of-travel (TOT) of the water moving through the aquifer to the well. The protection area for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision is limited by its immediate watershed and includes only Zone A (See Map 1 of Appendix A). The input parameters describing the attributes of the aquifer in this calculation were adopted from the U.S. Geological Survey (*Patrick, Brabets, and Glass, 1989*), and State of Alaska Department of Water Resources (*Jokela et. al., 1991*).

The time of travel for contaminants within the water varies and is dependent on the physical and chemical characteristics of each contaminant. The following is a summary of the four protection area zones for wells and the calculated time-of-travel for each:

Table 1. Definition of Zones

Zone	Definition
A	¼ the distance for the 2-yr. time-of-travel
B	Less than the 2 year time-of-travel
C	Less than the 5 year time-of-travel
D	Less than the 10 year time-of-travel

INVENTORY OF POTENTIAL AND EXISTING CONTAMINANT SOURCES

An inventory of the potential sources of contamination was completed through a search of agency records and other publicly available information for this water system. Potential sources of contamination to the drinking water aquifer include a wide range of categories and types. Potential drinking water contaminants are found within agricultural, residential, commercial, and industrial areas, but can also occur within areas that have little or no development.

For the basis of all Class A public water system assessments, six categories of drinking water contaminants were inventoried. They include:

- Bacteria and viruses;
- Nitrates and/or nitrites;
- Volatile organic chemicals;
- Heavy metals, cyanide, and other inorganic chemicals;
- Synthetic organic chemicals
- Other organic chemicals.

The sources are displayed on Map 2 of Appendix C and summarized in Table 1 of Appendix B.

RANKING OF CONTAMINANT RISKS

Once the potential and existing sources of contamination have been identified, they are assigned a ranking according to what type and level of risk they represent. Ranking of contaminant risks for a "potential" or "existing" source of contamination is a function of toxicity and volumes of specific contaminants associated with that source. Rankings include:

- Low;
- Medium;
- High; and
- Very High.

The time-of-travel for contaminants within the water varies and is dependent on the physical and chemical characteristics of each contaminant. Bacteria and Viruses are only inventoried in Zones A and B because of their short life span. Only "Very High" and "High" rankings are inventoried within the outer Zone D due to the probability of contaminant dilution by the time the contaminants get to the well.

Tables 2 through 7 in Appendix B contain the ranking of potential and existing sources of contamination with respect to all six contaminant categories.

VULNERABILITY OF TAIGA WOODLANDS SUBDIVISION DRINKING WATER SYSTEM

Vulnerability of a drinking water source to contamination is a combination of two factors:
Natural susceptibility; and
Contaminant risks.

Appendix D contains fourteen charts, which together form the 'Vulnerability Analysis' for a source water assessment for a public drinking water source. Chart 1 analyzes the 'Susceptibility of the Wellhead' to contamination by looking at the construction of the well and its surrounding area. Chart 2 analyzes the 'Susceptibility of the Aquifer' to contamination by looking at the naturally occurring attributes of the water source and influences on the groundwater system that might lead to contamination. Chart 3 analyzes 'Contaminant Risks' for the drinking water source with respect to bacteria and viruses. The 'Contaminant Risks' portion of the analysis considers potential sources of contaminants as well as a review of contamination that has or may have occurred, but has not arrived or been detected at the well. Lastly, Chart 4 contains the 'Vulnerability Analysis for Bacteria and Viruses'. Charts 5 through 14 contain the Contaminant Risks and Vulnerability Analyses for nitrates and nitrites, volatile organic chemicals, heavy metals, synthetic organic chemicals, and other organic chemicals, respectively.

A score for the Natural Susceptibility is reached by considering the properties of the well and the aquifer.

Susceptibility of the Wellhead (0 – 25 Points)
(Chart 1 of Appendix D)

+

Susceptibility of the Aquifer (0 – 25 Points)
(Chart 2 of Appendix D)

=

Natural Susceptibility (Susceptibility of the Well)
(0 – 50 Points)

A ranking is assigned for the Natural Susceptibility according to the point score:

Natural Susceptibility Ratings	
40 to 50 pts	Very High
30 to < 40 pts	High
20 to < 30 pts	Medium
< 20 pts	Low

The well logs for the Taiga Woodlands Subdivision water system indicate the well is completed in a unconfined area of a fractured bedrock aquifer. The thickness of the bedrock above the screened area provides some protection from contaminants traveling downward from the surface with the precipitation and surface water runoff. However, ground water can move extremely quickly through fractures within the bedrock, depending on their width, density, connectivity, and direction in the area. Water supply wells upgradient of the well offer an easy pathway for contaminants to travel down into the aquifer and potentially towards the well. There are no known wells in Taiga Woodlands Subdivision protection area. Table 2 shows the Susceptibility scores and ratings for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision.

Table 2. Susceptibility

	Score	Rating
Susceptibility of the Wellhead	5	Low
Susceptibility of the Aquifer	10	Medium
Natural Susceptibility	15	Low

Contaminant risks to a drinking water source depend on the type, number or density, and distribution of contaminant sources. This score has been derived from an examination of existing and historical contamination that has been detected at the drinking water source through routine sampling. It also evaluates potential sources of contamination. Flow charts are used to assign a point score, and ratings are assigned in the same way as for the natural susceptibility:

Contaminant Risk Ratings	
40 to 50 pts	Very High
30 to < 40 pts	High
20 to < 30 pts	Medium
< 20 pts	Low

Table 3 summarizes the Contaminant Risks for each category of drinking water contaminants.

Table 3. Contaminant Risks

Category	Score	Rating
Bacteria and Viruses	20	Medium
Nitrates and/or Nitrites	20	Medium
Volatile Organic Chemicals	25	Medium
Heavy Metals, Cyanide and Other Inorganic Chemicals	33	High
Synthetic Organic Chemicals	10	Low
Other Organic Chemicals	10	Low

Finally, an overall vulnerability score is assigned for each water system by combining each of the contaminant risk scores with the natural susceptibility score:

Natural Susceptibility (0 – 50 points)

+

Contaminant Risks (0 – 50 points)

=

Vulnerability of the Drinking Water Source to Contamination (0 – 100).

Again, rankings are assigned according to a point score:

Overall Vulnerability Ratings	
80 to 100 pts	Very High
60 to < 80 pts	High
40 to < 60 pts	Medium
< 40 pts	Low

Table 4 contains the overall vulnerability scores (0 – 100) and ratings for each of the six categories of drinking water contaminants. Note: scores are rounded off to the nearest five.

Table 4. Overall Vulnerability

Category	Score	Rating
Bacteria and Viruses	35	Low
Nitrates and Nitrites	35	Low
Volatile Organic Chemicals	40	Medium
Heavy Metals, Cyanide, and Other Inorganic Chemicals	50	Mediu,
Synthetic Organic Chemicals	25	Low
Other Organic Chemicals	25	Low

Bacteria and Viruses

The contaminant risk for bacteria and viruses is medium with the density of septic systems nearest to the well representing the greatest risk to the drinking water well (See Chart 3 – Contaminant Risks for Bacteria and Viruses in Appendix D).

Only a small amount of bacteria and viruses are required to endanger public health. Total coliforms have not been detected in recent sampling history. Coliforms are found naturally in the environment and although they aren't necessarily a health threat, they are an indicator of other potentially harmful bacteria in the water, more specifically, fecal coliforms and E. coli which only come from human and animal fecal waste (EPA, 2002).

After combining the contaminant risk for bacteria and viruses with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is low.

Nitrates and Nitrites

The contaminant risk for nitrates and nitrites is low with the septic systems nearest the well representing the greatest risk to this source of public drinking water (See Chart 5 - Contaminant Risks for Nitrates and/or Nitrites in Appendix D). Nitrates are very mobile, moving at approximately the same rate as water.

Sampling history for the Taiga Woodlands Subdivision well indicates that very low concentrations of nitrate have consistently been detected in the drinking water. Recent nitrate concentrations have ranged from non-detect to 0.700 mg/L or about 0 to 7% of the Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) of 10 mg/L. The MCL is the maximum level of contaminant that is allowed to exist in drinking water by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Naturally occurring nitrate levels are typically less than 2 mg/l (Wang, Strelakos, Jokela, 2000).

After combining the contaminant risk for nitrates and nitrites with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is high.

Volatile Organic Chemicals

The contaminant risk for volatile organic chemicals is medium with the density of heating oil storage tanks creating the greatest risk for volatile organic chemicals (See Chart 7 – Contaminant Risks for Volatile Organic Chemicals in Appendix D).

Both underground and above ground heating oil storage tanks are the standard way of heating homes and businesses in the area surrounding Fairbanks. The most common causes of fuel leaks of these heating oil systems are overfilling the tank, ruptured fuel lines, leaking storage tanks, damaged or faulty valves and vandalism. Regular system maintenance can help prevent many of these harmful fuel leaks.

Volatile organic chemicals were not detected in their most recent sampling on 1/27/01. After combining the contaminant risk for volatile organic chemicals with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is medium.

Heavy Metals, Cyanide, and Other Inorganic Chemicals

The contaminant risk for heavy metals is high with the density of septic systems in the protection area creating risk (See Chart 9 – Contaminant Risks for Heavy Metals, Cyanide, and Other Inorganic Chemicals in Appendix D).

Antimony was detected at a concentration of 0.0028 mg/L, or 47% of its MCL, during the most recent metals sampling on 8/30/01. The MCL for antimony is 0.006 mg/L. Antimony occurs naturally in some bedrock as well as from outside sources such as its use in common flame retardants, batteries, pigments, and ceramics and glass (EPA, 2002). After combining the contaminant risk for heavy metals with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is medium.

Synthetic Organic Chemicals

The contaminant risk for synthetic organic chemicals is low with the residential activities creating risk. Synthetic Organic Chemicals have never been sampled for in this water system. After combining the contaminant risk with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability to synthetic organic chemicals of the well is low (See Chart 11 – Contaminant Risks for Synthetic Organic Chemicals in Appendix D).

Other Organic Chemicals

The contaminant risk for other organic chemicals is low with the residential activities within the protection area creating the risk. Other organic chemicals have not been sampled for in Taiga Woodlands Subdivision's drinking water system. After combining the contaminant risk with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability to other organic chemicals of the well is medium (See Chart 13 – Contaminant Risks for Other Organic Chemicals in Appendix D).

REFERENCES

Alaska Department of Community and Economic Development (ADCED), 2002 [WWW document]. URL http://www.dced.state.ak.us/mra/CF_BLOCK.cfm.

Forbes, R.B. and Weber, F.R., 1981. Bedrock Geologic Map of the Fairbanks Mining District, Alaska. Funded by the State of Alaska, US Geological Survey, and The National Science Foundation.

Freeze, R.A. and Cherry, J.A., 1979. Groundwater. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.

Jokela, J.B., Munter, J.A., and Evans, J.G., 1991, Ground-water resources of the Palmer-Big Lake area, Alaska: a conceptual model. Division of Geological &Geophysical Surveys Reports of Investigations 90-4, State of Alaska Department of Natural Resources, Fairbanks, AK.

King, P.B., compiler, 1969, Tectonic map of North America: US Geological Survey Map, (scale 1:5,000,000) 2 sheets.

Nelson, Gordon L., 1978, Hydrologic Information for Land-Use Planning, Fairbanks Vicinity, Alaska. US Department of the Interior Geological Survey Open File Report 78-959, 47p.

Patrick, L.D., Brabets, T.P., and Glass, R.L., 1989, Simulation of ground-water flow at Anchorage, Alaska: US Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 88-4139, 41p.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2002 [WWW document]. URL http://www.epa.gov/safewater/mcl.html.

Wang, B., Strelakos, P.M., Jokela, B., 2000, Nitrate Source Indicators in Groundwater of the Scimitar Subdivision, Peters Creek Area, Anchorage Alaska; U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Investigations Report 00-4137, 25p.

APPENDIX A

Taiga Woodlands Subdivision
Drinking Water Protection Area Location Map
(Map 1)

Taiga Woodlands Subdivision Drinking Water Protection Area

Zone A

PIKA ROAD
MOOSE TRAIL
MEADOW MOUSE ROAD
REINDEER DRIVE
PEARL CREEK
RED FOX DRIVE
WOLVERINE LANE
AUBURN DRIVE
RREID ROAD
DE PAUW DRIV
GONZAGA WAY
WIDEVIEW ROAD
GLADE COURT
UPLAND DRIVE
SPRING GLADE ROAD
ERIOPHORUM DRIVE
GRENAC ROAD
GREENTREE DRIVE
LA RUE LANE
ETON BOULEVARD
JOLIET DRIVE
HOLY CROSS DRIVE
NORDIN DRIVE
OVERHILL DRIVE
VIEWPOINTE DRIVE
SUMMER ROSE DRIVE
SOLITUDE WAY
BRECKENRIDGE ROA
SKI BOOT HILL ROA
SUNDANCE LOOP
FARMERS LOOP ROAD
DARRELL DRIVE
CAPRICORN STREET
PYROLA DRIVE
WALKER WAY
MUSHER'S ROA
CANDAMAR ROA

0.5 0 0.5 1 Miles

PWSID 314116

Legend

- Tiaga Woodlands Subdivision Well
- Zone A Protection Area
- Parcels
- Roads
- Rivers and streams
- Elevation Contours (20 m)



N
W E
S

Map 1

APPENDIX B

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision (Tables 1-7)

Table 1

Contaminant Source Inventory for
FNSB - Weller Elementary

PWSID 310251.001

Contaminant Source Type	*Contaminant Source ID*	*CS ID tag*	*Zone*	*Map Number*	*Comments*
Construction trade areas and materials	C09	C09-1	A	2	935 Highland Street
Residential Areas	R01		A	2	Approximately 400 acres of residential area
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02		A	2	Approximately 137 septic systems (approximated by number of parcels designated as residential)
Tanks, heating oil, residential (above ground)	R08		A	2	Approximately 137 heating oil tanks (approximated by number of parcels designated as residential)
Open Leaking Underground Fuel Storage Tank (LUST) Sites	U07	U07-1	A	2	Waste oil tank at the Firehouse
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24		A	2	Approximately 11 roads located within the protection area
Firehouses	X38	X38-1	A	2	585 Steele Creek Road

Table 2

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for FNSB - Weller Elementary Sources of Bacteria and Viruses

PWSID 310251.001

Contaminant Source Type	*Contaminant Source ID*	*CS ID tag*	*Zone*	*Risk Ranking for Analysis*	*Map Number*	*Comments*
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02		A	Low	2	Approximately 137 septic systems (approximated by number of parcels designated as residential)
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24		A	Low	2	Approximately 11 roads located within the protection area
Residential Areas	R01		A	Low	2	Approximately 400 acres of residential area

Table 3

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for FNSB - Weller Elementary Sources of Nitrates/Nitrites

PWSID 310251.001

Contaminant Source Type	*Contaminant Source ID*	*CS ID tag*	*Zone*	*Risk Ranking for Analysis*	*Map Number*	*Comments*
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02		A	Low	2	Approximately 137 septic systems (approximated by number of parcels designated as residential)
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24		A	Low	2	Approximately 11 roads located within the protection area
Residential Areas	R01		A	Low	2	Approximately 400 acres of residential area

Table 4

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for FNSB - Weller Elementary Sources of Volatile Organic Chemicals

PWSID 310251.001

Contaminant Source Type	*Contaminant Source ID*	*CS ID tag*	*Zone*	*Risk Ranking for Analysis*	*Map Number*	*Comments*
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24		A	Low	2	Approximately 11 roads located within the protection area
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02		A	Low	2	Approximately 137 septic systems (approximated by number of parcels designated as residential)
Residential Areas	R01		A	Low	2	Approximately 400 acres of residential area
Tanks, heating oil, residential (above ground)	R08		A	Medium	2	Approximately 137 heating oil tanks (approximated by number of parcels designated as residential)
Construction trade areas and materials	C09	C09-1	A	Low	2	935 Highland Street
Open Leaking Underground Fuel Storage Tank (LUST) Sites	U07	U07-1	A	Low	2	Waste oil tank at the Firehouse
Firehouses	X38	X38-1	A	Low	2	585 Steele Creek Road

Table 5

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for FNSB - Weller Elementary Sources of Heavy Metals, Cyanide and Other Inorganic Chemicals

PWSID 310251.001

Contaminant Source Type	*Contaminant Source ID*	*CS ID tag*	*Zone*	*Risk Ranking for Analysis*	*Map Number*	*Comments*
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02		A	Low	2	Approximately 137 septic systems (approximated by number of parcels designated as residential)
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24		A	Low	2	Approximately 11 roads located within the protection area
Residential Areas	R01		A	Low	2	Approximately 400 acres of residential area
Construction trade areas and materials	C09	C09-1	A	Low	2	935 Highland Street
Firehouses	X38	X38-1	A	Low	2	585 Steele Creek Road

Table 6

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for FNSB - Weller Elementary Sources of Synthetic Organic Chemicals

PWSID 310251.001

Contaminant Source Type	*Contaminant Source ID*	*CS ID tag*	*Zone*	*Risk Ranking for Analysis*	*Map Number*	*Comments*
Residential Areas	R01		A	Low	2	Approximately 400 acres of residential area
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02		A	Low	2	Approximately 137 septic systems (approximated by number of parcels designated as residential)

Table 7

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for FNSB - Weller Elementary Sources of Other Organic Chemicals

PWSID 310251.001

Contaminant Source Type	*Contaminant Source ID*	*CS ID tag*	*Zone*	*Risk Ranking for Analysis*	*Map Number*	*Comments*
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24		A	Low	2	Approximately 11 roads located within the protection area
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02		A	Low	2	Approximately 137 septic systems (approximated by number of parcels designated as residential)
Residential Areas	R01		A	Low	2	Approximately 400 acres of residential area
Construction trade areas and materials	C09	C09-1	A	Low	2	935 Highland Street

APPENDIX C

Taiga Woodlands Subdivision
Drinking Water Protection Area
and Potential and Existing Contaminant Sources
(Map 2)

Taiga Woodlands Subdivision Drinking Water Protection Area with Potential and Existing Contaminant Sources



Legend

- Tiaga Woodlands Subdivision Well
- Zone A Protection Area
- Residential area (R01)
- Residential septic systems (R02)
- Residential above ground storage tanks (R08)
- Roads
- Parcels
- Rivers and streams
- Elevation Contours (20 m)



N
W
E
S

PWSID 314116

Map 2

APPENDIX D

Vulnerability Analysis for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision Public Drinking Water Source

(Charts 1-14)

Chart 1. Susceptibility of the wellhead - Taiga Woodlands Subdivision



Chart 2. Susceptibility of the aquifer - Taiga Woodlands Subdivision



Chart 3. Contaminant risks for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision - Bacteria & Viruses





+ 20 pts

Risk Rankings for Contaminant Sources Identified in Zones A and B

	Zone A	Zone B	Total
Very Highs(s)	0	0	0
High(s)	0	0	0
Medium(s)	0	0	0
Low(s)	45	0	45

	LOW 10 pts	**MEDIUM** 20 pts	**HIGH** 30 pts	**VERY HIGH** 40 pts
LOW	≥ 10 sources + 10 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts	≥ 20 sources + 5 pts	----
MEDIUM	----	≥ 2 sources + 5 pts	≥ 5 sources + 5 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts
HIGH	----	----	≥ 1 source + 10 pts	≥ 2 sources + 10 pts
VERY HIGH	----	----	----	≥ 1 source + 10 pts

Matrix Score 20

Note: Septic systems, sewerlines, and roads are each assigned a risk ranking for each individual contaminant source in the CSI. The VA, however, counts these contaminant sources as a group and assigns a calculated number of either "lows" or "mediums" based on the density.

Chart 3. Contaminant risks for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision - Bacteria & Viruses



Chart 4. Vulnerability analysis for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision - Bacteria & Viruses



Chart 5. Contaminant risks for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision - Nitrates and Nitrites



Chart 5. Contaminant risks for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision - Nitrates and Nitrites

+ 20 pts

What level of risk is associated with the highest and the next highest risk sources(s) of contaminants identified in Zones A, B and C?

Risk Levels for Contaminant Sources identified in Zones A, B and C

	Zone A	Zones B&C	Total
Very Highs(s)	0	0	0
High(s)	0	0	0
Medium(s)	0	0	0
Low(s)	45	0	45

	LOW 10 pts	**MEDIUM** 20 pts	**HIGH** 30 pts	**VERY HIGH** 40 pts
LOW	≥ 10 sources + 10 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts	≥ 20 sources + 5 pts	----
MEDIUM	----	≥ 2 sources + 5 pts	≥ 5 sources + 5 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts
HIGH	----	----	≥ 1 source + 10 pts	≥ 2 sources + 10 pts
VERY HIGH	----	----	----	≥ 1 source + 10 pts

Matrix Score 20

Note: Septic systems, sewerlines, and roads are each assigned a risk ranking for each individual contaminant source in the CSI. The VA, however, counts these contaminant sources as a group and assigns a calculated number of either "lows" or "mediums" based on the density.

Initial assessment of risk posed by potential sources of contamination = 20 pts

Is the source aquifer fractured rock or karst?

YES

NO

Are all of the higher risk sources beyond Zones A and B?

NO → Risk unchanged

YES → Decrease risk 1 - 10 pts

- 0 pts

Are any significant sources within Zone A?

NO → Risk unchanged

YES → Increase risk 1 - 10 pts

+ 0 pts

Chart 5. Contaminant risks for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision - Nitrates and Nitrites





Chart 6. Vulnerability analysis for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision - Nitrates and Nitrites



Chart 7. Contaminant risks for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision - Volatile Organic Chemicals



Chart 7. Contaminant risks for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision - Volatile Organic Chemicals

+ 25 pts

What level of risk is associated with the highest and the next highest risk sources(s) of contaminants identified in Zones A, B and C?

Risk Levels for Contaminant Sources identified in Zones A, B and C

	Zone A	Zones B&C	Total
Very Highs(s)	0	0	0
High(s)	0	0	0
Medium(s)	41	0	41
Low(s)	7	0	7

	LOW 10 pts	**MEDIUM** 20 pts	**HIGH** 30 pts	**VERY HIGH** 40 pts
LOW	≥ 10 sources + 10 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts	≥ 20 sources + 5 pts	----
MEDIUM	----	≥ 2 sources + 5 pts	≥ 5 sources + 5 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts
HIGH	----	----	≥ 1 source + 10 pts	≥ 2 sources + 10 pts
VERY HIGH	----	----	----	≥ 1 source + 10 pts

Matrix Score 25

Note: Septic systems, sewerlines, and roads are each assigned a risk ranking for each individual contaminant source in the CSI. The VA, however, counts these contaminant sources as a group and assigns a calculated number of either "lows" or "mediums" based on the density.

Initial assessment of risk posed by potential sources of contamination = 25 pts

YES

Is the source aquifer fractured rock or karst?

NO

Are all of the higher risk sources beyond Zones A and B?

NO

Risk unchanged

YES

Decrease risk 1 - 10 pts

- 0 pts

Are any significant sources within Zone A?

NO

Risk unchanged

YES

Increase risk 1 - 10 pts

+ 0 pts

Chart 7. Contaminant risks for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision - Volatile Organic Chemicals



Chart 8. Vulnerability analysis for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision - Volatile Organic Chemicals



Chart 9. Contaminant risks for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision - Heavy Metals, Cyanide and Other Inorganic Chemicals



Chart 9. Contaminant risks for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision - Heavy Metals, Cyanide and Other Inorganic Chemicals

+ 10 pts

What level of risk is associated with the highest and the next highest risk sources(s) of contaminants identified in Zones A, B and C?

Risk Levels for Contaminant Sources identified in Zones A, B and C			
	Zone A	Zones B&C	Total
Very Highs(s)	0	0	0
High(s)	0	0	0
Medium(s)	0	0	0
Low(s)	3	0	3

	LOW 10 pts	**MEDIUM** 20 pts	**HIGH** 30 pts	**VERY HIGH** 40 pts
LOW	≥ 10 sources + 10 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts	≥ 20 sources + 5 pts	----
MEDIUM	----	≥ 2 sources + 5 pts	≥ 5 sources + 5 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts
HIGH	----	----	≥ 1 source + 10 pts	≥ 2 sources + 10 pts
VERY HIGH	----	----	----	≥ 1 source + 10 pts

Matrix Score 10

Note: Septic systems, sewerlines, and roads are each assigned a risk ranking for each individual contaminant source in the CSI. The VA, however, counts these contaminant sources as a group and assigns a calculated number of either "lows" or "mediums" based on the density.

Initial assessment of risk posed by potential sources of contamination = 10 pts

Is the source aquifer fractured rock or karst?

YES

NO

Are all of the higher risk sources beyond Zones A and B?

NO → Risk unchanged

YES → Decrease risk 1 - 10 pts

- 0 pts

Are any significant sources within Zone A?

NO → Risk unchanged

YES → Increase risk 1 - 10 pts

+ 0 pts

Chart 9. Contaminant risks for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision - Heavy Metals, Cyanide and Other Inorganic Chemicals



Chart 10. Vulnerability analysis for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision - Heavy Metals, Cyanide and Other Inorganic Chemicals



Chart 11. Contaminant risks for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision - Synthetic Organic Chemicals



Chart 11. Contaminant risks for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision - Synthetic Organic Chemicals



Risk Levels for Contaminant Sources identified in Zones A, B and C

	Zone A	Zones B&C	Total
Very Highs(s)	0	0	0
High(s)	0	0	0
Medium(s)	0	0	0
Low(s)	2	0	2

	LOW 10 pts	**MEDIUM** 20 pts	**HIGH** 30 pts	**VERY HIGH** 40 pts
LOW	≥ 10 sources + 10 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts	≥ 20 sources + 5 pts	----
MEDIUM	----	≥ 2 sources + 5 pts	≥ 5 sources + 5 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts
HIGH	----	----	≥ 1 source + 10 pts	≥ 2 sources + 10 pts
VERY HIGH	----	----	----	≥ 1 source + 10 pts

Matrix Score 10

Note: Septic systems, sewerlines, and roads are each assigned a risk ranking for each individual contaminant source in the CSI. The VA, however, counts these contaminant sources as a group and assigns a calculated number of either "lows" or "mediums" based on the density.

Chart 11. Contaminant risks for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision - Synthetic Organic Chemicals



Chart 12. Vulnerability analysis for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision - Synthetic Organic Chemicals



Chart 13. Contaminant risks for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision - Other Organic Chemicals



Chart 13. Contaminant risks for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision - Other Organic Chemicals

+ 10 pts

What level of risk is associated with the highest and the next highest risk sources(s) of contaminants identified in Zones A, B and C?

Risk Levels for Contaminant Sources identified in Zones A, B and C

	Zone A	Zones B&C	Total
Very Highs(s)	0	0	0
High(s)	0	0	0
Medium(s)	0	0	0
Low(s)	3	0	3

	LOW 10 pts	**MEDIUM** 20 pts	**HIGH** 30 pts	**VERY HIGH** 40 pts
LOW	≥ 10 sources + 10 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts	≥ 20 sources + 5 pts	----
MEDIUM	----	≥ 2 sources + 5 pts	≥ 5 sources + 5 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts
HIGH	----	----	≥ 1 source + 10 pts	≥ 2 sources + 10 pts
VERY HIGH	----	----	----	≥ 1 source + 10 pts

Matrix Score 10

Note: Septic systems, sewerlines, and roads are each assigned a risk ranking for each individual contaminant source in the CSI. The VA, however, counts these contaminant sources as a group and assigns a calculated number of either "lows" or "mediums" based on the density.





Chart 13. Contaminant risks for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision - Other Organic Chemicals



Chart 14. Vulnerability analysis for Taiga Woodlands Subdivision - Other Organic Chemicals