Source Water Assessment

A Hydrogeologic Susceptibility and Vulnerability Assessment for Rosehip Campground Drinking Water System,
Fairbanks Area, Alaska
PWSID 310293

February 2004

DRINKING WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM REPORT Report 1437
Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

Source Water Assessment for Rosehip Campground Drinking Water System Fairbanks Area, Alaska PWSID 310293

February 2004

DRINKING WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM REPORT Report 1437

The Drinking Water Protection Program (DWPP) is producing Source Water Assessments in compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1996. Each assessment includes a delineation of the source water area, an inventory of potential and existing contaminant sources that may impact the water, a risk ranking for each of these contaminants, and an evaluation of the potential vulnerability of these drinking water sources.

These assessments are intended to provide public water systems owners/operators, communities, and local governments with the best available information that may be used to protect the quality of their drinking water. The assessments combine information obtained from various sources, including the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC), public water system owners/operators, and other public information sources. The results of this assessment are subject to change if additional data becomes available. It is anticipated this assessment will be updated every five years to reflect any changes in the vulnerability and/or susceptibility of public drinking water source. If you have any additional information that may affect the results of this assessment, please contact the Program Coordinator of DWPP, (907) 269-7521.

CONTENTS

TABLES

APPENDICES

Source Water Assessment for Rosehip Campground Source of Public Drinking Water, Fairbanks Area, Alaska

Drinking Water Protection Program
Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This source water assessment provides an evaluation of the vulnerability of the public water system serving the Rosehip Campground to potential contamination. This Class B (non-community) water system consists of a hand pump style well in Rosehip Campground east of Two Rivers, Alaska. The well received a natural susceptibility rating of **Very High.** This rating is a combination of a susceptibility rating of **Very High** for the actual wellhead and a **High** rating for the aquifer in which the well is drawing water from. Identified potential and existing sources of contamination for the Rosehip Campground public water system include: the campground and one road. Contaminant sources are considered as sources of bacteria and viruses, nitrates and/or nitrites, and volatile organic chemicals. Combining the natural susceptibility of the well with the contaminant risk, the public water system for Rosehip Campground received an overall vulnerability rating of **Very High** for bacteria and viruses, and a **Medium** for nitrates and/or nitrites, and volatile organic chemicals.

ROSEHIP CAMPGROUND PUBLIC DRINKING WATER SYSTEM

Rosehip Campground public water system is a Class B (non-community) water system. The system consists of a hand pump style well along Chena Hot Springs Road east of Two Rivers, Alaska (T1N, R5E, Section 32) (See Map 1 of Appendix A). Two Rivers is located northeast of the town of Fairbanks which is located in the Fairbanks North Star Borough near the center of Alaska (Please see the inset of Map 1 in Appendix A for location). The Borough's current population is 82,840 making it the second-largest population center in the state (ADCED, 2002). Communities located within the Borough include: College, Eielson Air Force Base, Ester, Fairbanks, Fox, Harding Lake, Moose Creek, North Pole, Pleasant Valley, Salcha, and Two Rivers.

The Fairbanks area includes two distinct topographic areas: the alluvial plain between the Tanana River and the Chena River, and the uplands north and east of this alluvial plain. The Rosehip Campground water system is located in the uplands northeast of the alluvial plain at an elevation of approximately 650 feet above sea level.

The depth of this well is unknown. It is probably screened in the layer of sand and gravel above the bedrock. Bedrock in this area is predominantly a metamorphosed marine mud deposit, called a pelitic schist. The schist is locally intruded by granitic rocks – granite and quartz diorite. Discontinuous permafrost (perennially frozen areas) is also common in this area. Areas with discontinuous permafrost may locally affect the ground water flow directions.

Groundwater in the uplands is recharged by local precipitation. Outflow of ground water in the uplands primarily occurs two ways. In areas under artesian pressure (pressure caused by overlying permafrost), water can flow to the surface through thawed conduits within the permafrost. Otherwise groundwater will flow under the permafrost (if present) and out to the groundwater beneath the adjacent flood plain or creek valley (Nelson, 1978).

This system consists of one hand-pump style water well serving approximately 10 non-residents during the summer months.

ROSEHIP CAMPGROUND DRINKING WATER PROTECTION AREA

The pathways most likely for surface contamination to reach the groundwater are identified as the first step in determining a drinking water system's risk. These areas are determined by looking at the characteristics of the soil, groundwater, aquifer, and well.

The most probable area for contamination to reach the drinking water well is the area that contributes water to the well, the groundwater capture zone. The groundwater capture zone is located in the area circling the well (the area influenced by pumping) and also the area of the water table upgradient of the well, usually forming a parabola shape.

There are many different methods for calculating the size of capture zones. This assessment uses a combination of two simple groundwater flow equations, the Thiem and uniform flow equations for all groundwater wells screened in unconsolidated material. The orientation of the capture zone is then drawn using a water table elevation map (if available) or a land surface elevation map of the area. The capture zone

calculated in this assessment is an estimate using the available information and resources, and may differ slightly from the actual capture zone.

The parameters used to calculate the shape of this capture zone are general for the whole alluvial plain and were obtained from various United States Geological Survey (USGS) reports, area well logs, and the Groundwater textbook by Freeze and Cherry (Freeze and Cherry, 1979).

Because of uncertainties and changing site conditions, a factor of safety is added to the groundwater capture zone to form the drinking water protection area for the well.

The protection areas established for wells are usually separated into four zones, limited by the watershed. These zones correspond to times-of-travel (TOT) of the water moving through the aquifer to the well (plus the factor of safety).

The following is a summary of the four zones for wells and the calculated time-of-travel for each:

Table 1. Definition of Zones

Zone	Definition
A	¼ the distance for the 2-yr. time-of-travel
B	Less than 2 years time-of-travel
C	Less than 5 years time-of-travel
D	Less than 10 years time-of-travel

The time of travel for *contaminants* within the water varies with their unique physical and chemical characteristics.

The drinking water protection area outlined for the Rosehip Campground on Map 1 of Appendix A will serve as the focus for voluntary protection efforts.

INVENTORY OF POTENTIAL AND EXISTING CONTAMINANT SOURCES

The Drinking Water Protection Program (DWPP) has completed an inventory of potential and existing sources of contamination within the Rosehip Campground protection area. This inventory was completed through a search of agency records and other publicly available information. Potential drinking water contaminants are found within agricultural, residential, commercial, and industrial areas, but can also occur within areas that have little or no development.

For the basis of all Class B public water system assessments, three categories of drinking water contaminants were inventoried. They include:

- Bacteria and viruses;
- Nitrates and/or nitrites;
- Volatile organic chemicals

The sources are displayed on Map 2 of Appendix C and summarized in Table 1 of Appendix B.

RANKING OF CONTAMINANT RISKS

Once the potential and existing sources of contamination have been identified, they are each assigned a ranking according to what type and level of risk they represent. Ranking of contaminant risks for a "potential" or "existing" source of contamination is a combination of toxicity and volume associated with that source. Rankings include:

- Low;
- Medium;
- High; and
- Very High.

Bacteria and Viruses are only inventoried in Zones A and B because of their short life span. Only "Very High" and "High" rankings are inventoried within the outer Zone D due to the probability of contaminant dilution by the time the contaminants get to the well.

Tables 2 through 4 in Appendix B contain the ranking of inventoried potential and existing sources of contamination with respect to bacteria and viruses, nitrates and/or nitrites, and volatile organic chemicals.

VULNERABILITY OF ROSEHIP CAMPGROUND DRINKING WATER SYSTEM

Vulnerability of a drinking water source to contamination is a combination of two factors:

- Natural susceptibility; and
- Contaminant risks.

Appendix D contains eight charts, which together form the 'Vulnerability Analysis' for a source water assessment for a public drinking water source. Chart 1 analyzes the 'Susceptibility of the Wellhead' to contamination by looking at the construction of the well and its surrounding area. Chart 2 analyzes the 'Susceptibility of the Aquifer' to contamination by looking at the properties of the aquifer and the presence of other wells or boreholes in the area. Chart 3 analyzes 'Contaminant Risks' for the drinking water source with respect to Bacteria and Viruses. The 'Contaminant Risks' portion of the analysis considers potential sources of contaminants as well as a review of the water system's contaminant sample results. Lastly, Chart 4 combines the results of the first three charts to produce the 'Vulnerability Analysis for Bacteria and Viruses'. Charts 5 through 8 contain the Contaminant

Risks and Vulnerability Analyses for nitrates and nitrites and volatile organic chemicals, respectively.

A score for the Natural Susceptibility is reached by considering the properties of the well and the aquifer.

Susceptibility of the Wellhead (0 – 25 Points)
(Chart 1 of Appendix D)

+

Susceptibility of the Aquifer (0 – 25 Points)
(Chart 2 of Appendix D)

=

Natural Susceptibility (Susceptibility of the Well)
(0 – 50 Points)

A ranking is assigned for the Natural Susceptibility according to the point score:

Natural Susceptibility Ratings	
40 to 50 pts	Very High
30 to < 40 pts	High
20 to < 30 pts	Medium
< 20 pts	Low

The wellhead for the Rosehip Campground received a Very High Susceptibility rating. The 8/27/99 Sanitary Survey indicated the well is a hand pump design not capable of being capped with a sanitary seal, the land surface is sloped away from the well providing adequate drainage, and the well is not grouted. A sanitary seal prevents potential contaminant from entering the well from the inside while sloping the land surface away from the well and grouting help to prevent potential contaminants from traveling down the outside of the well casing.

The aquifer in the area the Rosehip Campground well is completed in received a High Susceptibility rating. The highly transmissive aquifer material and the high water table in the area allow contaminants to quickly travel downward from the surface with the precipitation and surface water runoff. Other wells in the protection area can also provide a quick path to the aquifer if they are not grouted properly. Table 2 summarizes the Susceptibility scores and ratings for Rosehip Campground.

Table 2. Susceptibility

	Score	Rating
Susceptibility of the Wellhead	25	Very High
Susceptibility of the Aquifer	17	High
Natural Susceptibility	42	Very High

The Contaminant Risk has been derived from an evaluation of the routine sampling results of the water system and the presence of potential sources of contamination. Contaminant risks to a drinking water source depend on the type and distribution of contaminant sources. Flow charts are used to assign a point score, and ratings are assigned in the same way as for the natural susceptibility:

Contaminant Risk Ratings	
40 to 50 pts	Very High
30 to < 40 pts	High
20 to < 30 pts	Medium
< 20 pts	Low

Table 3 summarizes the Contaminant Risks for each category of drinking water contaminants.

Table 3. Contaminant Risks

Category	Score	Rating
Bacteria and Viruses	50	Very High
Nitrates and/or Nitrites	11	Low
Volatile Organic Chemicals	10	Low

Finally, an overall vulnerability score is assigned for each water system by combining each of the contaminant risk scores with the natural susceptibility score:

Natural Susceptibility (0 – 50 points)
+
Contaminant Risks (0 – 50 points)
=
Vulnerability of the
Drinking Water Source to Contamination (0 – 100).

Again, rankings are assigned according to a point score:

Overall Vulnerability Ratings	
80 to 100 pts	Very High
60 to < 80 pts	High
40 to < 60 pts	Medium
< 40 pts	Low

Table 4 contains the overall vulnerability scores (0 – 100) and ratings for each of the three categories of drinking water contaminants. Note: scores are rounded off to the nearest five.

Table 4. Overall Vulnerability

Category	Score	Rating
Bacteria and Viruses	90	Very High
Nitrates and Nitrites	55	Medium
Volatile Organic Chemicals	50	Medium

Bacteria and Viruses

The campground surrounding the well is the greatest identified potential source of bacteria and virus contamination to this well.

Only a small amount of bacteria and viruses are required to endanger public health. Coli forms are found naturally in the environment and although they aren't necessarily a health threat, it is an indicator of other potentially harmful bacteria in the water, more specifically, fecal coli forms and E. coli which only come from human and animal fecal waste (EPA, 2002). Harmful bacteria can cause diarrhea, cramps, nausea, headaches, or other symptoms (EPA, 2002). Routine sampling has detected coli forms in the water most recently on 8/6/01 (verified on 8/10/01 and 8/15/01). Fecal coliforms have not been detected.

After combining the contaminant risk for bacteria and viruses with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is very high.

Nitrates and Nitrites

The campground surrounding the well is also the greatest identified potential source of bacteria and virus contamination to this well.

Nitrates are very mobile, moving at approximately the same rate as water. Nitrates have not been detected in significant quantities in recent (within the past 5 years) sampling history for Rosehip Campground.

After combining the contaminant risk for nitrates and nitrites with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is medium.

Volatile Organic Chemicals

The campground and the road are the only potential sources of volatile organic chemicals identified in the protection area.

Volatile Organic Chemicals have not been sampled for in this water system. After combining the contaminant risk for volatile organic chemicals with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is medium.

REFERENCES

Alaska Department of Community and Economic Development (ADCED), 2002 [WWW document]. URL http://www.dced.state.ak.us/mra/CF_BLOCK.cfm.

Anderson, G.S., 1970, Hydrologic reconnaissance of the Tanana basin, central Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Investigations Atlas HA-319.

Forbes, R.B. and Weber, F.R., 1981. Bedrock Geologic Map of the Fairbanks Mining District, Alaska. Funded by the State of Alaska, US Geological Survey, and The National Science Foundation.

Freeze, R.A. and Cherry, J.A., 1979. Groundwater. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.

Glass, Roy L., Lilly, Micheal R., and Meyer, David F., 1996. Ground-Water Levels in an Alluvial Plain Between the Tanana and Chena Rivers Near Fairbanks, Alaska 1986-93. US Geological Survey Water Resources Investigations Report 96-4060, 39p.

Nakanishi, Allan S. and Lilly, Micheal R., 1998. Estimate of Aquifer Properties by Numerically Simulating Ground-Water/Surface-Water Interactions, Fort Wainwright, Alaska. US Geological Survey Water Resources Investigations Report 98-4088, 27p.

Nelson, Gordon L., 1978, Hydrologic Information for Land-Use Planning, Fairbanks Vicinity, Alaska. US Department of the Interior Geological Survey Open File Report 78-959, 47p.

Pewe, T. L., 1958, Geologic map of the Fairbanks D-2 quadrangle, Alaska: U.S. Geol. Survey Geol. Quad. Map GQ-110, scale 1:63,360.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2002 [WWW document]. URL http://www.epa.gov/safewater/mcl.html.

APPENDIX A

Rosehip Campground
Drinking Water Protection Area Location Map
(Map 1)

Map 1: Rosehip Campground Drinking Water Protection Area

PWSID: 310293

Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

Alaska Drinking Water Protection Program

0 1,150 2,300 4,600 6,900 Feet

1:27,600

Data Sources:

Parcel, roads - Fairbanks North Star Borough
Water bodies, railroad - Geographic Data Technology
Elevation contours - USGS digital elevation models (DEMs)

Legend

- Rosehip Campground well
- Zone A
- Zone B
- Zone C
- Zone D
- Towns
- Roads
- Surface Water
- Parcels



APPENDIX B

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Rosehip Campground (Tables 1-4)

Table 1

Contaminant Source Inventory for

Ak Div. Parks - Rosehip Campground

PWSID 310293.001

Contaminant Source Type	*Contaminant Source ID*	*CS ID tag*	*Zone*	*Map Number*	*Comments*
Campgrounds and RV Parks	X35	X35-1	A	2	Rosehip Campground
Highways and roads, paved (cement or asphalt)	X20		B	2	Chena Hot Springs Road

Table 2

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Ak Div. Parks - Rosehip Campground Sources of Bacteria and Viruses

PWSID 310293.001

Contaminant Source Type	*Contaminant Source ID*	*CS ID tag*	*Zone*	*Risk Ranking for Analysis*	*Map Number*	*Comments*
Campgrounds and RV Parks	X35	X35-1	A	Low	2	Rosehip Campground
Highways and roads, paved (cement or asphalt)	X20		B	Low	2	Chena Hot Springs Road

Table 3

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Ak Div. Parks - Rosehip Campground Sources of Nitrates/Nitrites

PWSID 310293.001

Contaminant Source Type	*Contaminant Source ID*	*CS ID tag*	*Zone*	*Risk Ranking for Analysis*	*Map Number*	*Comments*
Campgrounds and RV Parks	X35	X35-1	A	Low	2	Rosehip Campground
Highways and roads, paved (cement or asphalt)	X20		B	Low	2	Chena Hot Springs Road

Table 4

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Ak Div. Parks - Rosehip Campground Sources of Volatile Organic Chemicals

PWSID 310293.001

Contaminant Source Type	*Contaminant Source ID*	*CS ID tag*	*Zone*	*Risk Ranking for Analysis*	*Map Number*	*Comments*
Campgrounds and RV Parks	X35	X35-1	A	Low	2	Rosehip Campground
Highways and roads, paved (cement or asphalt)	X20		B	Low	2	Chena Hot Springs Road

APPENDIX C

Rosehip Campground
Drinking Water Protection Area
and Potential and Existing Contaminant Sources
(Map 2)





Map 2: Rosehip Campground Drinking Water Protection Area

PWSID: 310293

Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

Alaska Drinking Water Protection Program

0 125 250 500 750 Feet

1:3,000

Data Sources:

Background - USGS 25K and 63K Topographic Maps

Legend

- Rosehip Campground well
- Zone A
- Zone B
- Zone C
- Zone D

APPENDIX D

Vulnerability Analysis for Rosehip Campground Public Drinking Water Source

(Charts 1-8)

Chart 1. Susceptibility of the wellhead - Rosehip Campground



Chart 2. Susceptibility of the aquifer - Rosehip Campground



Chart 3. Contaminant risks for Rosehip Campground - Bacteria & Viruses





+ 10 pts

Risk Rankings for Contaminant Sources Identified in Zones A and B

	Zone A	Zone B	Total
Very Highs(s)	0	0	0
High(s)	0	0	0
Medium(s)	0	0	0
Low(s)	1	1	2

	LOW 10 pts	**MEDIUM** 20 pts	**HIGH** 30 pts	**VERY HIGH** 40 pts
LOW	≥ 10 sources + 10 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts	≥ 20 sources + 5 pts	----
MEDIUM	----	≥ 2 sources + 5 pts	≥ 5 sources + 5 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts
HIGH	----	----	≥ 1 source + 10 pts	≥ 2 sources + 10 pts
VERY HIGH	----	----	----	≥ 1 source + 10 pts

Matrix Score 10

Note: Septic systems, sewerlines, and roads are each assigned a risk ranking for each individual contaminant source in the CSI. The VA, however, counts these contaminant sources as a group and assigns a calculated number of either "lows" or "mediums" based on the density.

Chart 3. Contaminant risks for Rosehip Campground - Bacteria & Viruses



Chart 4. Vulnerability analysis for Rosehip Campground - Bacteria & Viruses



Chart 5. Contaminant risks for Rosehip Campground - Nitrates and Nitrites



Chart 5. Contaminant risks for Rosehip Campground - Nitrates and Nitrites

+ 10 pts

What level of risk is associated with the highest and the next highest risk sources(s) of contaminants identified in Zones A, B and C?

Risk Levels for Contaminant Sources identified in Zones A, B and C

	Zone A	Zones B&C	Total
Very Highs(s)	0	0	0
High(s)	0	0	0
Medium(s)	0	0	0
Low(s)	1	1	2

	LOW 10 pts	**MEDIUM** 20 pts	**HIGH** 30 pts	**VERY HIGH** 40 pts
LOW	≥ 10 sources + 10 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts	≥ 20 sources + 5 pts	----
MEDIUM	----	≥ 2 sources + 5 pts	≥ 5 sources + 5 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts
HIGH	----	----	≥ 1 source + 10 pts	≥ 2 sources + 10 pts
VERY HIGH	----	----	----	≥ 1 source + 10 pts

Matrix Score 10

Note: Septic systems, sewerlines, and roads are each assigned a risk ranking for each individual contaminant source in the CSI. The VA, however, counts these contaminant sources as a group and assigns a calculated number of either "lows" or "mediums" based on the density.



Chart 5. Contaminant risks for Rosehip Campground - Nitrates and Nitrites





Existing
1 pts
+
Potential
10 pts
=
Contaminant Risk
11 pts

Contaminant risks*
= 11 pts

*Truncate risk at 50 pts

Low

Contaminant Risk Ratings

40 to 50 pts	very high
30 to < 40 pts	high
20 to < 30 pts	medium
< 20 pts	low

Chart 6. Vulnerability analysis for Rosehip Campground - Nitrates and Nitrites



Chart 7. Contaminant risks for Rosehip Campground - Volatile Organic Chemicals



Chart 7. Contaminant risks for Rosehip Campground - Volatile Organic Chemicals

+ 10 pts

What level of risk is associated with the highest and the next highest risk sources(s) of contaminants identified in Zones A, B and C?

Risk Levels for Contaminant Sources identified in Zones A, B and C

	Zone A	Zones B&C	Total
Very Highs(s)	0	0	0
High(s)	0	0	0
Medium(s)	0	0	0
Low(s)	1	1	2

	LOW 10 pts	**MEDIUM** 20 pts	**HIGH** 30 pts	**VERY HIGH** 40 pts
LOW	≥ 10 sources + 10 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts	≥ 20 sources + 5 pts	----
MEDIUM	----	≥ 2 sources + 5 pts	≥ 5 sources + 5 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts
HIGH	----	----	≥ 1 source + 10 pts	≥ 2 sources + 10 pts
VERY HIGH	----	----	----	≥ 1 source + 10 pts

Matrix Score 10

Note: Septic systems, sewerlines, and roads are each assigned a risk ranking for each individual contaminant source in the CSI. The VA, however, counts these contaminant sources as a group and assigns a calculated number of either "lows" or "mediums" based on the density.

Initial assessment of risk posed by potential sources of contamination = 10 pts

Is the source aquifer fractured rock or karst?

YES

NO

Are all of the higher risk sources beyond Zones A and B?

NO

Risk unchanged

YES

Decrease risk 1 - 10 pts

- 0 pts

Are any significant sources within Zone A?

NO

Risk unchanged

YES

Increase risk 1 - 10 pts

+ 0 pts

Chart 7. Contaminant risks for Rosehip Campground - Volatile Organic Chemicals



Chart 8. Vulnerability analysis for Rosehip Campground - Volatile Organic Chemicals