

# **Source Water Assessment**

A Hydrogeologic Susceptibility and Vulnerability Assessment for Veco Beluga Construction Camp Public Drinking Water System, Beluga, Alaska PWSID # 246999.001

DRINKING WATER PROTECTION REPORT 1711

Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

December, 2008

# Source Water Assessment for Veco Beluga Construction Camp Public Drinking Water System Beluga, Alaska PWSID# 246999.001

### DRINKING WATER PROTECTION REPORT 1711

The Drinking Water Protection (DWP) section of the Drinking Water Program is producing Source Water Assessments in compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1996. Each assessment includes a delineation of the source water area, an inventory of potential and existing contaminant sources that may impact the water, a risk ranking for each of these contaminants, and an evaluation of the potential vulnerability of these drinking water sources.

These assessments are intended to provide public water systems owners/operators, communities, and local governments with the best available information that may be used to protect the quality of their drinking water. The assessments combine information obtained from various sources, including the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC), public water system owners/operators, and other public information sources. The results of this assessment are subject to change if additional data becomes available. It is anticipated this assessment will be updated every five years to reflect any changes in the vulnerability and/or susceptibility of public drinking water source. If you have any additional information that may affect the results of this assessment, please contact DWP staff at the following number: 1-866-956-7656.

December, 2008

### **CONTENTS**

#### Page

Executive Summary	1
Veco Beluga Construction Camp Public Drinking	
Water System	1
Veco Beluga Construction Camp Drinking Water	
Protection Area	1
Inventory of Potential and Existing Contaminant	
Sources	2

Ranking of Contaminant Risks	2
Vulnerability of Veco Beluga Construction Camp	
Drinking Water System	2
References	5
Appendix A	7
Appendix B	9
Appendix C	11

### **TABLES**

Table 1.	Definition of Zones	2
	Susceptibility	
	Contaminant Risks	
Table 4.	Overall Vulnerability	3

### **APPENDICES**

APPENDIX

A. Veco Beluga Construction Camp Drinking Water Protection Area (Map A)

- B. Contaminant Source Inventory for Veco Beluga Construction Camp (Table 1) Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Veco Beluga Construction Camp – Bacteria and Viruses (Table 2) Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Veco Beluga Construction Camp – Nitrates/Nitrites (Table 3) Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Veco Beluga Construction Camp – Volatile Organic Chemicals (Table 4)
- C. Veco Beluga Construction Camp Drinking Water Protection Area and Potential and Existing Contaminant Sources (Map C)

# Source Water Assessment for Veco Beluga Construction Camp Source of Public Drinking Water, Beluga, Alaska

#### Drinking Water Protection Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The public water system for Veco Beluga Construction Camp is a Class B (transient/non-community) water system consisting of one well located less than a mile from the Beluga Airport in Beluga, Alaska. The wellhead received a susceptibility rating of Low and the aquifer received a susceptibility rating of Low. Combining these two ratings produces a Low rating for the natural susceptibility of the well. Identified potential and current sources of contaminants for Veco Beluga Construction Camp public drinking water source include: a petroleum recovery operation, an assumed septic system, an assumed heating oil tank, an oil/gas pipeline, and a coal mining area. These identified potential and existing sources of contamination are considered as sources of bacteria and viruses, nitrates and/or nitrites, and volatile organic chemicals. Overall, the public water sources for Veco Beluga Construction Camp received a vulnerability rating of Low for bacteria and viruses, as well as nitrates and nitrites. The system received a vulnerability rating of **Medium** for volatile organic chemicals. This assessment of contaminant risks can be used as a foundation for local voluntary protection efforts as well as a basis for the continuous efforts on the part of Veco Beluga Construction Camp to protect public health.

#### VECO BELUGA CONSTRUCTION CAMP PUBLIC DRINKING WATER SYSTEM

Veco Beluga Construction Camp public water system is a Class B (transient/non-community) water system. The system consists of one well located less than a mile from the Beluga Airport in Beluga, Alaska (see Map A in Appendix A). Beluga lies on the western coast of Cook Inlet, approximately 8 miles northeast of Tyonek, and is part of the Kenai Peninsula Borough. Temperatures range from 4 to 22 degrees in winter and from 46 to 65 in the summer. The area receives an average of 23 inches of precipitation and 82 inches of snowfall annually. Beluga has a population of 19, while the Borough's overall population is 52,407 (ADCCED, 2008).

All occupied homes in Beluga are fully plumbed and there are three landfill sites in the area. Electricity is provided by Chugach Electric Association (ADCCED, 2008).

According to the well log, the well extends approximately 250 feet below the ground surface and is completed in a confined aquifer. This system operates as needed and serves up to 68 non-residents per month through 4 service connections.

#### VECO BELUGA CONSTRUCTION CAMP DRINKING WATER PROTECTION AREA

In order to evaluate whether a drinking water source is at risk, we must first evaluate what are the most likely pathways for surface contamination to reach the groundwater. These areas are determined by looking at the characteristics of the soil, groundwater, aquifer, and well.

The most probable area for contamination to reach the drinking water well is the drinking water protection area. The drinking water protection area is the area circling the well (the area influenced by pumping) and also the area upgradient of the well, usually forming a parabola shape. Because releases of contaminants within the protection area are most likely to impact the well, this area will serve as the focus for voluntary protection efforts.

There are many different methods for calculating the size of protection areas. Drinking Water Protection (DWP) uses a combination of two simple groundwater flow equations, the Thiem and uniform flow equations for all groundwater wells screened in unconsolidated material. The orientation of the protection zone is then drawn using a water table elevation map (if available) or a land surface elevation map of the area. The protection zone calculated by DWP is an estimate using the available information and resources, and may differ slightly from the actual capture zone. Because of uncertainties and changing site conditions, a factor of safety is added to the protection zone to form the drinking water protection area for the well.

The parameters used to calculate the shape of this protection zone are general for the whole alluvial plain and were obtained from various United States Geological Survey (USGS) reports, area well logs, and the Groundwater textbook by Freeze and Cherry (Freeze and Cherry, 1979). The protection areas established for wells by the DEC are usually separated into two zones, limited by the watershed. These zones correspond to differences in the time-of-travel (TOT) of the water moving through the aquifer to the well. An analytical calculation was used to determine the size and shape of the protection area.

The time-of-travel for contaminants within the water varies and is dependent on the physical and chemical characteristics of each contaminant. The following is a summary of the two protection area zones for wells and the calculated time-of-travel for each:

Table 1. Definition of Zones

Zone	Definition
А	Several months time-of-travel
В	Less than the 2 year time-of-travel

The drinking water protection area for Veco Beluga Construction Camp was determined using an analytical calculation and includes Zones A and B (see Map A of Appendix A).

# INVENTORY OF POTENTIAL AND EXISTING CONTAMINANT SOURCES

DWP has completed an inventory of potential and existing sources of contamination within the Veco Beluga Construction Camp drinking water protection area. This inventory was completed through a search of agency records and other publicly available information. Potential sources of contamination to the drinking water aquifer include a wide range of categories and types. Potential drinking water contaminants are found within agricultural, residential, commercial, and industrial areas, but can also occur within areas that have little or no development.

For the basis of all Class B public water system assessments, the following three categories of drinking water contaminants were inventoried:

- Bacteria and viruses;
- Nitrates and/or nitrites;
- Volatile organic chemicals

The sources are displayed on Map C of Appendix C and summarized in Table 1 of Appendix B.

### **RANKING OF CONTAMINANT RISKS**

Once the potential and existing sources of contamination have been identified, they are assigned a ranking according to what type and level of risk they represent. Ranking of contaminant risks for a "potential" or "existing" source of contamination is a function of toxicity and volumes of specific contaminants associated with that source. Rankings include:

- Low;
- Medium;
- High; and
- Very High.

Tables 2 through 4 in Appendix B contain the ranking of potential and existing sources of contamination with respect to bacteria and viruses, nitrates and/or nitrites, and volatile organic chemicals.

### VULNERABILITY OF VECO BELUGA CONSTRUCTION CAMP DRINKING WATER SYSTEM

Vulnerability of a drinking water source to contamination is a combination of two factors:

- Natural Susceptibility; and
- Contaminant Risks.

A score for the Natural Susceptibility of the well is reached by considering the properties of the well and the aquifer.

> Susceptibility of the Wellhead (0-25 Points) + Susceptibility of the Aquifer (0-25 Points) =

Natural Susceptibility of the Well (0-50 Points)

A ranking is assigned for the Natural Susceptibility according to the point score:

Natural Susceptil	bility Ratings
40-50 pts	Very High
30 to < 40 pts	High
20 to < 30 pts	Medium
< 20 pts	Low

Factors contributing to the susceptibility of the wellhead are: whether the sanitary seal is in place, protection from flooding, and if the well casing is properly grouted.

The wellhead for the Veco Beluga Construction Camp received a **Low** susceptibility rating. The sanitary survey (09/12/2006) indicates that a sanitary seal is installed and the land surface is appropriately sloped away from the well. However, the well is not grouted according to DEC regulations. Sanitary seals prevent potential contaminants from entering the well, while sloping of the land surface away from the wellhead provides adequate surface water drainage, and concrete or grouting around the wellhead helps to prevent potential contaminants from traveling down the outside of the well casing.

Factors contributing to the susceptibility of the aquifer are: whether the aquifer is confined or unconfined, whether the well is completed in unconsolidated or fractured bedrock, whether wells and bore holes are penetrating the aquifer and, if applicable, the confining layer.

The Veco Beluga Construction Camp system draws water from a confined aquifer overlain by 60 feet of clay. It received a **Low** susceptibility rating because of the deep, thick confining layer. Deeper, thicker confining layers provide greater protection from contamination by making it more difficult for contaminants to reach and penetrate the aquifer.

Table 2 summarizes the Susceptibility scores and ratings for the Veco Beluga Construction Camp system.

### Table 2. Susceptibility

	Score	Rating
Susceptibility of the	5	Low
Wellhead		
Susceptibility of the	5	Low
Aquifer		
Natural Susceptibility	10	Low

Contaminant risks are derived from an evaluation of the routine sampling results of the water system and the presence of potential sources of contamination. Contaminant risks to a drinking water source depend on the type and distribution of contaminant sources. Flow charts are used to assign a point score, and ratings are assigned in the same way as for the natural susceptibility:

Contaminant Risk Ratings		
40-50 pts	Very High	
30 to < 40 pts	High	
20 to < 30 pts	Medium	
< 20 pts	Low	

Table 3 summarizes the Contaminant Risks for each category of drinking water contaminants for the Veco Beluga Construction Camp system.

#### Table 3. Contaminant Risks

Category	Score	Rating
Bacteria and Viruses	12	Low
Nitrates and/or Nitrites	12	Low
Volatile Organic Chemicals	35	High

Finally, an overall vulnerability score is assigned for each water system by combining each of the contaminant risk scores with the natural susceptibility score:

> Natural Susceptibility (0-50 Points) + Contaminant Risks (0-50 Points) =

Vulnerability of the Drinking Water Source to Contamination (0-100 Points)

· ·	1 .	• 1	1	• .
$\Delta \sigma_{21n}$	rankinge	are accioned	according 1	to a point score:
ngam,	rankings	are assigned	according	lo a point score.

Overall Vulnerability Ratings		
80-100 pts	Very High	
60 to < 80 pts	High	
40 to < 60 pts	Medium	
< 40 pts	Low	
	80-100 pts 60 to < 80 pts 40 to < 60 pts	

Table 4 contains the overall vulnerability scores (0-100) and ratings for each of the three categories of drinking water contaminants for the Veco Beluga Construction Camp system. Note: scores are rounded off to the nearest five.

#### Table 4. Overall Vulnerability

Category	Score	Rating
Bacteria and Viruses	25	Low
Nitrates and/or Nitrites	25	Low
Volatile Organic Chemicals	45	Medium

#### **Bacteria and Viruses**

The contaminant risk for bacteria and viruses is **Low**, with a septic system contributing to the risk to the drinking water well.

Coliforms (a bacteria) are found naturally in the environment and although they aren't necessarily a health threat, they are an indicator of other potentially harmful bacteria in the water, more specifically, fecal coliforms and E. coli, which only come from human and animal fecal waste. Harmful bacteria can cause diarrhea, cramps, nausea, headaches, or other symptoms (EPA, 2008).

Only a small amount of bacteria and viruses are required to endanger public health. Positive samples increase the overall vulnerability of the drinking water source, indicating that the source is susceptible to bacteria and virus contamination. Bacteria and viruses have not been detected during recent water sampling of the system at Veco Beluga Construction Camp (data reviewed in April, 2008).

After combining the contaminant risk for bacteria and viruses with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is **Low**.

#### **Nitrates and Nitrites**

The contaminant risk for nitrates and nitrites is **Low**, with a septic system contributing to the risk to the drinking water well.

The sampling history for Veco Beluga Construction Camp well indicates that nitrates have not been detected in the water during the past five years (data reviewed in April, 2008).

After combining the contaminant risk for nitrates and nitrites with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is **Low**.

#### **Volatile Organic Chemicals**

The contaminant risk for volatile organic chemicals is **High**, with a petroleum recovery operation, a septic system, a heating oil tank, and a coal mining area contributing to the risk to the drinking water well.

The drinking water at Veco Beluga Construction Camp has not been recently sampled for volatile organic chemicals (data reviewed in April, 2008).

After combining the contaminant risk for volatile organic chemicals with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is **Medium**.

#### Using the Source Water Assessment

This assessment of contaminant risks can be used as a foundation for local voluntary protection efforts as well as a basis for the continuous efforts on the part of Veco Beluga Construction Camp to protect public health. It is anticipated that Source Water Assessments will be updated every five years to reflect any changes in the vulnerability and/or susceptibility of Veco Beluga Construction Camp drinking water source.

### REFERENCES

Alaska Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development (ADCCED), Accessed 2008 [WWW document]. URL: http://www.commerce.state.ak.us/dca/commdb/CF\_COMDB.htm

Freeze, R.A. and Cherry, J.A., 1979. Groundwater. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Accessed 2008 [WWW document]. URL: http://www.epa.gov/safewater/contaminants/index.html.

## **APPENDIX A**

Veco Beluga Construction Camp Drinking Water Protection Area Location Map (Map A)



# Е

0	6,000	12,000	

Feet 24,000

Legend								
Class B Public Water System								
Groundwater Protection Zones								
Zone A Protection Area - Several Months Trave	l Time							
Zone B Protection Area - 2 Years Travel Time								
Data Sources: Contaminant Sources, Public Water System Wells, Alas Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC)	ska							
All other data: Alaska Statewide Digital Mapping Initiative (SDMI)								
Drinking Water Protection Areas based on "Alaska Drin	iking							
Water Protection Program - Guidance Manual for Class Public Water Systems" published by ADEC								
URS Corporation does not guarantee the accuracy or validity of the data provided.								
Inset 1 Area of Map								
Tyonek								
Veco Beluga Construction Camp PWS 246999.001								

Appendix A Map A

## **APPENDIX B**

# Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Veco Beluga Construction Camp (Tables 1-4)

### Contaminant Source Inventory for Veco Beluga Construction Camp

Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Map Number	Comments
Petroleum production/recovery operations	E09	E09-01	А	С	
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02	R02	А	С	1 assumed
Tanks, heating oil, residential (above ground)	R08	R08	А	С	1 assumed
Pipelines (oil and gas)	X28	X28	А	С	
Coal mining (active or inactive?)	E01	E01	В	С	

### Table 2

### Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Veco Beluga Construction Camp

### PWSID 246999.001

### Sources of Bacteria and Viruses

Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Risk Ranking for Analysis	Map Number	Comments
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02	R02	А	Low	С	1 assumed

### Table 3

### Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Veco Beluga Construction Camp

### PWSID 246999.001

# Veco Beluga Construction Camp

## Sources of Nitrates/Nitrites

Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Risk Ranking for Analysis	Map Number	Comments
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02	R02	А	Low	С	1 assumed

Table 4

## Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Veco Beluga Construction Camp

### PWSID 246999.001


### Sources of Volatile Organic Chemicals

Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Risk Ranking for Analysis	Map Number	Comments
Petroleum production/recovery operations	E09	E09-01	А	Medium	С	
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02	R02	А	Low	С	1 assumed
Tanks, heating oil, residential (above ground)	R08	R08	А	Medium	С	1 assumed
Coal mining (active or inactive?)	E01	E01	В	High	С	

## **APPENDIX C**

Veco Beluga Construction Camp Drinking Water Protection Area and Potential and Existing Contaminant Sources (Map C)

### Public Water Well System for PWS #246999.001 Veco Beluga Construction Camp Showing Potential and Existing Sources of Contamination



0	1,250	2,500	



